

Truck Sale & Services Agency
7250-5 Sideroad
Milton, Ontario

**FUNCTIONAL SERVICING &
STORMWATER MANAGEMENT
REPORT**

Prepared for:

W.E Oughtred & Associates Inc.

Prepared by:



MGM Consulting Inc.
555 Industrial Drive
Suite 201
Milton, Ontario
L9T 5E1

File No. 2019-070
Site Plan No.: SP 20/21

Date: October 07, 2024

Table of Contents

1. INTRODUCTION1

2. EXISTING CONDITIONS AND DEVELOPMENT LIMITS.....1

3. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT SCENARIO.....1

4. COST SHARING OF REGION OF HALTON SERVICING2

5. PROPOSED GRADING AND DRAINAGE2

6. PROPOSED STORMWATER MANAGEMENT2

 5.1 Proposed Minor Storm System 3

 5.2 Proposed Major Storm System 3

 5.3 Proposed Stormwater Rate Controls and Site Storage 3

 5.4 Proposed Storm Water Quality Controls 4

7. SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROLS DURING CONSTRUCTION 5

8. PROPOSED SANITARY SERVICING6

9. PROPOSED WATER SERVICING6

10. SUMMARY6

Figures

Figure No 1 – Existing Drainage Areas

Figure No 2 – Proposed Drainage Areas

Appendices

Appendix A – Stormwater Management Calculations

Appendix B – Water Demand & Fire Calculations

Appendix C – Treatment Unit Sizing Report

Appendix D – Sanitary Design Calculations

Appendix E – Subdivision Stormwater Drainage Plan

Appendix F – Servicing Agreement

Appendix G – Hydrant Testing Results

Appendix H – Subdivision Functional Servicing Report



1. INTRODUCTION

MGM Consulting Inc. has been retained to prepare a Functional Servicing and Stormwater Report to address the site-specific infrastructure required to support a proposed Rezoning Application for redevelopment of a property located at 7260 No. 5 Sideroad in the Town of Milton.

The legal description of the subject lands is Part of Block 6, Registered Plan No 20M-1119, Town of Milton, Regional Municipality of Halton.

2. EXISTING CONDITIONS AND DEVELOPMENT LIMITS

The subject site has an area in the order of 1.784 ha, proposed for redevelopment. Historically, the site locates within the Escarpment Business Community West, Phase 1 Lands located northwest of the intersection of James Snow Parkway and No. 5 Sideroad in the Town of Milton. The site abuts a natural heritage feature along its north limit, No. 5 Sideroad to the east, James Snow Parkway to the south and a small tributary to the west. Drainage from the site is split with a portion of the drainage sheet flowing to the heritage lands to the north with the remainder captured by temporary roadside ditches.

Storm runoff from the subject site was included for in the design of SWM pond S34 which provides storm water quality, quantity and erosion controls, consistent with the requirements of the governing sub-watershed study. The SWM pond facility designed by Valdor Engineering Ltd. was approved by the Town of Milton, Conservation of Halton and the Ministry of Transportation. The facility was constructed in 2011 and is currently functioning consistent with the approved design.

Region of Halton staff advised MGM Consulting Inc. in 2019 of a deficiency (sag) within a section of the downstream sanitary sewer on James Snow Parkway, that will accept sanitary flows from the subject development. In recent discussions with Region of Halton staff, it was indicated that a repair of this sewer was scheduled for the spring of 2021. Given this, it is acknowledged that this section of sanitary sewer cannot receive any flows from upstream development areas, until the repair is completed.

The existing site drainage areas are indicated in Figure No. 1.

Subdivision storm drainage plan is included in Appendix E

Subdivision Functional Servicing Report is included in Appendix H

The report was requested to be updated in October of 2024 to include the use for truck sales on the subject property. At the time of the revision, the site is fully developed for truck rental and services.

3. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT SCENARIO

The proposed development includes for the construction of a one-storey truck sale and services building and surface parking areas with a vehicular access off of No. 5 Sideroad. The proposed site development is indicated in Figure No. 2.

4. COST SHARING OF REGION OF HALTON SERVICING

Cost sharing between Broccolini and the Region of Halton was agreed to in the fall of 2017 which addressed additional costs for Region of Halton servicing, as required to service the subject lands (Block 6) and Block 7 lands further to the east and other development lands west of James Snow Parkway owned by Broccolini. Relevant to the subject development, Broccolini provided funds for storm sewer oversizing, storm sewers, watermains, and sanitary sewers, all required servicing for the subject lands.

A letter confirming that Broccolini will enter into a Servicing Agreement with the Region, original cost estimates prepared by MGM Consulting Inc, and the final agreed on costs which formed the basis for funding provided by Broccolini, are included in **Appendix F**.

5. PROPOSED GRADING AND DRAINAGE

The proposed site grading will take into account the existing topography, perimeter elevations, as required to accommodate the proposed building finish floor elevation, provide safe vehicular and pedestrian access and to provide minimum cover on storm servicing as required for frost protection. Slopes within the paved areas of the site will typically be set between 1% and 5%. Grading will also be completed such that majority of the storm drainage from the development area will be contained with storm runoff being conveyed to proposed on site catchbasins, swales, and an internal storm system, outletting to the existing storm sewer within the James Snow Parkway right of way.

As indicated on the appended Site Servicing Plan - CV2, drainage from building roof is proposed to be directed to infiltration pits as required to achieve water balance objectives. Redirection of clean roof water will promote groundwater recharge. Any overflow from the pits is to be conveyed to the proposed internal storm system.

Proposed site grading is indicated on the Site Grading Plan, Drawing No. CV-3.

Emergency overland during severe storm events, or when an outlet is blocked, will be to the James Snow Parkway right of way at an elevation of 220.00 m through the south-east corner of the site.

6. PROPOSED STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

Proposed storm water management controls for the site have been completed based on the proposed redevelopment area of 1.879ha. and a runoff coefficient of 0.75 as allowed for in the design for the James Snow Parkway storm system, and SWM Pond S34. The following summarizes the proposed minor and major storm drainage systems, and the stormwater

management features proposed for the subject site. Detailed calculations supporting the selection of proposed storm servicing and stormwater management are included in **Appendix A**.

Water Quantity Storage Requirements

The stormwater management design has been based on reducing flows from the site to below the peak flows during the 2 to 100 year storm event based on runoff coefficient of 0.75 as per subdivision drainage area to SWM pond S34. Site drainage is conveyed to SWM pond S34 via regional storm sewer on James Snow Parkway which has been designed to convey 10 year storm event. Based on the storm drainage areas, the storm sewer system was designed to accept storm drainage from the subject site having an area of 1.85ha and runoff coefficient of 0.75. Subdivision drainage area and storm sewer drainage areas have been included in **Appendix E**.

Pre and post development storm drainage areas for the site are included as **Figures 1 and 2**.

Water Quality Requirement

Stormwater quality controls are proposed as required to remove and estimated 80% of the total suspended solids, on an annual loading basis.

5.1 Proposed Minor Storm System

The proposed minor system has been designed to convey the 5 year flow, without surcharging, which is consistent with current Town of Milton standards. The internal storm systems will consist of a series of underground storm sewers, manholes and catchbasins as indicated on the attached Site Servicing Plan-CV2

A storm design sheet for the components of the proposed internal storm system is included in Appendix A

5.2 Proposed Major Storm System

Major storm flows from the site are to be conveyed to James Snow Parkway right of way through south-east corner of the site at an elevation of 220.00m. This elevation is 400 mm below the lowest proposed building finish floor elevation. Perimeter elevations surrounding attenuated areas of the site have been set at a minimum elevation of 220.20 m to ensure conveyance of overland flow to the municipal right of way and contain major site flow without impact adjacent properties.

5.3 Proposed Stormwater Rate Controls and Site Storage

Stormwater rate controls objective is to control post development flows from the site to the peak flow during 2 to 100 year storm events based on a runoff coefficient of 0.75. Based

on a site area of 1.784 ha, the weight average site runoff coefficient is calculated as follow:

Site Feature	"c"	Area (ha)
Conv. roof	0.90	0.123
Landscaped	0.25	0.351
Paved Areas	0.90	1.310
Total	0.77	1.784

Based on the above, the post-development average runoff coefficient is slightly exceed to the designed coefficient of 0.75 used in the subdivision design for SWM Pond S34. As such rate controls have been provided with the installation of 350mm diameter orifice tube installed at the inlet of proposed MH No.1, which will cause the post development flows during the 2 and 100 year storm events to be controlled to 0.294 m³/s and 0.572 m³/s respectively, which are both below the calculated allowable flow rates. On-site storage has been provided as required, including 38.8 m³ within the proposed storm system and 302.9 m³ of surface storage within the pavement areas the total of which, exceed the calculated required storage of 60.0 m³ during the 100-year storm event.

Detailed Stormwater Management Calculations are included in **Appendix A**.

5.4 Proposed Storm Water Quality Controls

The current stormwater quality control objective is to provide an “enhanced” level of treatment which is equivalent to removing 80% of the total suspended solids from the site runoff on an annual loading basic. Quality controls for developments within the Escarpment Business Community West are provided within the downstream stormwater management pond which has been designed to provide quality treatment for all site developments within the subdivision. Additional stormwater quality controls for drainage from the truck loading and trailer parking areas of the site are to be provided with the installation of a package treatment unit, installed at the downstream end of the proposed internal storm system, prior to outletting to the municipal storm system.

A Stormceptor Model EF04 is proposed to assist in achieving additional oil and sediment filtering. Based on the manufacturer’s modeling software, the selected unit has been designed to provide the removal of an estimated 81% of the Total Suspended Solids.

In the effort of integrating LID measures under the post development condition, infiltration pits are proposed to promote ground water infiltration. The location of infiltration pit is located east of the proposed building.

Output from the manufacturer's modelling software used to select the proposed package treatment unit is included in **Appendix C**.

7. SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROLS DURING CONSTRUCTION

In 2006, The Greater Golden Horseshoe Area Conservation Authorities prepared a guideline entitled "Erosion & Sediment Control Guideline for Urban Construction". Based on the guideline, all projects involving the removal of topsoil or site alteration requires an ESC (Erosion and Sediment Control) Plan in place prior to commencing construction. Failure to adhere to the plan could lead to the potential for prosecution under the various pieces of environmental legislation.

The following principles assist in creating an effective ESC Plan.

(Ref. Erosion and Sediment Control Guidelines for Urban Construction)

- Adopt a multi-barrier approach to provide erosion and sediment control through erosion controls first.
- Retain existing ground cover and stabilize exposed soils with vegetation where possible.
- Limit the duration of soil exposure and phase construction where possible.
- Limit the size of disturbed areas by minimizing nonessential clearing and grading.
- Minimize slope length and gradient of disturbed areas.
- Maintain overland sheet flow and avoid concentrated flows.
- Store/stockpile soil away (e.g. greater than 15 meters) from watercourses, drainage features and top of steep slopes.
- Ensure contractors and all involved in the ESC practices are trained in ESC Plan, implementation, inspections, maintenance, and repairs.
- Adjust ESC Plan at construction site to adapt to site features.
- Assess all ESC practices before and after all rainfall and significant snowmelt events.

The guideline stresses that prevention of erosion is the preferred mitigation measure for reducing the potential for sedimentation.

Erosion and sediment control measures can be categorized as Erosion prevention controls and Sediment controls.

Erosion controls include minimizing the reduction in vegetative ground cover or immediate stabilization of disturbed areas by top soiling, seeding, sodding, mulching, erosion control blankets, etc.

Sediment Controls are further broken down into Perimeter Controls, Settling Controls and Filtration Controls. Some major perimeter controls include silt fences, cut-off swales and mud-mats. Settling controls reduce run-off velocity allowing the soil particles to settle out.

Settling controls include sediment traps, rock check dams, straw bales and sediment control ponds. Filtration controls are achieved by filtering silt laden water through the use of a filter media such as a geotextile or sand. Filtration controls include storm inlet filter cloths, sediment bags and filter rings.

8. PROPOSED SANITARY SERVICING

Sanitary servicing is proposed with a 200mm connection to the existing 300mm sanitary sewer located within James Snow Parkway right of way. Based on the available invert elevation of 214.30m a gravity sewer connection can be provided to entire building. Gravity sanitary servicing is proposed beyond the building envelopes as indicated on the Site Servicing Plan, Drawing No. CV-2.

Proposed sanitary flow calculation has been attached in **Appendix D**.

9. PROPOSED WATER SERVICING

A preliminary calculation for the required water demand for fire protection and domestic supply is included in **Appendix B**. The proposed water supply requirements are calculated in accordance with the Fire Underwriter Survey.

As indicated, the estimated domestic water consumption of 1.6 L/s is required to service the proposed development. The maximum daily demand plus fire flow is calculated as 51.6 L/sec which is the flow that is required to be available at a local hydrant at a minimum pressure of 140 KPa. Fire protection for the proposed buildings will be provided from four existing fire hydrants located along of north side of James Snow Parkway and west side of 5 Sideroad. The final location of the domestic water service connections will be confirmed during the detailed design phase.

Due to service watermain fronting the site on James Snow Parkway is not yet in commission, a pressure test has been completed to the nearest hydrants on the service watermain at James Snow Parkway and Mount Pleasant Way intersection. As per the result of theoretical flow at 20 psi (140kPa) indicates an available flow of 9200 usgpm (34800 L/min) at existing hydrant which satisfies the minimum required flow for the development.

Fire hydrant test result is included in **Appendix G**.

New 150mm fire line & 50mm domestic are proposed to adequately provide fire protection and domestic water supply to the site via 200mm connection to 300mm watermain on James Snow Parkway right of way. The construction of 300mm watermain on James Snow Parkway right of way has been completed but are not commissioned.

10. SUMMARY

The following summarizes the proposed site works as required to accommodate the proposed site redevelopment:

- Site grading can be completed taking into account perimeter elevations, and as required to accommodate the proposed building finish floor elevation, provide safe vehicular and pedestrian access and to provide minimum cover on storm servicing as required for frost protection, convey storm flows to proposed drainage features and to safely convey major storm flows to the adjacent municipal right of way,
- Storm drainage is provided to contain site drainage, convey minor storm flows to the existing municipal storm system, and as required to convey the 5 year storm flows without surcharging,
- Stormwater management peak flow objectives can be achieved with the proposed 350mm orifice tube to control the post development flows to below the subdivision designed for 2-100 year flow based on a runoff coefficient of 0.75.
- Stormwater quality controls are proposed to be achieved using a package treatment unit, and additional treatment at downstream SWM pond,
- Sanitary service for the development is proposed with a 200mm connection to the existing 300mm sanitary sewer on James Snow Parkway Right of way.
- Water service for the development is proposed with 50mm domestic line and 150mm fire line from 200mm connection to existing 300mm watermain on James Snow Parkway right of way.
- Sediment and erosion controls as indicated on the Removals/Sediment and Erosion Control Plan are to be implemented prior to construction and maintained until the site is stabilized.

Prepared by:


MGM CONSULTING INC.



Calvin Dang, B.Eng



M.L. Stairs, P.Eng.



LEGEND

1.784 ● AREA (ha)

0.25 ● RUNOFF COEFFICIENT

TRUCK RENTAL AGENCY
7260 5 SIDEROAD, MILTON ON

PRE-DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE AREAS

MGM
CONSULTING INC

Consulting Engineering & Project Management
555 Industrial Drive Suite 201
Milton, Ontario L9T 5E1

Tel: (905) 567-8678
Fax: (905) 875-1339
Email: mgm@mgm.on.ca
www.mgm.on.ca

FIGURE 1

DATE: JAN-2021
SCALE: 1:1000
DWG # 2019-070-C4

APPENDIX A
STORMWATER MANAGEMENT CALCULATIONS

**TRUCK SALE & SERVICES AGENCY
7260 5 SIDEROAD, MILTON, ON
STORMWATER MANAGEMENT CALCULATIONS**

1.0 Drainage Area Characteristics

1.1 Existing Drainage Areas (see Figure No. 4):

	"c"	Area (ha)
Attenuated Areas:		
Landscaped Area	0.25	1.784
Sub Total	0.25	1.784
Total Area		1.784
Runoff Coefficient (Entire Site)		0.25

1.2 Proposed Drainage Areas (see Figure No. 5):

	"c"	Area (ha)
Attenuated Areas:		
Building	0.90	0.123
Paved Area	0.90	1.31
Landscaped Area	0.25	0.301
Sub Total	0.79	1.734
Unattenuated Areas:		
Landscaped Area	0.25	0.05
Sub Total	0.25	0.05
Total Area		1.784
Runoff Coefficient (Entire Site)		0.77

2.0 Allowable Post Development Flows

Subject site is part of the industrial lot within the Escarpment Business Community West Subdivision (EBC West) in Milton, Ontario. A storm water management pond S34 has been designed for the above subdivision which provided stormwater quality, quantity and erosion controls consistent with the requirements of the governing watershed study. The subdivision storm sewer design and the design of the downstream SWM facility were completed based on site being developed with an overall imperviousness of 0.75.

2.1 Allowable Flows from Area to be Redeveloped

Post development flows from the redevelopment area for the 2 and 100 year storm event are to be controlled to subdivision designed drainage area of 0.75 runoff coefficient

Storm (years)	Td (min)	I (mm/hr)	C	A (ha)	Q (allow.) (cms)
2	10	80.1	0.75	1.784	0.2976
5	10	105.3	0.75	1.784	0.3912
10	10	121.8	0.75	1.784	0.4527
25	10	143.0	0.75	1.784	0.5315
50	10	158.2	0.75	1.784	0.5879
100	10	174.1	0.75	1.784	0.6471

3.0 Rooftop Controlled Flow Calculations

There is no roof control proposed to the development

4.0 Storage Calculations

4.1 Two Year Site Storage

Rainfall Duration min.	hour	2 Year Rainfall Intensity (I) mm/h	Attenuated Flow cms	Unattenuated Flow cms	Controlled Flow cms	Aprox. Detention Volumes cu.m.
10	600	80.1	0.3036	0.003	0.2913	9.0
15	900	64.1	0.2429	0.002	0.2913	-41.6
20	1200	53.8	0.2038	0.002	0.2913	-102.8
25	1500	46.5	0.1764	0.002	0.2913	-169.9

4.1 Five Year Site Storage

Rainfall Duration min.	s	5 Year Rainfall Intensity (I) mm/h	Attenuated Flow cms	Unattenuated Flow cms	Controlled Flow cms	Aprox. Detention Volumes cu.m.
10	600	105.3	0.3991	0.004	0.3836	11.5
15	900	84.3	0.3197	0.003	0.3836	-54.9

20	1200	70.9	0.2687	0.002	0.3836	-134.9
25	1500	61.5	0.2330	0.002	0.3836	-222.7

4.1 Ten Year Site Storage

Rainfall Duration min.	s	10 Year Rainfall Intensity (I) mm/h	Attenuated Flow cms	Unattenuated Flow cms	Controlled Flow cms	Aprox. Detention Volumes cu.m.
10	600	121.8	0.4619	0.004	0.4441	13.2
15	900	97.8	0.3707	0.003	0.4441	-63.0
20	1200	82.3	0.3121	0.003	0.4441	-155.0
25	1500	71.5	0.2709	0.002	0.4441	-256.1

4.1 Twenty-five Year Site Storage

Rainfall Duration min.	s	25 Year Rainfall Intensity (I) mm/h	Attenuated Flow cms	Unattenuated Flow cms	Controlled Flow cms	Aprox. Detention Volumes cu.m.
10	600	143.0	0.5422	0.005	0.5213	15.6
15	900	114.8	0.4352	0.004	0.5213	-73.9
20	1200	96.7	0.3666	0.003	0.5213	-181.6
25	1500	84.0	0.3184	0.003	0.5213	-299.9

4.1 Fifty Year Site Storage

Rainfall Duration min.	s	50 Year Rainfall Intensity (I) mm/h	Attenuated Flow cms	Unattenuated Flow cms	Controlled Flow cms	Aprox. Detention Volumes cu.m.
10	600	158.2	0.5998	0.005	0.5715	20.2
15	900	126.9	0.4812	0.004	0.5715	-77.3
20	1200	106.9	0.4054	0.004	0.5715	-194.9
25	1500	92.9	0.3523	0.003	0.5715	-324.0
30	1800	82.5	0.3128	0.003	0.5715	-460.6

4.2 One Hundred Year Site Storage

Rainfall Duration min.	s	100 Year Rainfall Intensity (I) mm/h	Attenuated Flow cms	Unattenuated Flow cms	Controlled Flow cms	Aprox. Detention Volumes cu.m.
10	600	174.1	0.6601	0.006	0.5769	53.6
15	900	139.7	0.5295	0.005	0.5769	-38.2
20	1200	117.7	0.4461	0.004	0.5769	-152.0
25	1500	102.3	0.3877	0.004	0.5769	-278.4
30	1800	90.8	0.3443	0.003	0.5769	-412.9
35	2100	81.9	0.3106	0.003	0.5769	-553.1

5.0 Controlled Flow Calculations

Flows from the proposed storm system are to be controlled with the installation of an orifice over the inlet at manhole 1 as indicated on the site servicing plan.

2 year ponding elevation =	218.07	m.
5 year ponding elevation =	218.58	m.
10 year ponding elevation =	218.99	m.
25 year ponding elevation =	219.60	m.
50 year ponding elevation =	220.05	m.
100 year ponding elevation =	220.10	m.

Orifice equation: $Q = CA(2hg)^{0.5}$, where,

orifice invert elev. =	217.2	m.
c =	0.82	
g =	9.81	cu.m./sec
Orifice Diameter =	350	mm.
A =	0.0962	sq.m.
centreline orifice =	217.38	m.

	h (m)	Q (cms)	Attenuated Flow + Unattenuated Flow = Total Site Flow (cms)
2 year storm =	0.69	0.291	0.294
5 year storm =	1.21	0.384	0.387
10 year storm =	1.62	0.444	0.448
25 year storm =	2.22	0.521	0.526
50 year storm =	2.68	0.572	0.577
100 year storm =	2.72	0.577	0.583

6.0 On-Site Storage Provided

6.1 Pipe Storage

	Length (m)	Size (mm)	Area (m ²)	Volume (m ³)
CBMH11-CBMH10	40.0	250	0.049	1.96
CBMH10-CBMH9	31.5	375	0.110	3.48
CBMH9-CBMH8	29.0	450	0.159	4.61
CBMH8-MH2	53.5	450	0.159	8.51
CB6-MH5	33.3	300	0.071	2.35
MH5-MH4	40.7	300	0.071	2.88
MH12-MH4	11.5	250	0.049	0.56
CB7-MH4	11.5	250	0.049	0.56
MH4 CBMH3	30.2	300	0.071	2.13
CBMH3-MH2	38.7	450	0.159	6.15
MH2-MH1	2.0	450	0.159	0.32

TOTAL VOLUME **33.5**

6.2 Surface Ponding

The detention volume available within the ponding areas at an assumed elev of 220.10 m. is as follows:

Structure	Grate Elev. Elevation	Ponding Elevation	Area	Depth	Volume
CBMH9,CBMH8	219.95	220.10	360	0.15	18.0
CBMH3	219.80	220.10	668	0.30	66.8
CB7	219.48	220.10	148	0.62	30.6

Total SurfaceStorage = **115.4 cu.m.**

6.3 Total Stormwater Storage Provided Onsite

2-50yr Storage **33.5 cu.m.**
 50-100 yr Storage **148.9 cu.m.**

7.0 Water Balance Calculations

Water balance objectives are proposed to be achieved in soft landscaped areas, and through infiltration via proposed infiltration pit, is as follows:

7.1 Water balance calculations:

Proposed infiltration feature dimension = 15x22x05(m)
 Volume of stone base inside infiltration pit = 66 cu.m.
 Equivalent depth of water over site area = 3.7 mm.

Estimated water balance achieved in soft landscaped areas and areas of permeable pavement are as follows:

Surface Construction	Area (ha)	Initial Abstraction (mm)	Prorated Depth over Site Area
Pavement	1.433	0.5	0.4
Soft Landscaping	0.351	5	1.0

Based on the above, the total water balance provided by the proposed features is approxi **5.1 mm.**

The estimated time to infiltrate water contained within the infiltration trench is as follows:

Depth of trench = 500 mm
 Average "T" time = 50.00 min/cm. (as confirmed by the Geotechnical Engineer)
 Time to infiltrate = 2500 min = **41.7** hours

MGM CONSULTING INC.
STORM SEWER DESIGN SHEET

Project No.: 2019-070
 Subdivision: _____
 Date: 22-Jan-21 Revised: _____
 Des. By: CD Chk. By: _____

Location				Areas		A * C			Rainfall			Sewer Design					Surcharge	
Manhole from	Invert	Manhole to	Invert	Area	Cumulative Area	Coefficient	Incremental A * C	Cumulative A * C	Time	Intensity 5-year	Q Total	Equiv. Circ. Pipe Diameter	Slope	Max. Flow Q cap	Max Velocity V max	Length	Time in Section	Actual Flow to Max. Allowable Flow Ratio
	m.		m.	ha	ha	C	A * C	A * C	min	mm/hr.	cms	mm.	%	cms	m./sec.	m.	min.	%
CBMH11		CBMH10		0.196	0.196	0.62	0.122	0.122	10.0	105.3	0.036	250	1.00	0.060	1.21	39.5	0.54	60%
CBMH10		CBMH9		0.162	0.358	0.79	0.128	0.249	10.5	102.4	0.071	375	0.50	0.124	1.12	31.4	0.47	57%
CBMH9		CBMH8		0.156	0.514	0.83	0.129	0.378	11.0	100.1	0.105	450	0.50	0.202	1.27	29.0	0.38	52%
CBMH8		MH2		0.395	0.909	0.85	0.335	0.713	11.4	98.3	0.195	450	1.00	0.286	1.80	53.5	0.50	68%
CB6		MH5		0.213	0.213	0.65	0.138	0.138	10.0	105.3	0.040	300	0.50	0.068	0.97	31.0	0.53	59%
MH5		MH4		0.000	0.213	0.00	0.000	0.138	10.5	102.5	0.039	300	1.00	0.097	1.37	40.8	0.50	41%
CB7		MH4		0.203	0.203	0.82	0.167	0.167	10.0	105.3	0.049	250	1.00	0.060	1.21	11.3	0.16	82%
Building		MH12		0.123	0.123	0.95	0.117	0.117	10.0	105.3	0.034	250	0.50	0.042	0.86	17.3	0.34	81%
MH12		MH4			0.123			0.117	10.3	103.5	0.034	250	2.00	0.084	1.72	11.5	0.11	40%
MH4		CBMH3		0.000	0.539	0.00	0.000	0.422	10.6	102.1	0.120	450	0.50	0.202	1.27	25.2	0.33	59%
CBMH3		MH2		0.292	0.831	0.82	0.240	0.662	10.9	100.5	0.185	450	0.80	0.255	1.61	37.5	0.39	72%
MH2		MH1			1.740			1.375	11.9	96.1	0.367	450	2.50	0.451	2.84	2.0	0.01	81%
MH1		OGS			1.740			1.375	11.9	96.0	0.367	525	2.50	0.681	3.15	2.0	0.01	54%
OGS		EX. STM-MHS10			1.740			1.375	11.9	96.0	0.367	525	2.50	0.681	3.15	29.5	0.16	54%

n = 0.013

5 Year Rainfall Coefficient

A = 959

B = 5.7

C = 0.8024

APPENDIX B

WATER DEMAND & FIRE CALCULATIONS

Fire Flow Calculation

The FUS requires that a minimum water supply source 'F' be provided at 140 kPa
 The min flow 'F' can be calculated as such:

$$F=220C\sqrt{A}$$

where:

F- Required fire flow in L/min

C- Coefficient related to construction

A- Total area in sq.m

$$C = 0.8 \text{ (Non-Combustible construction)}$$

For non-combustible construction, the area shall be a total of all floors (excluding basements at least 50 percent below grade) in the building being considered.

$$A = 1218 \text{ sq.m}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} F &= 6142.4 \text{ L/min} \\ &= 6000 \text{ L/min (rounded to nearest 1000)} \end{aligned}$$

Reduction Factors:

$$F' = F * f1 * f2$$

where:

f1- Occupancy factor

Low hazard occupancy, *f1* = 25%

Therefore, the reduction due to low hazard occupancy = 1500 l/min.

and $F = 4500$ l/min

f2- Sprinkler protection factor

Based no fully automated sprinkler system, maximum reduction = 40%

Reduction = 1800 L/min

Exposure Factors:

$$F'' = F' * f3$$

where:

f3- Exposure factor not to exceed 75%

Separation between subject building and other structures, and associated charges are as follows:

	<u>Distance (m)</u>	<u>Charge</u>
North Side	>45	0%
South Side	Road	0%
East Side	Road	0%

Appendix B
Truck Sale & Services Agency
Town Of Milton
Regional of Halton

Site Redevelopment
Water Demand Calculations

Date: Jan 22, 2021

According to the Region of Halton Design Guildlines for Drinking Water System

Connection Point – Main Street			
	Industrial		
Total equivalent population to be serviced	222	persons	
Industrial Per Capital Demand (L/ha/Day)	34.375	m3/ha/day	
Total Lands to be Serviced	1.784	ha	
Hydrant Flow Test Location			
	Hydrant Flow Test Location		
		Pressure (kPa)	Time
Minimum water pressure		N/A	
Maximum water pressure		N/A	

No.	Water Demands			
	Demand type	Demand (units)		
		Use 1	Use 2	Total
1	Average day flow (l/s)	0.710	0	0.710
2	Maximum day flow (l/s)	1.60	0	1.60
3	Peak hour flow (l/s)	1.60	0	1.60
4	Fire Flow (l/s)	50.00	0	50.00
Analysis				
5	Maximum day plus fire flow (l/s)			51.60
6	Peak hour flow (l/s)			1.60
7	Maximum demand flow (l/s)			51.60

Note: Fire flow calculated based on the largest proposed building on the site.

APPENDIX C
TREATMENT UNIT SIZING REPORT

Stormceptor® EF Sizing Report

STORMCEPTOR®

ESTIMATED NET ANNUAL SEDIMENT (TSS) LOAD REDUCTION

09/18/2020

Province:	Ontario
City:	Milton
Nearest Rainfall Station:	TORONTO CENTRAL
NCDC Rainfall Station Id:	0100
Years of Rainfall Data:	18

Project Name:	Truck Rental Agency
Project Number:	2019-070
Designer Name:	Calvin Dang
Designer Company:	MGM Consulting
Designer Email:	cdang@mgm.on.ca
Designer Phone:	416-985-1214
EOR Name:	
EOR Company:	
EOR Email:	
EOR Phone:	

Site Name:	Truck Sale & Services Agency
------------	------------------------------

Drainage Area (ha):	1.879
% Imperviousness:	75.00

Runoff Coefficient 'c': 0.75

Particle Size Distribution:	OK-110
Target TSS Removal (%):	80.0

Required Water Quality Runoff Volume Capture (%):	90.00
Estimated Water Quality Flow Rate (L/s):	22.14
Oil / Fuel Spill Risk Site?	Yes
Upstream Flow Control?	No
Peak Conveyance (maximum) Flow Rate (L/s):	172.00
Site Sediment Transport Rate (kg/ha/yr):	

Net Annual Sediment (TSS) Load Reduction Sizing Summary	
Stormceptor Model	TSS Removal Provided (%)
EFO4	81
EFO6	93
EFO8	97
EFO10	98
EFO12	99

Recommended Stormceptor EFO Model: **EFO4**
 Estimated Net Annual Sediment (TSS) Load Reduction (%): **81**
 Water Quality Runoff Volume Capture (%): **> 90**

Stormceptor® EF Sizing Report

THIRD-PARTY TESTING AND VERIFICATION

► **Stormceptor® EF and Stormceptor® EFO** are the latest evolutions in the Stormceptor® oil-grit separator (OGS) technology series, and are designed to remove a wide variety of pollutants from stormwater and snowmelt runoff. These technologies have been third-party tested in accordance with the Canadian ETV **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators** and performance has been third-party verified in accordance with the **ISO 14034 Environmental Technology Verification (ETV)** protocol.

PERFORMANCE

► **Stormceptor® EF and EFO** remove stormwater pollutants through gravity separation and floatation, and feature a patent-pending design that generates positive removal of total suspended solids (TSS) throughout each storm event, including high-intensity storms. Captured pollutants include sediment, free oils, and sediment-bound pollutants such as nutrients, heavy metals, and petroleum hydrocarbons. Stormceptor is sized to remove a high level of TSS from the frequent rainfall events that contribute the vast majority of annual runoff volume and pollutant load. The technology incorporates an internal bypass to convey excessive stormwater flows from high-intensity storms through the device without resuspension and washout (scour) of previously captured pollutants. Proper routine maintenance ensures high pollutant removal performance and protection of downstream waterways.

PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION (PSD)

► The **Canadian ETV PSD** shown in the table below was used, or in part, for this sizing. This is the identical PSD that is referenced in the Canadian ETV **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators** for both sediment removal testing and scour testing. The Canadian ETV PSD contains a wide range of particle sizes in the sand and silt fractions, and is considered reasonably representative of the particle size fractions found in typical urban stormwater runoff.

Particle Size (µm)	Percent Less Than	Particle Size Fraction (µm)	Percent
1000	100	500-1000	5
500	95	250-500	5
250	90	150-250	15
150	75	100-150	15
100	60	75-100	10
75	50	50-75	5
50	45	20-50	10
20	35	8-20	15
8	20	5-8	10
5	10	2-5	5
2	5	<2	5

Stormceptor®EF Sizing Report

Rainfall Intensity (mm / hr)	Percent Rainfall Volume (%)	Cumulative Rainfall Volume (%)	Flow Rate (L/s)	Flow Rate (L/min)	Surface Loading Rate (L/min/m²)	Removal Efficiency (%)	Incremental Removal (%)	Cumulative Removal (%)
1	53.7	53.7	3.92	235.0	196.0	99	53.2	53.2
2	16.9	70.6	7.84	470.0	392.0	88	14.8	68.0
3	8.6	79.2	11.75	705.0	588.0	62	5.3	73.3
4	6.4	85.6	15.67	940.0	784.0	55	3.5	76.8
5	3.1	88.7	19.59	1175.0	979.0	50	1.6	78.4
6	2.0	90.7	23.51	1410.0	1175.0	42	0.8	79.2
7	1.5	92.2	27.42	1645.0	1371.0	32	0.5	79.7
8	0.7	92.9	31.34	1881.0	1567.0	28	0.2	79.9
9	1.8	94.7	35.26	2116.0	1763.0	25	0.4	80.4
10	1.3	96.0	39.18	2351.0	1959.0	22	0.3	80.7
11	0.9	96.9	43.09	2586.0	2155.0	20	0.2	80.8
12	0.4	97.3	47.01	2821.0	2351.0	18	0.1	80.9
13	0.4	97.7	50.93	3056.0	2547.0	17	0.1	81.0
14	0.4	98.1	54.85	3291.0	2742.0	16	0.1	81.0
15	0.2	98.3	58.77	3526.0	2938.0	15	0.0	81.1
16	0.0	98.3	62.68	3761.0	3134.0	14	0.0	81.1
17	0.0	98.3	66.60	3996.0	3330.0	13	0.0	81.1
18	0.2	98.5	70.52	4231.0	3526.0	12	0.0	81.1
19	0.0	98.5	74.44	4466.0	3722.0	12	0.0	81.1
20	0.0	98.5	78.35	4701.0	3918.0	11	0.0	81.1
21	0.0	98.5	82.27	4936.0	4114.0	11	0.0	81.1
22	0.0	98.5	86.19	5171.0	4309.0	10	0.0	81.1
23	0.0	98.5	90.11	5406.0	4505.0	10	0.0	81.1
24	0.4	98.9	94.03	5642.0	4701.0	9	0.0	81.1
25	0.0	98.9	97.94	5877.0	4897.0	9	0.0	81.1




Stormceptor®EF Sizing Report

Rainfall Intensity (mm / hr)	Percent Rainfall Volume (%)	Cumulative Rainfall Volume (%)	Flow Rate (L/s)	Flow Rate (L/min)	Surface Loading Rate (L/min/m²)	Removal Efficiency (%)	Incremental Removal (%)	Cumulative Removal (%)
26	0.2	99.1	101.86	6112.0	5093.0	9	0.0	81.2
27	0.0	99.1	105.78	6347.0	5289.0	8	0.0	81.2
28	0.0	99.1	109.70	6582.0	5485.0	8	0.0	81.2
29	0.2	99.3	113.61	6817.0	5681.0	8	0.0	81.2
30	0.0	99.3	117.53	7052.0	5877.0	7	0.0	81.2
31	0.0	99.3	121.45	7287.0	6072.0	7	0.0	81.2
32	0.2	99.5	125.37	7522.0	6268.0	7	0.0	81.2
33	0.2	99.7	129.28	7757.0	6464.0	7	0.0	81.2
34	0.0	99.7	133.20	7992.0	6660.0	7	0.0	81.2
35	0.0	99.7	137.12	8227.0	6856.0	6	0.0	81.2
36	0.0	99.7	141.04	8462.0	7052.0	6	0.0	81.2
37	0.0	99.7	144.96	8697.0	7248.0	6	0.0	81.2
38	0.0	99.7	148.87	8932.0	7444.0	6	0.0	81.2
39	0.0	99.7	152.79	9167.0	7640.0	6	0.0	81.2
40	0.0	99.7	156.71	9403.0	7835.0	6	0.0	81.2
41	0.0	99.7	160.63	9638.0	8031.0	5	0.0	81.2
42	0.0	99.7	164.54	9873.0	8227.0	5	0.0	81.2
43	0.0	99.7	168.46	10108.0	8423.0	5	0.0	81.2
44	0.0	99.7	172.38	10343.0	8619.0	5	0.0	81.2
45	0.0	99.7	176.30	10578.0	8815.0	5	0.0	81.2
46	0.0	99.7	180.21	10813.0	9011.0	5	0.0	81.2
47	0.2	99.9	184.13	11048.0	9207.0	5	0.0	81.2
48	0.0	99.9	188.05	11283.0	9403.0	5	0.0	81.2
49	0.0	99.9	191.97	11518.0	9598.0	5	0.0	81.2
50	0.0	99.9	195.89	11753.0	9794.0	4	0.0	81.2
Estimated Net Annual Sediment (TSS) Load Reduction =								81 %




Stormceptor® EF Sizing Report

RAINFALL DATA FROM TORONTO CENTRAL RAINFALL STATION



INCREMENTAL AND CUMULATIVE TSS REMOVAL FOR THE RECOMMENDED STORMCEPTOR® MODEL



Stormceptor® **EF** Sizing Report

Maximum Pipe Diameter / Peak Conveyance

Stormceptor EF / EFO	Model Diameter		Min Angle Inlet / Outlet Pipes	Max Inlet Pipe Diameter		Max Outlet Pipe Diameter		Peak Conveyance Flow Rate	
	(m)	(ft)		(mm)	(in)	(mm)	(in)	(L/s)	(cfs)
EF4 / EFO4	1.2	4	90	609	24	609	24	425	15
EF6 / EFO6	1.8	6	90	914	36	914	36	990	35
EF8 / EFO8	2.4	8	90	1219	48	1219	48	1700	60
EF10 / EFO10	3.0	10	90	1828	72	1828	72	2830	100
EF12 / EFO12	3.6	12	90	1828	72	1828	72	2830	100

SCOUR PREVENTION AND ONLINE CONFIGURATION


► Stormceptor® EF and EFO feature an internal bypass and superior scour prevention technology that have been demonstrated in third-party testing according to the scour testing provisions of the Canadian ETV **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators**, and the exceptional scour test performance has been third-party verified in accordance with the ISO 14034 ETV protocol. As a result, Stormceptor EF and EFO are approved for online installation, eliminating the need for costly additional bypass structures, piping, and installation expense.

DESIGN FLEXIBILITY


► Stormceptor® EF and EFO offers design flexibility in one simplified platform, accepting stormwater flow from a single inlet pipe or multiple inlet pipes, and/or surface runoff through an inlet grate. The device can also serve as a junction structure, accommodate a 90-degree inlet-to-outlet bend angle, and can be modified to ensure performance in submerged conditions.

OIL CAPTURE AND RETENTION

► While Stormceptor® EF will capture and retain oil from dry weather spills and low intensity runoff, Stormceptor® EFO has demonstrated superior oil capture and greater than 99% oil retention in third-party testing according to the light liquid re-entrainment testing provisions of the Canadian ETV **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators**. Stormceptor EFO is recommended for sites where oil capture and retention is a requirement.



Stormceptor® EF Sizing Report



INLET-TO-OUTLET DROP

Elevation differential between inlet and outlet pipe inverts is dictated by the angle at which the inlet pipe(s) enters the unit.

0° - 45° : The inlet pipe is 1-inch (25mm) higher than the outlet pipe.

45° - 90° : The inlet pipe is 2-inches (50mm) higher than the outlet pipe.

HEAD LOSS

The head loss through Stormceptor EF is similar to that of a 60-degree bend structure. The applicable K value for calculating minor losses through the unit is 1.1.

For submerged conditions the applicable K value is 3.0.

Pollutant Capacity

Stormceptor EF / EFO	Model Diameter		Depth (Outlet Pipe Invert to Sump Floor)		Oil Volume		Recommended Sediment Maintenance Depth *		Maximum Sediment Volume *		Maximum Sediment Mass **	
	(m)	(ft)	(m)	(ft)	(L)	(Gal)	(mm)	(in)	(L)	(ft³)	(kg)	(lb)
EF4 / EFO4	1.2	4	1.52	5.0	265	70	203	8	1190	42	1904	5250
EF6 / EFO6	1.8	6	1.93	6.3	610	160	305	12	3470	123	5552	15375
EF8 / EFO8	2.4	8	2.59	8.5	1070	280	610	24	8780	310	14048	38750
EF10 / EFO10	3.0	10	3.25	10.7	1670	440	610	24	17790	628	28464	78500
EF12 / EFO12	3.6	12	3.89	12.8	2475	655	610	24	31220	1103	49952	137875

*Increased sump depth may be added to increase sediment storage capacity

** Average density of wet packed sediment in sump = 1.6 kg/L (100 lb/ft³)

Feature	Benefit	Feature Appeals To
Patent-pending enhanced flow treatment and scour prevention technology	Superior, verified third-party performance	Regulator, Specifying & Design Engineer
Third-party verified light liquid capture and retention for EFO version	Proven performance for fuel/oil hotspot locations	Regulator, Specifying & Design Engineer, Site Owner
Functions as bend, junction or inlet structure	Design flexibility	Specifying & Design Engineer
Minimal drop between inlet and outlet	Site installation ease	Contractor
Large diameter outlet riser for inspection and maintenance	Easy maintenance access from grade	Maintenance Contractor & Site Owner

STANDARD STORMCEPTOR EF/EFO DRAWINGS

For standard details, please visit <http://www.imbrium.com/stormwater-treatment-solutions/stormceptor-ef>

STANDARD STORMCEPTOR EF/EFO SPECIFICATION

For specifications, please visit <http://www.imbrium.com/stormwater-treatment-solutions/stormceptor-ef>

Stormceptor® **EF** Sizing Report

**STANDARD PERFORMANCE SPECIFICATION FOR
“OIL GRIT SEPARATOR” (OGS) STORMWATER QUALITY TREATMENT DEVICE**

PART 1 – GENERAL

1.1 WORK INCLUDED

This section specifies requirements for selecting, sizing, and designing an underground Oil Grit Separator (OGS) device for stormwater quality treatment, with third-party testing results and a Statement of Verification in accordance with ISO 14034 Environmental Management – Environmental Technology Verification (ETV).

1.2 REFERENCE STANDARDS & PROCEDURES

ISO 14034:2016 Environmental management – Environmental technology verification (ETV)

Canadian Environmental Technology Verification (ETV) Program’s **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators**

1.3 SUBMITTALS

1.3.1 All submittals, including sizing reports & shop drawings, shall be submitted upon request with each order to the contractor then forwarded to the Engineer of Record for review and acceptance. Shop drawings shall detail all OGS components, elevations, and sequence of construction.

1.3.2 Alternative devices shall have features identical to or greater than the specified device, including: treatment chamber diameter, treatment chamber wet volume, sediment storage volume, and oil storage volume.

1.3.3 Unless directed otherwise by the Engineer of Record, OGS stormwater quality treatment product substitutions or alternatives submitted within ten days prior to project bid shall not be accepted. All alternatives or substitutions submitted shall be signed and sealed by a local registered Professional Engineer, based on the exact same criteria detailed in Section 3, in entirety, subject to review and approval by the Engineer of Record.

PART 2 – PRODUCTS

2.1 OGS POLLUTANT STORAGE

The OGS device shall include a sump for sediment storage, and a protected volume for the capture and storage of petroleum hydrocarbons and buoyant gross pollutants. The minimum sediment & petroleum hydrocarbon storage capacity shall be as follows:

2.1.1	4 ft (1219 mm) Diameter OGS Units:	1.19 m ³ sediment / 265 L oil
	6 ft (1829 mm) Diameter OGS Units:	3.48 m ³ sediment / 609 L oil
	8 ft (2438 mm) Diameter OGS Units:	8.78 m ³ sediment / 1,071 L oil
	10 ft (3048 mm) Diameter OGS Units:	17.78 m ³ sediment / 1,673 L oil
	12 ft (3657 mm) Diameter OGS Units:	31.23 m ³ sediment / 2,476 L oil

PART 3 – PERFORMANCE & DESIGN

3.1 GENERAL

The OGS stormwater quality treatment device shall be verified in accordance with ISO 14034:2016 Environmental management – Environmental technology verification (ETV). The OGS stormwater quality treatment device shall



Stormceptor® EF Sizing Report

remove oil, sediment and gross pollutants from stormwater runoff during frequent wet weather events, and retain these pollutants during less frequent high flow wet weather events below the insert within the OGS for later removal during maintenance. The Manufacturer shall have at least ten (10) years of local experience, history and success in engineering design, manufacturing and production and supply of OGS stormwater quality treatment device systems, acceptable to the Engineer of Record.

3.2 SIZING METHODOLOGY

The OGS device shall be engineered, designed and sized to provide stormwater quality treatment based on treating a minimum of 90 percent of the average annual runoff volume and a minimum removal of an annual average 60% of the sediment (TSS) load based on the Particle Size Distribution (PSD) specified in the sizing report for the specified device. Sizing shall be determined using historical rainfall data and a sediment removal performance curve derived from the actual third-party verified laboratory testing data. The OGS device shall also have sufficient annual sediment storage capacity as specified and calculated in Section 2.1.

3.3 CANADIAN ETV or ISO 14034 ETV VERIFICATION OF SCOUR TESTING

The OGS device shall have Canadian ETV or ISO 14034 ETV Verification of third-party scour testing conducted in accordance with the Canadian ETV Program's **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators**.

3.3.1 To be acceptable for on-line installation, the OGS device must demonstrate an average scour test effluent concentration less than 10 mg/L at each surface loading rate tested, up to and including 2600 L/min/m².

3.4 LIGHT LIQUID RE-ENTRAINMENT SIMULATION TESTING

The OGS device shall have Canadian ETV or ISO 14034 ETV Verification of completed third-party Light Liquid Re-entrainment Simulation Testing in accordance with the Canadian ETV **Program's Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators**, with results reported within the Canadian ETV or ISO 14034 ETV verification. This re-entrainment testing is conducted with the device pre-loaded with low density polyethylene (LDPE) plastic beads as a surrogate for light liquids such as oil and fuel. Testing is conducted on the same OGS unit tested for sediment removal to assess whether light liquids captured after a spill are effectively retained at high flow rates.

3.4.1 For an OGS device to be an acceptable stormwater treatment device on a site where vehicular traffic occurs and the potential for an oil or fuel spill exists, the OGS device must have reported verified performance results of greater than 99% cumulative retention of LDPE plastic beads for the five specified surface loading rates (ranging 200 L/min/m² to 2600 L/min/m²) in accordance with the Light Liquid Re-entrainment Simulation Testing within the Canadian ETV Program's **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators**. However, an OGS device shall not be allowed if the Light Liquid Re-entrainment Simulation Testing was performed with screening components within the OGS device that are effective at retaining the LDPE plastic beads, but would not be expected to retain light liquids such as oil and fuel.

APPENDIX D
SANITARY DESIGN CALCULATIONS



TOWN OF MILTON
SANITARY SEWER DESIGN SHEET


Project No. 2019-070
 Subdivision: Truck Sale & Services Agency
 Date: 18-Sep-20
 Des. By: DT Chk. By: CD

1. Sanitary Design Flow for Proposed Development

Street	Tributary Area Hectare				Population Tributary				Average Increment L/s	Average Total L/s	Peaking Factor	Max. m ³ /s	Infiltration L/s	Max. Flow L/s	SEWER					PIPE			REMARKS
	Increment			Total	Increment			Total							Q L/s	V m/s		Type	n	Class			
	Res. ha	Comm. ha	Ind. ha	ha	Res.	Comm.	Ind.	ha								Full Flow	Act. Flow						
MHA1 to James Snow Pr	1.784			1.78			222	222	0.7066	0.7066	3.305	2.685	0.510	3.195	200	2.00	46.40	1.48	0.2	PVC	0.013	SDR35	

- * Max peaking factor based on Town of Cobourg Design Guidelines = 3.8
- * Population density for light industrial = 125 person/hectare
- * Unit Sewage Flow = 0.003183*10⁻³ m³/ha/s
- * Infiltration = 0.286 L/s


APPENDIX E
SUBDIVISION STORM DRAINAGE PLANS



EBC WEST PHASE III SUBDIVISION
 JAMES SNOW PARKWAY STORM DRAINAGE AREAS

MGM
 CONSULTING INC
 Consulting Engineering & Project Management
 555 Industrial Drive
 Suite 201
 Milton, Ontario
 L9T 5E1
 Tel: (905) 867-8678
 Fax: (905) 875-1339
 Email: mgm@mgm.on.ca
www.mgm.on.ca


FIGURE #3
 DATE: APRIL 2021
 SCALE: 1:4000
 DWG#: 2021-004 C2



NOTE: STORMWATER MANAGEMENT POND CONSTRUCTED AT TIME OF SUBMISSION. JAMES SNOW PARKWAY SUBSTANTIALLY CONSTRUCTED AT TIME OF SUBMISSION.

NOTE: BLOCK 1 TO DRAIN TO POND THROUGH FUTURE INTERMEDIATE STORM SEWERS.

NOTE: ON SITE SWM CONTROLS REQUIRED FOR BLOCK 7.



Key Plan:
N/S

Legend:

	STORM SEWER
	CATCHMENT
	DRAINAGE AREA
	COEFFICIENT
	EXTERNAL DRAINAGE AREA
	COEFFICIENT
	TIME OF CONCENTRATION
	STORM DRAINAGE AREA BOUNDARY
	FUTURE OVERLAND FLOW WITHIN FUTURE LOTS
	PROPOSED OVERLAND FLOW ALONG ROADWAY
	EXISTING DRAINAGE PATTERN
	DRAFT PLAN BOUNDARY
	PHASING LINE
	OWNERSHIP BOUNDARY
	EXISTING CONTOUR

Benchmark Info:
CONIC, BRIDGE CARRYING REG. RD. 22 OVER HWY 401. TABLET IS SET HORIZ. IN THE SOUTH FACE OF NORTH ABUTMENT, 40 CM EAST OF THE S.W. CORNER AND ELEVATION: 222.024; EASTING: 568084.000; NORTHING: 4919294.000

No.	By	Date	Description	Approved
1	JB	4 AUG 10	FIRST SUBMISSION	MS
2	JB	11 FEB 11	SECOND SUBMISSION	MS
3	JB	24 OCT 11	THIRD SUBMISSION	MS
4	JB	4 JAN 12	FOURTH SUBMISSION	MS
5	JB	13 JUNE 12	FIFTH SUBMISSION	MS

TOWN OF MILTON
ENGINEERING SERVICES DEPARTMENT

DIRECTOR OF ENGINEERING SERVICES

REGIONAL DESIGN OF SANITARY AND WATER SERVICES APPROVED SUBJECT TO DETAIL CONSTRUCTION CONFORMING TO HALTON REGION STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS TO LOCALIZATION APPROVAL FROM AREA MUNICIPALITIES.

LEGISLATIVE AND PLANNING SERVICES

DATE: _____

Professional Stamp:
MGM CONSULTING INC.
CONSULTING ENGINEER
REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER
MILTON, ONTARIO

Consultant Firm:
MGM CONSULTING INC.
Professional Consulting Engineering & Architect Management
5088 27th Street, Suite 200
Milton, ON L7T 4W7
Tel: (905) 875-1530
Email: info@mgtinc.com

Escarpment Business Community West
MILTON, ONTARIO

STORM DRAINAGE PLAN

Date:	30 JUNE 2010	Drawing No.	201
Scale:	1:2000	Sheet No.	8 OF 36
Drawn/Designed By:	JB	Checked By:	M.S.
Regional File No.	241-880277M DM-0132	DWG.	8 OF 36

APPENDIX F

SERVICING AGREEMENT WITH REGION OF HALTON

The applicant(s) hereby applies to the Land Registrar.

Properties

PIN 24976 - 0126 LT
Description BLOCK 6, PLAN 20M1119; TOWN OF MILTON
Address MILTON

PIN 24976 - 0127 LT
Description BLOCK 7, PLAN 20M1119; TOWN OF MILTON
Address MILTON

Consideration

Consideration \$ 0.00

Applicant(s)

The notice is based on or affects a valid and existing estate, right, interest or equity in land

Name THE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF HALTON
Address for Service 1151 Bronte Road
Oakville, Ontario L6M 3L1
Legal Services

This document is not authorized under Power of Attorney by this party.

This document is being authorized by a municipal corporation The Regional Municipality of Halton, Gary Carr, Regional Chair and Karyn Bennett, Regional Clerk.

Statements

This notice is pursuant to Section 71 of the Land Titles Act.

This notice is for an indeterminate period

Schedule: See Schedules

Signed By

Mary Jane Bilof 1151 Bronte Road acting for Signed 2017 01 11
Oakville Applicant(s)
L6M 3L1
Tel 905-825-6260
Fax 905-825-8858

I have the authority to sign and register the document on behalf of the Applicant(s).

Submitted By

THE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF HALTON 1151 Bronte Road 2017 01 11
Oakville
L6M 3L1
Tel 905-825-6260
Fax 905-825-8858

Fees/Taxes/Payment

Statutory Registration Fee \$63.35
Total Paid \$63.35

File Number

Applicant Client File Number : 2016-312

THIS DOCUMENT IS BEING REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 51(26) OF THE PLANNING ACT, R.S.O.
1990, C. P.13, AS AMENDED.

THIS SERVICING AGREEMENT made in duplicate this 31st day of October, 2016.

B E T W E E N:

THE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF HALTON

(the "Region")

- and -

I. G. INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT, LTD.

(the "Owner")

WHEREAS the Owner owns property in the Town of Milton, in the Regional Municipality of Halton, the entirety of which is more particularly described in Schedule "One" and depicted in Schedule "Two" attached hereto (the "Lands");

AND WHEREAS the Region is responsible for the provision of certain services such as sanitary sewers, water, Regional roads, storm water related to Regional roads, landfill, solid waste disposal, police protection, and matters of planning, health, and social services throughout the Regional area, including the property described herein in Schedule "One".

AND WHEREAS the Owner wishes to service the Lands and has agreed to finance the design, construction, engineering services, inspection and contract administration, at its sole expense, of an extension of the Regional water, wastewater and storm water systems consisting of:

- A local 300 mm diameter watermain on James Snow Parkway (Regional Road 4) servicing the Lands shall extend 70 m north of Mount Pleasant Way for approximately 400 m to the intersection of James Snow Parkway and the realigned No. 5 Side Road (the "James Snow Watermain");
- A local 300 mm diameter watermain on the realigned No. 5 Side Road servicing the Lands shall extend from James Snow Parkway (Regional Road 4) for approximately 280 m to No. 5 Side Road (the "No. 5 Side Road Watermain");
- A local 300 mm diameter wastewater main on James Snow Parkway (Regional Road 4) servicing the Lands shall extend 70 m north of Mount Pleasant Way for approximately 370 m to the intersection of James Snow Parkway and the realigned No. 5 Side Road (the "James Snow Wastewater Main");
- A local 300 mm diameter wastewater main on the realigned No. 5 Side Road servicing the Lands shall extend from James Snow Parkway (Regional Road 4) for approximately 250 m to No. 5 Side Road (the "No. 5 Side Road Wastewater Main"); and
- The storm sewer system's oversizing on James Snow Parkway (Regional Road 4) and the realigned No. 5 Side Road, to accommodate post development storm water flows from the Lands (the "Storm Sewer").
- The James Snow Watermain, the No. 5 Side Road Watermain, the James Snow Wastewater Main, the No. 5 Side Road Wastewater Main and the Storm Sewer shall be completed by the Region as part of Regional contract R-2387C-16 on the Owner's behalf, and shall collectively be known as the "Works".

AND WHEREAS the Region has expressed certain concerns with respect to the servicing of the Lands, which concerns must be satisfied before any development can take place on the Lands;

AND WHEREAS Regional Council passed a by-law no. 44-16 approving the design and construction of the extension of the Regional water, wastewater, and storm water systems to service the property owned by the Owner subject to the condition that the Owner enter into a

written agreement with the Region providing for the construction of the said Regional water and wastewater systems, and the storm sewer oversizing at the Owner's complete and sole expense;

AND WHEREAS the Region is prepared to construct the said Works under Regional contract R-2387C-16, according to Regional standards, provided the Owner agrees to pay for the actual cost of the design, construction, including inspection fees and engineering services and contract administration costs related to the construction of the Works;

AND WHEREAS approval for such financing of the said design and construction and related fees and costs have been given by Regional Council subject to the Owner entering into a written agreement whereby the Owner acknowledges and agrees that the construction of the said extension to the Regional water, wastewater and storm sewer systems shall not be construed as to relieve the Owner from the requirements of obtaining any and all necessary planning approval, including; among others, draft and/or final approval for plans of subdivision, parkway belt and environmental approvals, rezoning and site plan approvals;

AND WHEREAS the Owner will resolve the concerns for servicing of the Lands by entering into this Agreement with the Region and registering the same against the title of the Lands;

AND WHEREAS the Owner is desirous of proceeding with the servicing of the said Lands and is desirous of entering into this Agreement;

NOW THEREFORE IN CONSIDERATION OF THE MUTUAL COVENANTS AND AGREEMENTS CONTAINED HEREIN AND SUBJECT TO THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS HEREINAFTER SET OUT, THE PARTIES HERETO HEREBY AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

GENERAL

Representations

1. The Owner is the registered Owner of the Lands described in Schedule "One" and depicted in Schedule "Two" of this Agreement.

Registration and Release

2. The Owner shall deliver to the Region as many copies as the Region reasonably requires of the draft reference plan of the Lands.
3. If changes are made to the draft reference plan before final approval, the Owner shall notify the Region of the changes and provide the Region with additional revised draft reference plans.
4. At the Region's request the Owner shall provide as many copies of the final reference plan as the Region may reasonably require immediately after registration.
5. (a) The Owner and the Region acknowledge and agree that this Agreement shall be registered on title to the Lands. To that effect, the Owner hereby consents to the registration of this Agreement on the title to the Lands.

(b) The Owner hereby agrees that at the time of registration, this Agreement shall in conjunction with any other municipal land development agreements, be a first charge upon the Lands. The Owner hereby agrees that if at the time of registration of this Agreement there are any encumbrances on the title to the Lands held by any party other than the Region or local municipality, then the Owner shall arrange for the discharge of such encumbrances from title. In the alternative, the Owner shall obtain a postponement in favour of the Region for each encumbrance and consent to this Agreement's priority on title.

- (c) The Region agrees to provide a release and discharge, at the total cost of the Owner, both with respect to preparation and registration, of any charges created by this Agreement upon the performance of all conditions in default or outstanding at the time a discharge or release is required by the Owner.

Conveyances

6. The Owner shall deliver to the Region prior to registration of this Agreement such undertakings, conveyances, and easements for Regional Road purposes as the Region may request. The lands to be conveyed or over which easements are to be granted are described in Schedule "Four" of this Agreement.

CONSTRUCTION OF WORKS

7. The parties agree that Schedule "Three" of this Agreement contains a summary of the Works required hereunder, including all estimated costs for inspection and administration of the Works, plus a general administration fee for the preparation of this Agreement.
8. The Owner shall pay the actual cost of the Works, including the design and construction costs, the engineering and contract administration costs, and the inspection fees for the Works.
9. The Region agrees to take all action necessary to ensure the construction, inspection and administration of the Works at the Owner's complete and sole expense, subject to the terms and conditions set out in this Agreement. The Region shall construct the Works set out in Schedule "Three" of this Agreement.
10. Intentionally deleted
11. The parties agree that the actual cost of the Works as set out in Schedule "Three" may vary in accordance with the actual cost of designing and constructing the Works. The Owner acknowledges and agrees that it shall pay the actual cost of the design and construction including any such variance, and the inspection and contract administration costs. The Region shall proceed with construction pursuant to this estimate of the costs and represents to the Owner that the total construction cost in respect of the Works set out in Schedule "Three" is not expected to exceed such sum. However, in the event the actual cost of designing and constructing the Works exceeds the amount set out in Schedule "Three", the Region will provide notice of such excess to the Owner and the Owner will pay the difference forthwith to the Region.

Payment of Monies

12. On the Owner's execution of this Agreement, the Owner shall provide monies to the Region in the amount set out in Part C, section 5 of Schedule "Three", in cash or by certified cheque made payable to the Region. Except as otherwise provided herein, the amount shall be equal to one hundred per cent (100%) of the estimated cost of designing and constructing the Works required under this Agreement, including all inspection fees and contract administration fees.
13. All cash and/or certified cheque referred to in Section 12 shall be used to pay the gross cost of the Works as summarized in Schedule "Three" attached hereto.

Liability for Actual Costs

14. The final cost of the Works and the subsequent contract administration and inspection fees related to the Works, may vary from the estimates contained in Schedule "Three". The Owner shall be liable for the actual design and construction costs, as well as the actual costs associated with the contract administration and inspection of the Works. The Owner acknowledges that it is solely responsible for one hundred per cent (100%) of the actual

design and construction costs incurred by the Region for the Works, and agrees to provide the Region with the cost of the Works, as per Schedule "Three".

15. Intentionally deleted
16. Intentionally deleted

DEVELOPMENT CHARGES

Payment of Development Charges and Other Monies Pursuant to this Agreement

17. (a) The Owner hereby acknowledges and agrees to adhere to the Region's development charges policy set out in By-law no. 48-12 passed April 18, 2012, as amended from time to time or by successor by-law(s).
 - (b) With respect to the development charge owing under the current development charges by laws, the Owner hereby agrees to pay in cash or by certified cheque to the Treasurer of the local municipality the amount(s) required on the date a building permit is issued in relation to a building or structure on Lands, subject to this Agreement to which a development charge applies.
 - (c) If Regional development charges are owing and the local municipality fails to collect such charges, then on the date the building permit is issued to the Owner with respect to the Lands, the Owner hereby agrees to pay to the Region's Director of Financial Planning & Budgets, in cash or by certified cheque, the development charges in accordance with the then current development charges by law.
 - (d) If the development charges or any part thereof, imposed by the Region remains unpaid after the due date, the Region's Director of Financial Planning & Budgets shall certify to the Treasurer of the local municipality in which the Lands are located the unpaid amount and this amount shall be added to the tax roll of the local municipality and shall be collected in a manner like municipal taxes.
18. The Owner hereby agrees to pay in cash or by certified cheque to the Region at the time of execution of this Agreement the balance of monies set out in Schedule "Three".

FURTHER ASSURANCES

Complaints

19. The Owner agrees that if he deems himself aggrieved by any decision of the Region's Commissioner of Legislative and Planning Services made pursuant to this Agreement, the following rules will apply:
- (i) the Owner will carry out whatever directions the Region's Commissioner of Legislative and Planning Services gives pursuant to written notice;
 - (ii) the grievement of the Owner shall be submitted in writing to the Region's Commissioner of Legislative and Planning Services;
 - (iii) the Region's Commissioner of Legislative and Planning Services shall review the Owner's grievement and either affirm, reverse or modify the decision;
 - (iv) the Region's Commissioner of Legislative and Planning Services shall provide written reasons for the reviewed decision;
 - (v) thereafter the Owner must appeal within fifteen (15) days of receipt of the Region's Commissioner of Legislative and Planning Services' decision in writing to the Council of the Region;

- (vi) the Council may consider whatever factors it considers relevant in reaching its decision, and its decision shall be final; and
- (vii) if the Owner does not appeal to Council, the decision of the Region's Commissioner of Legislative and Planning Services is final.

Notice

20. Any notice required to be given under this Agreement shall be in writing, and may be given personally, by facsimile or by prepaid first class mail, in which case receipt shall be deemed ten (10) clear days after the mailing.

Notice to the parties may be delivered to the following addresses:

Region: 1151 Bronte Road
Oakville, Ontario L6M 3L1
Fax: 905-825-8838
Attn: Commissioner of Legislative and Planning Services and
Corporate Counsel

Owner: 2680 Matheson Boulevard East, Suite 104
Mississauga, Ontario L9T 0H7
Fax: 416-241-2728
Attn: Michael Broccolini, V.P. Finance & Business Development

The Owner may at any time give notice in writing to the Region of any change of address and after the giving of such notice the address therein specified shall be deemed to be the address of the Owner. In the event of strike, lock-outs or other stoppages in the Canadian postal system, notices, requests or other instruments under this Agreement shall be given by personal delivery or facsimile to the Region, and if so personally delivered shall be considered to have been received on the date of personal delivery.

Assignment

21. This Agreement may not be assigned by the Owner, except with the written consent of the Region.

Waiver

22. The Owner agrees that any actions of the Region in contravention of the terms of this Agreement shall not be relied upon as a waiver of any term of this Agreement and no approvals given by any employee of the Region shall constitute a waiver by the Region of any of its rights under this Agreement. Any waiver of any term of this Agreement by the Region shall not constitute a continuing waiver, nor shall it constitute a waiver of any other term or condition of this Agreement.

Interpretation

23. The Owner and the Region agree that the absence of any fact or material particular to this Agreement shall not be construed as relieving the Owner from any obligation or requirement of this Agreement including all Schedules annexed hereto, and all Drawing(s) describing the Works. In the event of an ambiguity, all correspondence between the parties referring specifically to the requirements of this Agreement and occurring prior to the execution of this Agreement by either party, may be used for the purpose of interpretation.

Acceptance

24. The Owner specifically acknowledges that the Owner shall have a sixty (60) day period in which to ENSURE approval of this Agreement. To this end, the Owner acknowledges and agrees that after execution by the Owner, the Owner must ensure that the executed documents are returned to the Region in such a manner as to ensure sufficient and reasonable time to obtain approval of the Agreement before the expiration of the aforementioned sixty (60) day period.
25. In the event that the Agreement is not approved within the sixty (60) day period it is hereby understood and agreed by the Owner that it may be necessary for the Region to re-examine the proposal and Agreement utilizing the then current Regional Policy, and amend this Agreement accordingly.

Term

26. This Agreement shall commence upon the final execution by both parties and shall continue in force until the fulfilment by the Owner, its successors and assigns, of all conditions herein or termination by the mutual consent of both parties.

Further Assurances

27. The Owner agrees that it shall and will, upon the reasonable request of the Region, make, do, execute or cause to be made, done or executed all such further and other lawful acts, deeds, things, devices and assurances whatsoever to ensure the full implementation of the terms, provisions and conditions of this Agreement, and to satisfy the intentions of the parties as set out herein.
28. All schedules to this Agreement (being Schedules "One" to "Seven" inclusive) are attached hereto and form part of this Agreement, and the Owner shall be bound by each and every term and provision contained therein.

General

29. The Owner is aware that should a request be made by any person for any information with respect to this Agreement, be such request for general information, the status of all or any part of the Agreement, compliance with all or any part of the Agreement, outstanding obligations with respect to all or any part of the Agreement or otherwise, then that person shall pay to the Region any fee then being charged by the Region by resolution of Regional Council with respect to answering any such requests.
30. This Agreement shall enure to the benefit of and be binding upon the parties hereto and their respective heirs, executors, administrators, successors and assigns.
31. The Owner agrees and consents to be bound by the special provisions contained in the paragraphs set out in Schedule "Six", and the Owner further agrees that these paragraphs shall form a part of this Agreement.

Severability

32. Any provision of this Agreement which is invalid or unenforceable shall, to the extent such provision is invalid or unenforceable, be deemed severable and shall not affect any other provision of this Agreement.

The remainder of this page has been left blank intentionally.


EXECUTIONS ARE ON PAGE 7 OF THIS AGREEMENT.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF the Corporate parties have hereunto caused their Corporate Seals to be affixed and attested by their proper officers and the individual parties have hereunto set their hands and seals, at the times and places indicated:

SIGNED AND SEALED

This 9th day of
January
2016, at Oakville
Province of Ontario

THE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF HALTON

Per: 
Name: Gary Carr
Position: Regional Chair c/s

Per: 
Name: Karyn Bennett
Position: Regional Clerk

We have authority to bind the Corporation.

This 30th day of
November
2016, at MISSISSAUGA
Province of Ontario

I. G. INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT LTD.

Per: 
Name: Michael Broccolini c/s
Position: V.P. Finance & Business Development

I have authority to bind the Corporation.

SCHEDULE "ONE"

ALL AND SINGULAR that certain parcel or tract of land and premises situate, lying and being in the Town of Milton, in the Regional Municipality of Halton and being composed of Blocks 6 and 7 on Plan 20M-1119, being all of PINs 24976-0126 (LT) and 24976-0127 (LT).

SCHEDULE "THREE"

Agreement between THE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF HALTON
 1151 Bronte Road, Oakville, Ontario L6M 3L1
 and I.G. INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT, LTD.

A. WORKS (estimated costs include 10% engineering)

B. SECURITY

1. (a) 100% Estimated Cost of Constructing Works \$ -

To be provided if you wish Registration of the Plan
 prior to completion of servicing

OR

(b) 100% Estimated Cost of External Works and \$ -
 20% Estimated Cost of Internal Works

To be provided if servicing is to be completed
 prior to Registration of the Plan

2. Model Homes Security - Model Homes @ \$ \$1,000.00 \$ -

3. Other Security : \$ -

4. Well Security : \$ -

SCHEDULE "THREE"

C. MONIES PAYABLE

1. Engineering and Inspection Fee

9 % of (Estimated Cost of Works Excluding 10% Engineering) \$ 5,000.00 *T
 9% or \$5,000 whichever is Greater

2. Water Meter Installation Fee

-

-

3. Tapping Fee

Water To and including 50 mm -
 100 mm and greater -
 Wastewater -

4. Administration Fees

Legal Services Department 1,647.50 *T
 General Administration Fee 348.19 *T

5. Other Charges

Financing of the design and construction of watermain, wastewater main and
oversizing of storm sewer on James Snow Parkway (Regional Road 4), and the
realigned Campbellville Road as part of Regional Project R-2387C as approved by
Regional Council under LPS60-16 as follows: 1,190,000.00

300 mm dia. watermain - W2387C: \$600,000
300 mm dia. wastewater main - S2387C: \$569,000
storm sewer oversizing - R2387C: \$21,000

6. Harmonized Sales Tax (H.S.T. R123609950) 909.44

\$1,197,905.13

TOTAL MONIES PAYABLE BY CERTIFIED CHEQUE

*T = H. S. T. is applicable

Total monies payable upon Owner's execution of the agreement.

The Region reserves the right to amend the financial requirements of this Agreement to reflect the financial policies then current in the Region should the Owner's execution not occur within 30 days from the Schedule date.

SCHEDULE "FOUR"

Lands and Easements to be Provided by the Owner to the Region free and clear of any and all encumbrances as determined satisfactory to the Region's Commissioner of Legislative and Planning Services and Corporate Counsel

No Regional requirements.

SCHEDULE "FIVE"

INTENTIONALLY DELETED

SCHEDULE "SIX": SPECIAL CLAUSES

Regional Report No. LPS60-16

1. In June 2016, Regional Council approved Report No. LPS60-16 – re: "Emery Investments Limited and Broccolini Real Estate Group Inc. Servicing Agreement for Services on James Snow Parkway (Regional Road 4) and the Realigned Campbellville Road in the Town of Milton" (the "Report"). This Report authorized the Region to undertake the Owner's Works as part of the works for Regional contract R-2387C-16, provided the Owner enter into a servicing agreement with the Region to finance the design and construction of the Works, including inspection fees, and contract administration and engineering services costs required to service its Lands.
2. The Report incorrectly identified Campbellville Road as the realigned road part of Regional contract R-2387C-16; however the correct name of the road being realigned is No. 5 Side Road, and the definition of the Works reflects this correction.

Regional Road Works Project R-2387C

3. The Region shall construct James Snow Parkway (Regional Road 4) from Mount Pleasant Way to Tremaine Road (Regional Road 22) and the realignment of No. 5 Side Road, as identified in the Report, as part of Regional contract R-2387C-16.
4. The Owner acknowledges that the Works pursuant to this Agreement will be constructed as part of Region's contract R-2387C-16, at its sole expense.
5. The Owner agrees to cooperate with the Region and to assist with the coordination of the Works' construction to coincide with the Region's contract R-2387C-16.
6. The following provisions of Schedule "Six" shall apply to the design, construction and financing of the Works:
 - (a) Upon the Owner's execution of this Agreement, the Owner hereby agrees to provide to the Region monies in the amount set out in Section C.5 of Schedule "Three", in cash or by certified cheque made payable to the Region, and to the satisfaction of the Region's Director of Financial Planning & Budgets.
 - (b) Pursuant to the Report, the parties agree that the amount set out in Section C.5 of Schedule "Three" represents an estimated cost of the Works, which includes the design, engineering, construction, contract administration and inspection costs.
 - (c) The Owner agrees that it will be responsible for one hundred percent (100%) of the actual design, construction, contract administration and inspection costs incurred by the Region for the Works, and agrees to provide the Region with the estimated cost of the Works being One Million One Hundred and Ninety Thousand Dollars (\$1,190,000.00); however if the actual cost of the Works exceeds this amount the Owner shall pay the difference forthwith to the Region.
 - (d) Upon final completion of the Works and Regional contract R-2387C-16, the Region agrees to return to the Owner any unused portion of monies provided by the Owner pursuant to Section C.5 of Schedule "Three".

Re-Commissioning of the James Snow Watermain and the No. 5 Side Road Watermain

7. The parties anticipate that from the Region's construction timelines for the James Snow Watermain and the No. 5 Side Road Watermain to the Owner's development of its Lands, there may be a period of 90 days or more when the James Snow Watermain and the No. 5 Side Road Watermain are not in use. Due to the anticipated dormancy of the James Snow Watermain and the No. 5 Side Road Watermain between their construction and the

commencement of their actual use, the Region requires that they be drained following their construction and commissioning, and subsequently be re-commissioned when the James Snow Watermain and the No. 5 Side Road Watermain are required to be operational to service the Lands.


8. The Owner shall undertake the re-commissioning, including the connections (if applicable) to the James Snow Watermain and the No. 5 Side Road Watermain, at its sole expense, which includes the cleaning, testing, flushing and disinfection of the James Snow Watermain and the No. 5 Side Road Watermain when they are considered operational and ready to service the Lands.

SCHEDULE "SEVEN": APPROVED DESIGN DRAWINGS

INTENTIONALLY DELETED

APPENDIX G
HYDRANT TESTING RESULTS

Test 1 - 3333 James Snow Pkwy N



Subject Watermain Details

Diameter: 450 mm Material: PVC
 Area: 0.159 m²

Subject Hydrant & Valve Details

Residual Hydrant:
 Flow Hydrant:
 (Note: Values are redacted in the original image)

TABLE A: TESTED PRESSURES AND FLOWS

Point	Time		Residual		Flow Hydrant ()				Total Flow		Velocity
			on Residual Hydra		Port 1 (S1)		Port 2 (S2)				
	Start	Finish	(kPa)	(psi)	(L/s)	(GPM)	(L/s)	(GPM)	(L/s)	(GPM)	
Static	212	292	491	71.2	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
2"	489	543	485	70.3	45.3	718	0.0	0	45.3	718	0.3
2"			0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
1" + 2"			0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
2" + 2"	576	636	481	69.8	40.8	647	42.5	674	83.3	1320	0.5



3333 James Snow Pkwy N

HYDRANT FLOW TEST RESULTS

Date: **12-Jul-21**
 Tested By: **Sen**

Time: **9:58**
 (hh/mm)

Municipality: **City of Milton**
 Operator: **Justin**
 Test No: **1**




Conditions before Test (STATIC)

Residual Hydrant: **71.2 psi** 491 kPa
 Hydrant that will Flow: **71.2 psi** 491 kPa
 Δ pressure: **0.0 psi** 0 kPa
 Elevation Difference: **0.0 ft** 0.0 m
 (Flow El. - Residual El.)

Test Notes:

TEST		TEST FLOW		RESIDUAL PRESSURE (psi)		Minimum Residual P _r (psi)	Fire Flow at Minimum Residual, Q _r (USGPM)	Fire Flow at Minimum Residual, Q _r (L/s)	1.9% Pressure Drop Achieved?
Port Size (in)	Nozzle Pressure (psi)	(USGPM)	(L/s)	Monitoring Hydrant	Flow Hydrant (Corrected) *				
STATIC	n/a	0	0	71.2	71.2				
Single Port Tests									
2	21.2	718.0	45.3	70.3	70.3	20	6315	398	NO
2						20			
Two Port Test									
1						20			
2									
Two Port Test									
2	17.2	647.0	40.8	69.8	69.8	20	9179	579	YES
2	18.7	674.0	42.5						

* Pressure correction is equal to the elevation difference. Column 2 (and Table A) show the nozzle pressure while flowing.



Results			
Static Pressure		Flow at 20 psi (140kPa)*	
(psi)	(kPa)	(gpm)	(L/s)
71.2	491	9200	580

* Results carried to nearest 50 gpm or 100 gpm if over 1000 gpm

Hydrant Classification as per NFPA 291			
Class	AA	Color	BLUE

Water Discharged During Test:	15200 L
-------------------------------	---------

Rounded up to closest 100L

DISCLAIMER FOR FIRE FLOW TESTS

While WSP makes every effort to ensure that the information contained herein is accurate and up to date, WSP is not responsible for unintended or incorrect use of the data and information described and/or contained herein. The user must make his/her own determination as to its accuracy and suitability. The information is representative for a dynamic water system that may change over time.

© WSP Canada Inc. 2014.

This information sheet can be reproduced by the client for internal use but not redistributed to third parties without the written authorization of WSP.

APPENDIX H

SUBDIVISION FUNCTIONAL SERVICING REPORT



VALDOR ENGINEERING INC.
Consulting Engineers - Project Managers

661 Chrislea Road, Suite 11
Woodbridge, Ontario L4L 8A3
TEL (905) 264-0054
FAX (905) 264-0069
info@valdor-engineering.com
www.valdor-engineering.com

Functional Stormwater Management Report

Escarpment Business Community West

Part of Lots 3, 4 and 5, Concession 2
Town of Milton (24T-88027/M)
Regional Municipality of Halton

March 2004
January 2007 (Revised)
April 2007 (Revised)

Prepared For:

**Total Developments International Ltd.
&
Emery Investments Limited**

File: **03156**

s:\projects\2003\03156\reports\functional swm\18 april 2007\03156-fswmr apr 2007.doc



Professional Engineers
Ontario

Authorized by the Association of Professional Engineers
of Ontario to offer professional engineering services.

CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	
1.1	Appointment	3
1.2	Subject Property	3
1.3	Proposed Land Use	3
1.4	Purpose of Report	3
1.5	Approving Authorities	3
1.6	Background	4
2.0	POLICIES AND CRITERIA	5
3.0	STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN	
3.1	Storm Drainage Areas	6
3.2	Storm Drainage System	7
3.3	Preliminary Site Grading	7
3.4	SWM Facility Outlet Location	8
4.0	STORMWATER MANAGEMENT POND DESIGN	
4.1	Pond S34 on TDI Lands	9
4.1.1	Quality Control	9
4.1.2	Extended Detention Control	10
4.1.3	Flood Control	10
4.1.4	Preliminary SWM Facility Design	12
	a) Facility Grading	12
	b) Forebay Design	12
	c) Outlet Configuration	12
	d) Thermal Mitigation Measures	13
	e) Operation & Maintenance Manual	14
4.1.5	Impact on Receiving Watercourses	16
4.2	SWM Alternatives for McKinlay lands	17
4.2.1	Alternative A: Expansion of Pond S34	17
4.2.2	Alternative B: Re-location of Pond S34	18
4.2.3	Alternative C: Private On-Site SWM Controls	18
5.0	CONCLUSIONS	19
6.0	REFERENCES & BIBLIOGRAPHY	20

CONTENTS (Continued)

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1	Key Plan	Follows Text
Figure 2	Opportunities Plan	Follows Text
Figure 3	Future Watershed Boundary Plan	Follows Text
Figure 4	Future Storm Drainage Plan	Follows Text
Figure 5	SWM Facility S34 Concept Plan	Follows Text
Figure 6	Pre-Development Drainage Plan	Appendix "C"
Figure 7	Cooling Trench Detail	Appendix "D"
Figure 8	SWMP Rating Curve – West Cell	Appendix "A"
Figure 9	SWMP Rating Curve – East Cell	Appendix "A"
Figure 10	SWMP Rating Curve – East and West Cells Combined	Appendix "A"
Figure 11	SWMP Rating Curve – Dual Outlet Relationship	Appendix "A"

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	Water Quality Storage Requirements	5
Table 2	Erosion Control Storage / Discharge Requirements	5
Table 3	Flood Control Storage / Discharge Requirements	5
Table 4	Storage / Discharge Requirements for Pond S34 on TDI Lands	11
Table 5	Storage / Discharge Requirements for McKinlay Lands	17
Table 6	Summary of Pre & Post Development Discharge	Appendix "C"

LIST OF APPENDICIES

Appendix "A"	SWM Pond S34 Stage / Storage / Discharge	Follows Figures
Appendix "B"	Area "B" Post-Development Flow	Follows Figures
Appendix "C"	Pre & Post Development Flows	Follows Figures
Appendix "D"	Cooling Trench Detail	Follows Figures
Appendix "E"	Geomorphology Assessment	Follows Figures

LIST OF DRAWINGS

FSP-1	Functional Storm Drainage Plan	Rear Pocket
-------	--------------------------------	-------------

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Appointment

Valdor Engineering Inc. has been retained by Total Developments International Ltd. (TDI) and Emery Investments Limited (EIL) to prepare a Functional Stormwater Management Report for development of the Escarpment Business Community (EBC) West located in the Highway 401 Industrial/Business Park Secondary Plan Area within the Town of Milton, Regional Municipality of Halton.

1.2 Study Area

The EBC West Study Area, as indicated in **Figure 1** is bounded to the north by Campbellville Sideroad, to the west by Dublin Line and to the south by Highway 401 and to the southeast by lands owned by McKinlay Transport Ltd. (McKinlay). The study area is bound to the east by a tributary of Sixteen Mile Creek (N2-B) which was re-aligned in conjunction with an existing industrial subdivision beyond. The legal description of the Study Area is: Part of Lots 3, 4 and 5, Concession 2 in the Town of Milton. In addition to the TDI and EIL lands, the EBC West community encompasses non-participating lands owned by Pettiiello and Zulian as indicated In **Figure 1**.

1.3 Proposed Land Use

The proposed land use of the subject site is anticipated to comprise of commercial and industrial development, and a stormwater management facility. The development concept for the Study Area is illustrated in **Figure 4** and includes the extension of James Snow Parkway and the re-alignment of Dublin Line to accommodate the proposed Tremaine Road / Highway 401 interchange. The McKinlay lands have development potential beyond the current transport truck yard, however, the owner has not participated in development planning activities over the past 25 years and is not expected to participate in the current process.

1.4 Purpose of Report

This report has been prepared in support of the application for Draft Plan approval for TDI's property west of the Sixteen Mile Creek tributary. The primary intent of the report is to establish storm drainage scheme for the lands, including conceptual design information for the proposed stormwater management (SWM) facility. In addition, this report considers the future development of the McKinlay lands and the Region's Class EA for the Tremaine Road / Highway 401 interchange and the James Snow Parkway extension.

1.5 Approving Authorities

This report will be circulated for review, comment and approval to:

1. The Town of Milton, Development Engineering Services Department
2. Conservation Halton (CH)

3. Regional Municipality of Halton

1.6 Background

The design of the storm drainage servicing for the subject lands is based on the Functional Servicing and Environmental Management Strategy, Highway 401 Industrial/Business Park Secondary Plan Area (FSEMS) prepared by Philips Engineering for the Town of Milton. Stormwater management criteria cited in the FSEMS are derived from the Subwatershed Planning Study, Sixteen Mile Creek Watershed, Areas 2 & 7 (SPS) prepared by Philips Engineering. The preliminary stormwater management facility design has considered the Town of Milton Engineering and Parks Development Standards and the MOE Stormwater Management Planning and Design (SWMP) Manual. Additional studies used in the preparation of this report are listed in the References & Bibliography section.

The SPS has indicated a SWM facility (Pond S34) located at the south limit of the neighbouring McKinlay lands, as shown in **Figure 2**. Pond S34 is intended to provide water quality, extended detention and flood controls for runoff from Catchment 2024, which includes the majority of the Study Area, as shown in **Figure 3**. A portion of the Study Area is located within Catchment 2040.

To date, McKinlay has been a non-participant in the development approval process for the Study Area and has not filed any development applications, provided any development concept plans or advised of a development schedule. This report therefore explores the opportunity to construct a permanent SWM facility (Pond S34) on the TDI lands, while identifying stormwater management options that provide both economical solutions and flexibility for the ultimate development of McKinlay lands.

The draft plan, storm drainage areas, preliminary grading and storm sewer alignment have been provided by MGM Consulting Inc. (MGM), in conjunction with their preparation of a Subwatershed Impact Study (SIS) for the area.

2.0 POLICIES AND CRITERIA

The SPS adopted a unitary rate approach to specifying design criteria for stormwater management facilities within the 401 Industrial/Business Park. These criteria address water quality, extended detention and flood control of surface runoff from the Milton North planning area, and are summarized in **Tables 1** through **Table 3**.

Table 1: Water Quality Storage Requirements

Protection Level	SMWP Type	Storage Volume (m ³ /ha for 80 % Impervious Level)
Enhanced (Level 1) 80% long-term S.S. removal	Wet Pond	240

Note: Storage volumes include 40 m³/ha for extended detention storage

Table 2: Extended Detention Storage / Discharge Requirements

Planning Area	Erosion Control Storage (Extended Detention) (m ³ /imp ha)	Extended Detention Flow Rate (m ³ /s development ha)
Milton North	229	.0012

Table 3: Flood Control Storage / Discharge Requirements

Design Storm Event	Storage Volume Rate (m ³ /imp ha)	Release Rate (m ³ /s Development ha)
25 year	229+277=506	0.0124
100 year	229+366=595	0.0177

3.0 STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN

3.1 Storm Drainage Areas

The SPS suggested that Pond S34 to accept drainage from approximately 98.6 hectares (including the McKinlay lands) in Catchment 2024, as shown in **Figure 3**. This drainage boundary has been refined based on MGM's functional servicing and grading design for the EBC West lands as well the Region of Halton's requirements for the alignment of their Tremaine Road / Hwy 401 interchange and James Snow Parkway extension. The following is a summary of the drainage areas as delineated in **Figure 4**:

Area "A"

Area "A" represents the lands within Catchment 2024 and the EIL Lands and the majority of the TDI lands. This area is to drain to the subject SWM facility for quality, extended detention and flood control.

Area "B"

Area "B" includes a portion of the EIL Lands and TDI lands and will be directed to the subject SWM facility. Given that Area "B" is within Catchment 2040, it will be treated for quality and extended detention, however, flood control will be provided in the existing SWM facility (Pond S36) located at the northeast corner of Regional Road 25 and Highway 401.

Area "C"

Area "C" represents a small parcel within the TDI lands located north of tributary N2-B and within Catchment 2040. Its location north of this tributary physically isolates the parcel from the SWM facility catchment area and therefore private on-site stormwater management controls are to be provided. These controls could be a combination of facilities such as sub-surface detention, parking lot detention, roof top detention and oil /grit separator. Flood control will be provided in the existing SWM facility (Pond S36) located at the northeast corner of Regional Road 25 and Highway 401. Should it be determined at the site plan stage that on-site controls for water quality and erosion are not viable, the Town of Milton has indicated that consideration could be given for "treatment-in-lieu" options elsewhere that could also satisfy the criteria regarding water quality and erosion control for these lands.

Area "D"

Area "D" represents the lands associated with the Region of Halton's proposed Tremaine Road / Highway 401 interchange. At the request of the Region, and in order to eliminate their proposed SWM facility in the vicinity of the interchange, this area will drain to the subject SWM facility for quality, extended detention and flood control.

Area “E”

Area “E” represents the portion of the McKinlay lands within Catchment 2024. This area will not drain to the subject SWM facility, however, alternatives have been identified and outlined in Section 4.2 of this report.

External Catchment 2021

The lands north of Campbellville Sideroad (No. 5 Sideroad), delineated as Catchment 2021 on **Figure 3**, currently drain to Tributary N2-B. These lands are beyond the limits of the subject Secondary Plan Area and there are currently no development plans. Upon development of the EBC West lands, this external drainage will continue to be directed to the subject tributary. When these lands proceed to development they will require a stormwater management facility to provide quality, extended detention and flood control treatment which will discharge to the subject tributary.

3.2 Storm Drainage System

The minor storm drainage system will be sized to capture the runoff from the 5 year return period event. Based on the preliminary design prepared by MGM, the minor system will discharge via three inlets into the proposed SWM facility. The schematic storm sewer design is illustrated on **Drawing FSP-1**.

Based on the preliminary grading design prepared by MGM, major flows from the site will be routed to the SWM facility via the roadway system. The major system flows will generally travel south and east, collecting at a low point on each of the two streets adjacent to the facility. Modelling of the major systems will be conducted at the detailed design stage to ensure that the overland flow depths are contained within the public rights-of-way. The overland flow route is delineated on **Drawing FSP-1**.

As requested by the Region of Halton, both the minor system and major system are to be designed to convey flows from the 2.3 Ha area (Area “D”) associated with their proposed Tremaine Road / Hwy 400 interchange.

3.3 Preliminary Site Grading

A preliminary grading exercise has been completed by MGM to estimate the roadway elevations relative to the existing ground and the proposed SWM facility, and these details have been presented on **Drawing FSP-1**. In general, the roadways can be graded close to existing ground. Some cut areas will be generated in the vicinity of the SWM facility to assist with filling low areas associated with the existing minor drainage course (Tributary N1-A) that flows through the Study Area. Roadway slopes in the vicinity of 0.50% will likely be required throughout the EBC West lands to minimize earthworks requirements.

3.4 SWM Facility Outlet Location

Given that the Study Area is comprised of lands within both Catchment 2024 and Catchment 2040, discharge from the SWM facility will direct flows to both Tributary N1-A and Tributary N2-B. With regards to the distribution of flows, based on comments received from the Conservation Authority, it has been determined that flow is to be directed to the Tributary N1-A under all rainfall events to support downstream fish habitat.

4.0 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT POND DESIGN

4.1 Pond S34 on TDI Lands

The study area is comprised of lands within both Catchment 2024 (87.9 ha) and Catchment 2040 (10.1 Ha). Given that their respective Tributaries N1-A and Tributary N2-B in the vicinity of the SWM facility are at different elevations, and due to limitations with available vertical grade in the study area, the SWM facility has been designed with two separate cells. The conceptual design of the facility which is included in **Figure 5**, indicates that the west cell has been designed with a normal water level (NWL) of 210.40m and the east cell has been designed with a NWL of 211.40m. Each cell operates independently for the quality control and extended detention functions for their respective contributing areas identified as areas “East” and “West” in **Drawing FSP-1**. Under flood control conditions, the SWM facility operates as a single cell to a 100 year high water level (HWL) of 212.30m. The stage / storage /discharge characteristics of the facility are included in **Appendix “A”**. The following is a summary of the facility sizing, operation and design features:

4.1.1 Quality Control

Various source control, conveyance and end-of-pipe SWMPs have been considered as options for providing the appropriate level of stormwater quality control. Consideration must be given to the size of the site and proposed industrial/commercial land use.

- *Reduced Lot Grading (Lot Level)*: The average grade across the site is 0.5% to 1.0%; therefore, successful implementation of reduced lot grading is possible. In general, grades of 2.0% will likely be used on the industrial lots.
- *Roof Leader to Ponding Areas or Soakaway Pits (Lot Level)*: The Town of Milton design criteria do not address the use of ponding areas or soakaway pits. Roof leaders, where possible, should discharge directly to pervious surfaces to encourage infiltration and filtration on the lots. Soakaway pits can be an effective means of improving infiltration of stormwater, but are not recommended for this site due to the land use and the presence of stiff to hard clayey silt till soils.
- *Grassed Swales (Conveyance)*: A majority of the business park will comprise parking and rooftop area; therefore, the opportunity to direct runoff to swales is limited.
- *Stormwater Management Facilities (End-of-Pipe)*: The FSEMS identified the need for stormwater management to provide water quality, extended detention and flood control of stormwater runoff. A stormwater management facility will be built within the Study Area.
- *Oil/Grit Separation Technologies (End-of-Pipe)*: These SWMPs can be effective for smaller, high impervious sites where spill protection is desired and when area for a stormwater pond is unavailable. The construction of a main stormwater pond normally eliminates the need for any oil/grit separation units, however, in accordance with Town requirements these units are to be installed on sites with hazardous uses including vehicle maintenance or high truck traffic. Based on the foregoing, each industrial lot will require an oil/grit separator.
- *Infiltration Trenches/Basins (End-of-Pipe)*: These SWMPs are only effective in areas with highly pervious soils and large areas. The soils on the site are not conducive to infiltration.

In accordance with the SPS, an Enhanced Level (Level 1) water quality control is to be provided by the proposed SWM facility. For a wetpond with an extended detention active storage zone servicing an area with 80% imperviousness, the SWMP Manual calculates the permanent pool volume as follows:

Volume required:	240 m ³ /ha
<u>Less 40 m³/ha of extended detention storage zone:</u>	<u>- 40 m³/ha</u>
Permanent Pool Volume Required:	200 m ³ /ha

The required quality control volumes (permanent pools) and the NWL elevations for the east and west cell of the SWM facility are indicated in **Table 4**.

4.1.2 Extended Detention Control

In accordance with the SPS, extended detention control is to be provided using an active storage zone sized to capture the runoff resulting from a 25 mm rainfall event and release the runoff over a minimum duration of 48 hours. Under extended detention discharge, the west cell will outlet easterly to the lower Tributary N2-B and the east cell will outlet southerly to the higher Tributary N1-A as indicated in **Figure 5**.

The extended detention release for each cell will be controlled with a separate orifice plate located in the control structure to provide the required drawdown time. The orifice diameter is to be verified at the detailed design stage in accordance with the drawdown time calculation method specified in the SWMP Manual.

The required extended detention volumes, discharge rates and operating range for the east and west cells of the SWM facility are indicated in **Table 4**. The actual discharge to the east outlet is based on the desire to limit detention time to a maximum of 168 hours. The actual discharge to the south is based on achieving the minimum detention time of 48 hours.

4.1.3 Flood Control

Flood control of stormwater runoff from the Study Area is provided by the proposed SWM facility, up to the 100 year level. Under this flood control condition, the facility operates as a single cell to a 100 year HWL of 212.30m. As indicated in **Figure 5**, discharge under flood control conditions will be directed to each of the two watercourses. The 25 year and 100 year storage volumes, discharge rates and operating range for the SWM facility are indicated in **Table 4**.

Discharge southerly to Tributary N1-A will be controlled to the required unit rate based on the area in Catchment 2024 (Area "A"+ "D"= 87.9 Ha).

Discharge easterly to Tributary N2-B will be released at post-development rates based on the area in Catchment 2040 (Area "B"=10.1 Ha) given that quantity control for this catchment is provided in the existing downstream SWM facility (Pond S36) located at the northeast corner of Regional Road 25 and Highway 401. The calculation of the post-development rates for the lands within Area "B" is included in **Appendix "B"**.

Table 4: Storage / Discharge Requirements for Pond S34 on TDI Lands

Quality Control

West Cell			East Cell		
West Area = 82.0 Ha, Imp = 80%			East Area = 16.0 Ha, Imp = 80%		
Stage (m)	Storage (m ³)		Stage (m)	Storage (m ³)	
	Required	Provided		Required	Provided
208.90 to 210.40	16,400	26,113	209.90 to 211.40	3,200	7,603

Extended Detention

West Cell					East Cell				
West Area = 82.0 Ha, Imp = 80%					East Area = 16.0 Ha, Imp = 80%				
Stage (m)	Storage (m ³)		Discharge (m ³ /s) East Outlet		Stage (m)	Storage (m ³)		Discharge (m ³ /s) South Outlet	
	Required	Provided	Allowable	Actual		Required	Provided	Allowable	Actual
210.40 to 211.30	15,022	19,135	0.012 10.1 Ha at Unit Rate	0.045 168 hr. release	211.40 to 211.90	2,931	3,863	0.105 87.9 Ha at Unit Rate	0.018 48 hr. release

Flood Control

Combined Cells							
Area =98.0-10.1(Catchment 2040)=87.9 Ha, Imp = 80%							
Design Storm	Stage (m)	Cumulative Storage (m ³) (Including Extended Detention Component)		Discharge (m ³ /s)			
		Required 87.9 Ha at Unit Rate	Provided	East Outlet		South Outlet	
				Allowable 10.1 Ha at Post-Dev	Actual	Allowable 87.9 Ha at Unit Rate	Actual
25 Year	212.10	37,432	48,403	2.9	1.57	1.1	1.02
100 Year	212.30	43,690	55,624	3.8	3.78	1.6	1.57

4.1.4 Preliminary SWM Facility Design

A preliminary design for the SWM facility has been prepared and illustrated in **Figure 5**. The key design features are as follows:

a) Facility Grading

The design includes 5H:1V side slopes above and near the permanent pool and 3H:1V side slopes from 0.60 m below the permanent pool level to the bottom. A 4.0 m wide access road has been provided to the control structures and to the bottom of the main pool.

b) Forebay Design

A sediment forebay is to be provided at each inlet location to facilitate maintenance and improve pollutant removal. The forebay configuration is to reflect the length-to-width ratio, particulate settling calculation, flow dispersion calculation and minimum bottom width calculations in accordance with the SWMP Manual. This sizing is to be provided at the detailed engineering design stage.

c) Outlet Configuration

The pond outlet headwall is to be located in an embankment to minimize its visibility and improve aesthetics. The headwall is to be aligned to discharge in the direction of flow in the receiving watercourse. A plunge pool is to be located downstream of the headwall to reduce runoff velocities, followed by a level spreader for flow dispersion. The facility is to be designed with an emergency spillway to allow safe passage of the Regional Storm peak flow

which is to be discharged southerly. As a result of site servicing constraints due to the flat topography (i.e. to accommodate the storm sewer inverts) associated with the proposed development, the proposed SWM pond will have two cells and dual outlet structures. This will enable the conveyance of extended detention flow from the east catchment (16.0 ha) to Tributary N1-A and from the west catchment (82.0 ha) to Tributary N2-B where the invert of the proposed outfall will better accommodate the storm sewer constraints. With regards to flood control, the pond operates as a single cell and the two control structures discharge flows to Tributary N2-B and Tributary N1-A.

d) Thermal Mitigation Measures

Mitigation measures will be incorporated into the SWM pond design to minimize impact on the two receiving watercourses. These measures include the following:

i) Bottom Draw Pipe

Instead of the common perforated riser configuration, a bottom draw pipe will be implemented for the extended detention component to discharge water from the deepest section of the pond where the water temperature is lowest. This outlet consists of a submerged intake headwall and a bottom draw pipe which discharges via an orifice plate in a control structure. Given that this pipe is sized for frequent rainfall events (25mm storm), it will provide the greatest benefit to the thermal regime of the receiving watercourse.

ii) Cooling Trench

To further enhance the discharge of the frequent rainfall events, flow from the bottom draw pipe will be conveyed via a perforated pipe to the outfall headwall. This perforated pipe will be installed in a cooling trench filled with 25mm clear stone and wrapped in filter fabric. A detail of the trench is provided in **Appendix “D”**.

By routing flow through this trench, heat is transferred to the stone thereby reducing the water temperature. The relatively small stone size will provide a high surface area for heat transfer. The trench is to be free draining to ensure that water is not retained for an extended period. As indicated in **Figure 5**, the location of the bottom draw pipe and the outlet headwall have been situated to maximize the length of the cooling trench in order to increase the opportunity for heat transfer.

Maintenance of the cooling trench is important to its long term performance. Manholes will be installed at the upstream and downstream end of the trench to facilitate access for visual and video inspection as well as flushing. The upstream manhole will be constructed with a sump to capture sediment. If perforations become clogged they can be opened using radial washing in which the downstream end is capped and a water hose is inserted, essentially pressurizing the pipe and forcing water out the perforations.

iii) Planting Strategy

In accordance with the Town and Conservation Halton requirements the SWM Facility will be planted to provide a natural appearance and provide environmental benefits. The landscape plan, which will be prepared in conjunction with the detailed engineering design, will specify shade producing species to minimize solar heating of the permanent pool during summer months. The SWM concept indicates a long and narrow facility which maximizes the potential for shading.

e) Operation & Maintenance Manual

In addition to proper design and construction of SWM facilities, operation and maintenance is important to ensure that the facility performs to the design criteria. In this regard an operation and maintenance manual is to be prepared at the detailed engineering design stage addressing the following:

i) Facility Operation

The operation and maintenance manual is to include the following operational information:

- A description and plan of the contributing drainage area for the facility.
- A description of the quality control, extended detention control and flood control provisions of the facility.
- A description of the various components and their purpose such as the sediment forebay, permanent pool, inlet headwalls, outlet headwalls, control structure, bottom draw pipes, cooling trenches and emergency spillway.
- The operational theory related to the control structure and its components including the high water levels for the various storm events and the related discharge through the various orifice plates and weirs to the two receiving watercourses.
- A copy of the approved SWM facility plans for reference.

ii) Facility Maintenance & Inspections

The operation and maintenance manual is to include the following maintenance and inspection information:

- The purpose and frequency of inspections.
- A copy of an inspection form for the facility highlighting regular points of inspection.
- Information with respect to the required documentation of maintenance activities (ie. Class EA process, reporting protocols, etc)
- Trouble shooting information highlighting the possible causes of common operating problems and recommended remedial actions.
- Regular maintenance information including the removal of trash and debris from the facility grounds and in particular accumulations around structures, weirs and grates

as well as “spring and fall cleanup” activities. Inspection and maintenance information must be included for the cooling trenches. In this regard visual inspections must be completed periodically at the upstream and downstream manholes associated with each trench including the sump and perforated pipe. Removal and flushing of sediment will be completed as required to ensure the continued proper operation and performance.

- Information regarding grass cutting policies and, in particular, the desire to not cut grass in the facility block.
- Information regarding weed control and, in particular, that the use of herbicides and insecticides is prohibited as they create water quality problems.
- Information regarding the use of fertilizer and, in particular, that it should be limited to minimize the nutrient loadings to the downstream receiving waters.
- Information regarding the landscaping in the facility including the upland, shoreline fringe and aquatic plantings and their requirements for maintenance, re-planting and harvesting.

iii) Monitoring and Sediment Removal

In accordance with the SWMP Manual, it is recommended that accumulated sediment be monitored and removed from the facility in order to maintain its removal efficiency. The frequency of removal is typically every 10 years. The operation and maintenance manual is to include the following sediment removal information:

- Frequency and method of sediment depth monitoring to determine the rate of accumulation and distribution within the facility for the purpose of establishing clean-out schedules.
- Information with respect to the method of removal. In this case, given that the permanent pool is relatively shallow (1.5 m in the forebays and main cells of the pond), it is recommended that a dry excavation procedure be followed. This procedure involves drawing down the permanent pool and removal of the sediment using conventional excavating and earth moving equipment (e.g., bobcat, backhoe, etc.) and disposal off-site. Given that the facility is divided into two cells, each having a forebay, the operation can be staged and completed during the summer months under dry conditions to facilitate dry sediment removal. In addition, due to the configuration of the pond, the permanent pool volume is significantly larger than required which will decrease the frequency of cleanout. Based on the above, a sediment drying area is therefore not required.
- Information regarding the required testing of the sediment in accordance with MOE guidelines prior to disposal off-site. The results of the testing will determine whether it can be used as clean fill, dumped at a licensed land fill facility or whether it is to be disposed of at a special facility licensed to accept contaminated material.
- Information with respect to re-instatement of vegetation disturbed during the sediment removal activity.

4.1.5 Impact on Receiving Watercourses

The Town and Conservation Halton have expressed their desire to maintain healthy streams in terms of both peak flows and runoff volumes to each watercourse under the full range of storm events.

The SWM facility was sized on the basis of the unit storage volumes and unit release rates which were limited to only the 25mm, 25 year and 100 year storm events as provided in the FSEMS. In order to address the concern a comparison of the pre and the post-development discharge to each watercourse was undertaken for the 25mm and 2 year through 100 year storm events.

The pre-development drainage area to each watercourse was delineated and a pre-development Visual OTTHYMO model was created to compute the pre-development peak flows and runoff volumes. The Visual OTTHYMO program was also used to simulate the actual performance of the SWM facility based on the stage / storage / discharge characteristics contained in **Appendix "A"** which were established to satisfy the unit rate criteria. The DIVERT HYD command in VO2 was utilized to best reflect the nested pond design and dual outlet structures associated with the proposed SWMP. The rating curves for the east and west cells and the combined pond are included in **Appendix "A"**.

The pre-development drainage area plan (**Figure 6**), Visual OTTHYMO output and the peak flow and runoff volume summary (**Table 6**) are contained in **Appendix "C"**. A review of **Table 6** confirms that the SWM facility has been designed with sufficient storage volume and that the post-development peak flow rates discharged southerly to Tributary N1-A are less than pre-development rates and the specified unit rates. As expected, post-development discharge easterly to Tributary N2-B exceeds pre-development peak flow rates given that flood control will be provided by the downstream SWM Facility S36. Also, as expected by the increase in imperviousness, post-development runoff volumes exceed pre-development runoff volumes.

Hydrograph plots are provided in **Appendix "C"** which provide a comparison between the proposed flow from Pond S34 to Tributaries N1-A and N2-B and the pre-development and/or "allowed" flow for the 2-yr and the 100-yr return period events. In addition, at the request of Conservation Halton, the 25 mm, 5-yr and 10-yr events are included for Tributary N1-A. Based on the hydrograph output, it is evident that additional flow volume will be provided to Tributary N1-A without exceeding the peak flow constraints. It is anticipated that this will be beneficial to local fisheries within this headwater tributary.

At the request of Conservation Halton, a continuous erosion analysis using QUALHYMO was completed for the reach along Tributary N2-B between the proposed outlet of Pond S34 and Hwy. 25. The erosion model was run for 6 years using critical flow thresholds provided by Parish Geomorphic based on field investigations. Results of the erosion analysis are provided in **Appendix "E"**. Parish Geomorphic has reviewed the analysis and has determined that no significant impact regarding erosion in Tributaries N1-A and N2-B is anticipated as a result of the proposed SWMP discharge. A copy of the geomorphology report is included in **Appendix "E"**.

4.2 SWM Alternatives for McKinlay Lands

Catchment 2024 includes 17.8 Ha of the 24.0 Ha McKinlay parcel located south of the subject lands. The remaining portion of the McKinlay parcel is within Catchment 2040 and is therefore to drain eastward to Tributary N-2B. Given that McKinlay is a non-participating landowner, there are no concept plans available for the lands. Regardless of the form of development, however, the following storage and discharge rates are to be provided for the portion of McKinlay lands within Catchment 2024 based on the criteria in the SPS:

Table 5: SWM Storage / Discharge Requirements for McKinlay Lands

Criteria (17.8 Ha in Catchment 2024)	Required Storage Volume (m ³)	Discharge Rate (m ³ /s)
Quality Control (Permanent Pool)	3,560	N/A
Extended Detention Control	3,261	0.019
25 Year Quantity Control	7,205	0.198
100 year Quantity Control	8,473	0.283

Without the benefit of a concept plan for the McKinlay lands, the following stormwater management alternatives have been identified to provide economical solutions and flexibility for their ultimate development:

4.2.1 Alternative A: Expansion of Pond S34

Alternative A represents the construction of a separate cell on the McKinlay lands immediately adjacent to the subject SWM facility. The construction of a separate cell would avoid any retrofit costs while remaining a single facility. The cost of this expansion would be borne by McKinlay as the sole benefiting party. A preliminary grading / servicing / earthworks analysis undertaken by MGM in their SIS has confirmed that this alternative is feasible on the following basis:

- An adequate storm sewer system can convey flows within the McKinlay lands to the separate cell.
- An overland flow route can be maintained from Pond S34 to the south limit of the McKinlay lands
- A balance in the earthworks (cut / fill) within the McKinlay lands can be achieved.

4.2.2 Alternative B: Re-Location of Pond S34

Alternative B represents the re-location of the subject SWM facility on the TDI lands to the south limit or other suitable location on McKinlay lands. The cost of this re-location would be borne by McKinlay, however, the over-sizing costs would be offset by an appropriate land exchange with the Town related to the subject pond block on the TDI lands. A preliminary cost analysis prepared by MGM is included in their SIS. With regards to stormwater storage and discharge, the relocated Pond S34 would have to be expanded to provide the additional storage to accommodate the McKinlay lands as listed **Table 5**.

4.2.3 Alternative C: Private On-Site SWM Controls

Alternative C represents the implementation of private on-site stormwater management controls in the event that the McKinlay lands are developed as a single private parcel through the site plan process. These controls could be a combination of facilities such as a pond, sub-surface detention, parking lot detention, roof top detention and oil /grit separators. The cost of such on-site controls would be borne by McKinlay as the sole benefiting party. With regards to stormwater storage and discharge, the combination of facilities would have to achieve the equivalent of the criteria established in **Table 5**.

5.0 CONCLUSIONS

This report has provided an analysis with respect to stormwater management for the lands within the study area. Based on the analysis, the following storm drainage concept has been established:

1. A SWM facility (Pond S34) is to be constructed on the TDI lands to serve a drainage area (Areas “A”, “B” & “D”) which includes the EIL Lands and the majority of the TDI lands. A small parcel (Area “C”) located within the north limit of the TDI lands, will be served by on-site stormwater management controls or subject to “treatment-in-lieu” given its physical isolation from the remainder of the site due to the location of the watercourse.
2. The subject SWM facility has been over-sized to accommodate lands beyond those owned by EIL and TDI. These include the non-participating lands owned by Pettuelo and Zulian. In addition, and at the request of the Region of Halton, the SWM facility has also been over-sized to accommodate a 2.3 Ha area (Area “D”) associated with their proposed Tremaine Road / Highway 401 interchange in accordance with the Region’s Class EA.
3. The balance of Catchment 2024 includes 17.8 Ha (Area “E”) of the 24.0 Ha McKinlay parcel located south of the subject lands. This non-participating landowner has not filed any planning approval applications and, to date, has not provided any concept plans or advised of a development schedule. The following stormwater management alternatives have been identified for the McKinlay lands which provide both economical solutions and flexibility for their ultimate development:
 - a) The construction of a separate cell on the McKinlay lands immediately adjacent to the subject SWM facility. The construction of a separate cell would avoid any retrofit costs while remaining a single facility. The cost of this expansion would be borne by McKinlay as the sole benefiting party.
 - b) The re-location of the subject SWM facility to the south limit or other suitable location on McKinlay lands. The cost of this re-location would be borne by McKinlay, however, the over-sizing costs would be offset by an appropriate land exchange with the Town related to the subject pond block on the TDI lands.
 - c) The implementation of private on-site stormwater management controls in the event that the McKinlay lands are developed as a single private parcel through the site plan process. These controls could be a combination of facilities such as a pond, sub-surface detention, parking lot detention, roof top detention and oil /grit separators. The cost of such on-site controls would be borne by McKinlay as the sole benefiting party.
4. The subject SWM facility has been designed to direct flows southerly to Tributary N1-A and easterly to Tributary N2-B under all rainfall events to maintain healthy streams and downstream fish habitat as required by the Town of Milton and Halton Conservation.

5. An operation and maintenance manual is to be prepared for the subject SWM facility at the detailed engineering design stage in accordance with the requirements of the Town of Milton.
6. In accordance with development industry standards, the cost of the subject SWM facility is to be shared by the owners of all of the benefiting lands on a proportionate contributing area basis.

In conclusion, the preliminary storm drainage concept for the Study Area is consistent with the intent of the Sixteen Mile Creek Subwatershed Planning Study that guides development within the Milton North Planning Area, as well as conforming to the Town of Milton's Engineering and Parks Development Standards and the requirements of Conservation Halton.


It has been demonstrated that it is feasible to construct Pond S34 as a permanent facility on the TDI lands. It is recommended that the approval of the subject subdivision application reflect a stormwater management block size and configuration as identified on **Drawing FSP-1**. It is noted that the conceptual design and associated analysis presented herein is of a preliminary nature and subject to final design at the detailed subdivision engineering stage.


6.0 REFERENCES & BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Philips Engineering Limited, **Functional Stormwater and Environmental Management Strategy, Highway 401 Industrial/Business Park Secondary Plan Area, Town of Milton, 2000.**
Ontario Ministry of Environment, **Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual, March 2003.**
Town of Milton, **Engineering and Parks Development Standards, 2002**


Respectfully Submitted,


VALDOR ENGINEERING INC.


David Giugovaz, P.Eng.
Senior Project Manager
Consulting Engineer





VALDOR ENGINEERING INC.





Bill Coffey, M.Sc., P.Eng.
Head of Water Resources
Water Resources / Environmental Engineer





This report was prepared by Valdor Engineering Inc. for the account of Total Developments International Ltd.. The comments, recommendations and material in this report reflect Valdor Engineering Inc.'s best judgment in light of the information available to it at the time of preparation. Any use of which a third party makes of this report, or any reliance on, or decisions made based on it, are the responsibility of such third parties. Valdor Engineering Inc. accepts no responsibility whatsoever for any damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions based on this report.




PARTICIPATING LANDOWNERS


-  LANDS OWNED BY TOTAL DEVELOPMENTS INTERNATIONAL
-  LANDS OWNED BY EMERY INVESTMENTS
-  STUDY AREA

ESCARPMENT BUSINESS COMMUNITY WEST TOWN OF MILTON	DRAWN BY <p style="text-align: center;">J.J.M</p>	 VALDOR ENGINEERING INC. Consulting Engineers – Project Managers <small>881 CHEBLEA ROAD, SUITE 11, WOODBRIDGE, ONTARIO, L4L 8A3 TEL. (905)284-0054, FAX (905)284-0088 E-MAIL: info@valdor-engineering.com www.valdor-engineering.com</small>		
	CHECKED BY <p style="text-align: center;">D.G.</p>			
KEY PLAN	DATE <p style="text-align: center;">JAN, 2007</p>	SCALE <p style="text-align: center;">N.T.S.</p>	PROJECT <p style="text-align: center;">03156</p>	DWG. <p style="text-align: center;">FIGURE 1</p>





Source: FSEMS, Philips Engineering, 2000 (Figure 8)

ESCARPMENT BUSINESS COMMUNITY WEST TOWN OF MILTON	DRAWN BY J.J.M	 VALDOR ENGINEERING INC. Consulting Engineers - Project Managers 661 CHIMBLEA ROAD, SUITE 11, WOODBRIDGE, ONTARIO, L4L 6A3 TEL. (905) 264-0054, FAX (905) 264-0059 E-MAIL: info@valdor-engineering.com www.valdor-engineering.com		
	CHECKED BY D.G.			
OPPORTUNITIES PLAN	DATE JAN, 2007	SCALE N.T.S.	PROJECT 03156	DWG. FIGURE 2



Source: FSEMS, Philips Engineering, 2000 (Figure B-2)

ESCARPMENT BUSINESS COMMUNITY WEST TOWN OF MILTON	DRAWN BY J.J.M	 VALDOR ENGINEERING INC. Consulting Engineers – Project Managers <small>891 CHRISLEA ROAD, SUITE 11, WOODBRIDGE, ONTARIO, L4L 8A3 TEL (905)284-0054, FAX (905)284-0088 E-MAIL: info@valdor-engineering.com www.valdor-engineering.com</small>		
	CHECKED BY D.G.			
FUTURE WATERSHED BOUNDARY PLAN	DATE JAN, 2007	SCALE N.T.S.	PROJECT 03156	DWG. FIGURE 3



**ESCARPMENT BUSINESS COMMUNITY WEST
TOWN OF MILTON**

DRAWN BY
J.J.M

CHECKED BY
D.G.

FUTURE STORM DRAINAGE PLAN

DATE
JAN, 2007

VALDOR ENGINEERING INC.
Consulting Engineers – Project Managers
881 CHRISLEA ROAD, SUITE 11, WOODBRIDGE, ONTARIO, L4L 8A3
TEL. (905)284-0084, FAX (905)284-0089
E-MAIL: info@valdor-engineering.com
www.valdor-engineering.com

SCALE
N.T.S.

PROJECT
03156

DWG.
FIGURE 4

APPENDIX “A”

SWM FACILITY S34 OPERATION

STAGE / STORAGE / DISCHARGE CHARACTERISTICS



VALDOR ENGINEERING INC.
 661 Chrislea Road, Suite 11
 Woodbridge, Ontario
 L4L 8A3

Project Name: Escarpment Business Community West
Municipality: Town of Milton
Project No.: 3156
Designed by: JJM
Date: January 4, 2007

STORAGE							STAGE		DISCHARGE							Obs:		
Elevation	Section	Avg Area	Section	Cumulative	Volume	Total	Active		(m ³ /s)									
(m)	Area	(sq.m.)	Volume	Volume	Above NWL	Storage			West	East	Structure:	Bottom	Quantity	Quantity	Bottom		Quantity	Total
	(sq.m.)	(sq.m.)	(m ³)	(m ³)	(m ³)	(ha.m)	Cell	Cell	Type:	Draw #1	Orifice#1	Weir #1	Draw #2	Weir #2	Outflow		Outflow	Outflow
									Orifice		1 Weir @	Orifice	4 Weirs @	to	to	to		
									Size (m):	210.40	0.155	0.860	2.100	0.115	1.820	South	East	
									Inv. (m):	210.40	211.30	212.15	211.40	211.90				
									Outlet:	East	South	South	South	East				
West Cell																		
208.90	15,850																	
210.00	17,900	16,875	18,562	18,562														
210.40	19,850	18,875	7,550	26,113	NWL	0.000	0.00			0.000								
210.90	21,450	20,650	10,325	36,438	10,325	1.033	0.50			0.033					0.033			
211.30	22,600	22,025	8,810	45,248	19,135	1.914	0.90			0.045					0.045			
211.40					21,918	2.192	1.00			0.048					0.048			
East Cell																		
209.90	3,900																	
211.00	5,450	4,675	5,142	5,142														
211.40	6,850	6,150	2,460	7,603	NWL	0.000		0.00					0.000					
211.90	8,600	7,725	3,863	11,465	3,863	0.386		0.50					0.018		0.018			
Combined Cells																		
211.30	22,600						0.90			0.045	0.000							
211.90	33,050	27,825	16,695	16,695	39,693	3.969	1.50	0.50		0.060	0.637		0.018	0.000	0.65	0.060	0.71	
211.95	34,261	33,656	1,683	18,378	41,375	4.138	1.55	0.55		0.061	0.724		0.019	0.136	0.74	0.197	0.94	
211.96	34,316	34,289	343	18,721	41,718	4.172	1.56	0.56		0.061	0.740		0.020	0.179	0.76	0.240	1.00	
211.97	34,372	34,344	343	19,064	42,062	4.206	1.57	0.57		0.061	0.756		0.020	0.225	0.78	0.286	1.06	
212.00	34,540	34,456	1,034	20,098	43,095	4.310	1.60	0.60		0.062	0.802		0.020	0.384	0.82	0.446	1.27	
212.10	35,950	35,245	3,524	23,622	46,620	4.662	1.70	0.70		0.064	0.939		0.022	1.087	0.96	1.151	2.11	
212.15	35,377	35,664	1,783	25,405	48,403	4.840	1.75	0.75		0.065	1.000	0.000	0.023	1.520	1.02	1.585	2.61	
212.20	35,656	35,517	1,776	27,181	50,179	5.018	1.80	0.80		0.066	1.058	0.039	0.024	1.998	1.12	2.064	3.18	
212.22	35,767	35,712	714	27,896	50,893	5.089	1.82	0.82		0.066	1.081	0.065	0.024	2.201	1.17	2.267	3.44	
212.25	35,935	35,851	1,076	28,971	51,969	5.197	1.85	0.85		0.067	1.113	0.111	0.025	2.517	1.25	2.584	3.83	
212.30	36,700	36,318	1,816	30,787	53,784	5.378	1.90	0.90		0.068	1.166	0.204	0.025	3.076	1.39	3.143	4.54	
212.35	36,900	36,800	1,840	32,627	55,624	5.562	1.95	0.95		0.069	1.216	0.314	0.026	3.670	1.56	3.739	5.3	
212.40					57,551	5.755	2.00	1.00		0.070	1.264	0.438	0.027	4.298	1.73	4.368	6.1	

Weir Eq'n: $Q = 1.67xLxH^{3/2}$
 Orifice Eq'n: $Q = 0.6CxAx(2gH)^{1/2}$

Extended Detention
 (released over 7 days)

Extended Detention
 (released over 48 hours)

Figure 8
Escarpment Business Community West SWM Pond - West Cell Extended Detention
Stage-Storage-Discharge CURVE




Figure 9
Escarpment Business Community West SWM Pond - East Cell Extended Detention
Stage-Storage-Discharge CURVE




Figure 10
Escarpment Business Community West SWM Pond - East and West Cells Combined
Stage-Storage-Discharge CURVE





Figure 11
Escarpment Business Community West SWM Pond - East and West Cells Combined
Dual Outlet Stage-Discharge Relationship



APPENDIX “B”



Allowable Post-Development Flows - Area "B"

Project Name: Ecarpment Business Community

Municipality: Town of Milton

Project No.: 03156

Date: January 4, 2007

OTTHYMO Model Results

25-Year Storm= 2.93 m³/s

100-Year Storm= 3.75 m³/s

```

V V I SSSSS U U A L
V V I SS U U A A L
V V I SS U U A A A A L
V V I SS U U A A L
VV I SSSSS UUUUU A A LLLLL

```

```

OOO TTTTT TTTTT H H Y Y M M OOO TM, Version 2.0
O O T T H H Y Y MM MM O O
O O T T H H Y M M O O Licensed To: Valdor Engineering
OOO T T H H Y M M OOO VO2-0102

```

Developed and Distributed by Greenland International Consulting Inc.
 Copyright 1996, 2001 Schaeffer & Associates Ltd.
 All rights reserved.

***** D E T A I L E D O U T P U T *****

Input filename: C:\Program Files\Visual OTTHYMO v2.0\voin.dat
 Output filename: S:\Projects\2003\03156\Hydrotechnical\Stormwater Management\10.14ha. Post-dev\December 2006\Post Chicago 24 Hour.out
 Summary filename: S:\Projects\2003\03156\Hydrotechnical\Stormwater Management\10.14ha. Post-dev\December 2006\Post Chicago 24 Hour.sum

DATE: 12/12/2006 TIME: 3:02:28 PM

USER:

COMMENTS: 25-YEAR STORM
AREA "B" POST-DEVELOPMENT

 ** SIMULATION NUMBER: 4 **

CHICAGO STORM
 Ptotal= 97.22 mm

IDF curve parameters: A=1234.000
 B= 5.500
 C= .786
 used in: INTENSITY = A / (t + B)^C

Duration of storm = 24.00 hrs
 Storm time step = 5.00 min
 Time to peak ratio = .33

TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN
hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr
.08	.89	6.08	2.81	12.08	2.64	18.08	1.27
.17	.89	6.17	2.91	12.17	2.60	18.17	1.26
.25	.90	6.25	3.03	12.25	2.56	18.25	1.25
.33	.91	6.33	3.16	12.33	2.52	18.33	1.25
.42	.92	6.42	3.29	12.42	2.48	18.42	1.24
.50	.93	6.50	3.45	12.50	2.44	18.50	1.23
.58	.93	6.58	3.62	12.58	2.40	18.58	1.22
.67	.94	6.67	3.81	12.67	2.37	18.67	1.21
.75	.95	6.75	4.03	12.75	2.34	18.75	1.21
.83	.96	6.83	4.27	12.83	2.30	18.83	1.20
.92	.97	6.92	4.56	12.92	2.27	18.92	1.19
1.00	.98	7.00	4.88	13.00	2.24	19.00	1.19
1.08	.99	7.08	5.27	13.08	2.21	19.08	1.18
1.17	1.00	7.17	5.73	13.17	2.18	19.17	1.17
1.25	1.01	7.25	6.30	13.25	2.15	19.25	1.16
1.33	1.02	7.33	7.00	13.33	2.12	19.33	1.16
1.42	1.03	7.42	7.91	13.42	2.10	19.42	1.15
1.50	1.04	7.50	9.13	13.50	2.07	19.50	1.14
1.58	1.05	7.58	10.85	13.58	2.05	19.58	1.14
1.67	1.06	7.67	13.50	13.67	2.02	19.67	1.13
1.75	1.07	7.75	18.07	13.75	2.00	19.75	1.12
1.83	1.08	7.83	27.92	13.83	1.98	19.83	1.12
1.92	1.09	7.92	64.21	13.92	1.95	19.92	1.11
2.00	1.11	8.00	194.38	14.00	1.93	20.00	1.11
2.08	1.12	8.08	82.51	14.08	1.91	20.08	1.10
2.17	1.13	8.17	46.00	14.17	1.89	20.17	1.09
2.25	1.15	8.25	31.70	14.25	1.87	20.25	1.09
2.33	1.16	8.33	24.20	14.33	1.85	20.33	1.08
2.42	1.17	8.42	19.63	14.42	1.83	20.42	1.08
2.50	1.19	8.50	16.55	14.50	1.81	20.50	1.07
2.58	1.20	8.58	14.34	14.58	1.79	20.58	1.06

2.67	1.22	8.67	12.68	14.67	1.77	20.67	1.06
2.75	1.23	8.75	11.39	14.75	1.76	20.75	1.05
2.83	1.25	8.83	10.35	14.83	1.74	20.83	1.05
2.92	1.26	8.92	9.50	14.92	1.72	20.92	1.04
3.00	1.28	9.00	8.79	15.00	1.70	21.00	1.04
3.08	1.30	9.08	8.18	15.08	1.69	21.08	1.03
3.17	1.32	9.17	7.66	15.17	1.67	21.17	1.03
3.25	1.34	9.25	7.21	15.25	1.66	21.25	1.02
3.33	1.36	9.33	6.81	15.33	1.64	21.33	1.02
3.42	1.37	9.42	6.46	15.42	1.63	21.42	1.01
3.50	1.40	9.50	6.15	15.50	1.61	21.50	1.01
3.58	1.42	9.58	5.87	15.58	1.60	21.58	1.00
3.67	1.44	9.67	5.61	15.67	1.58	21.67	1.00
3.75	1.46	9.75	5.38	15.75	1.57	21.75	.99
3.83	1.48	9.83	5.17	15.83	1.56	21.83	.99
3.92	1.51	9.92	4.98	15.92	1.54	21.92	.98
4.00	1.53	10.00	4.80	16.00	1.53	22.00	.98
4.08	1.56	10.08	4.64	16.08	1.52	22.08	.97
4.17	1.59	10.17	4.49	16.17	1.51	22.17	.97
4.25	1.62	10.25	4.34	16.25	1.49	22.25	.96
4.33	1.65	10.33	4.21	16.33	1.48	22.33	.96
4.42	1.68	10.42	4.09	16.42	1.47	22.42	.95
4.50	1.71	10.50	3.97	16.50	1.46	22.50	.95
4.58	1.74	10.58	3.87	16.58	1.45	22.58	.95
4.67	1.78	10.67	3.77	16.67	1.43	22.67	.94
4.75	1.82	10.75	3.67	16.75	1.42	22.75	.94
4.83	1.86	10.83	3.58	16.83	1.41	22.83	.93
4.92	1.90	10.92	3.49	16.92	1.40	22.92	.93
5.00	1.94	11.00	3.41	17.00	1.39	23.00	.93
5.08	1.98	11.08	3.34	17.08	1.38	23.08	.92
5.17	2.03	11.17	3.26	17.17	1.37	23.17	.92
5.25	2.08	11.25	3.19	17.25	1.36	23.25	.91
5.33	2.14	11.33	3.13	17.33	1.35	23.33	.91
5.42	2.19	11.42	3.06	17.42	1.34	23.42	.91
5.50	2.25	11.50	3.00	17.50	1.33	23.50	.90
5.58	2.32	11.58	2.94	17.58	1.32	23.58	.90
5.67	2.38	11.67	2.89	17.67	1.31	23.67	.89
5.75	2.46	11.75	2.84	17.75	1.31	23.75	.89
5.83	2.54	11.83	2.79	17.83	1.30	23.83	.89
5.92	2.62	11.92	2.74	17.92	1.29	23.92	.88
6.00	2.71	12.00	2.69	18.00	1.28	24.00	.88

CALIB
STANDHYD (0002) Area (ha)= 10.14
ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min Total Imp(%)= 75.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 50.00

	IMPERVIOUS	PERVIOUS (i)	
Surface Area (ha)=	7.61	2.54	
Dep. Storage (mm)=	1.00	1.50	
Average Slope (%)=	1.00	2.00	
Length (m)=	260.00	40.00	
Mannings n =	.013	.250	
Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)=	194.38	201.72	
over (min)	5.00	10.00	
Storage Coeff. (min)=	3.47 (ii)	7.57 (ii)	
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)=	5.00	10.00	
Unit Hyd. peak (cms)=	.26	.13	
			TOTALS
PEAK FLOW (cms)=	2.27	1.07	2.926 (iii)
TIME TO PEAK (hrs)=	8.00	8.08	8.00
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)=	96.22	68.14	82.18
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)=	97.22	97.22	97.22
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT =	.99	.70	.85

***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!

- (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:
CN* = 76.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)
- (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL
THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
- (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

FINISH
=====


```

V V I SSSSS U U A L
V V I SS U U A A L
V V I SS U U AAAAA L
V V I SS U U A A L
VV I SSSSS UUUUU A A LLLLL

```

```

OOO TTTT TTTT H H Y Y M M OOO TM, Version 2.0
O O T T H H Y Y MM MM O O
O O T T H H Y M M O O Licensed To: Valdor Engineering
OOO T T H H Y M M OOO VO2-0102

```

Developed and Distributed by Greenland International Consulting Inc.
 Copyright 1996, 2001 Schaeffer & Associates Ltd.
 All rights reserved.

***** D E T A I L E D O U T P U T *****

Input filename: C:\Program Files\Visual OTTHYMO v2.0\voin.dat
 Output filename: S:\Projects\2003\03156\Hydrotechnical\Stormwater Management\10.14ha. Post-dev\December 2006\Post Chicago 24 Hour.out
 Summary filename: S:\Projects\2003\03156\Hydrotechnical\Stormwater Management\10.14ha. Post-dev\December 2006\Post Chicago 24 Hour.sum

DATE: 12/12/2006 TIME: 3:03:03 PM

USER:

COMMENTS: 100-Team Storm

 AREA "B" POST DEVELOPMENT

 ** SIMULATION NUMBER: 6 **

CHICAGO STORM
 Ptotal=122.49 mm

IDF curve parameters: A=1435.000
 B= 5.200
 C= .775

used in: INTENSITY = A / (t + B)^C

Duration of storm = 24.00 hrs
 Storm time step = 5.00 min
 Time to peak ratio = .33

TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN
hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr
.08	1.17	6.08	3.64	12.08	3.43	18.08	1.67
.17	1.18	6.17	3.78	12.17	3.38	18.17	1.66
.25	1.19	6.25	3.92	12.25	3.32	18.25	1.65
.33	1.20	6.33	4.08	12.33	3.27	18.33	1.64
.42	1.21	6.42	4.26	12.42	3.22	18.42	1.63
.50	1.22	6.50	4.45	12.50	3.17	18.50	1.62
.58	1.23	6.58	4.67	12.58	3.13	18.58	1.61
.67	1.25	6.67	4.91	12.67	3.08	18.67	1.60
.75	1.26	6.75	5.18	12.75	3.04	18.75	1.59
.83	1.27	6.83	5.49	12.83	3.00	18.83	1.58
.92	1.28	6.92	5.85	12.92	2.96	18.92	1.57
1.00	1.29	7.00	6.26	13.00	2.92	19.00	1.56
1.08	1.30	7.08	6.75	13.08	2.88	19.08	1.55
1.17	1.32	7.17	7.32	13.17	2.84	19.17	1.54
1.25	1.33	7.25	8.03	13.25	2.80	19.25	1.53
1.33	1.34	7.33	8.90	13.33	2.77	19.33	1.52
1.42	1.36	7.42	10.03	13.42	2.74	19.42	1.52
1.50	1.37	7.50	11.53	13.50	2.70	19.50	1.51
1.58	1.38	7.58	13.65	13.58	2.67	19.58	1.50
1.67	1.40	7.67	16.87	13.67	2.64	19.67	1.49
1.75	1.41	7.75	22.41	13.75	2.61	19.75	1.48
1.83	1.43	7.83	34.27	13.83	2.58	19.83	1.47
1.92	1.44	7.92	77.82	13.92	2.55	19.92	1.46
2.00	1.46	8.00	237.24	14.00	2.52	20.00	1.46
2.08	1.48	8.08	99.80	14.08	2.49	20.08	1.45
2.17	1.49	8.17	55.94	14.17	2.47	20.17	1.44
2.25	1.51	8.25	38.81	14.25	2.44	20.25	1.43
2.33	1.53	8.33	29.82	14.33	2.41	20.33	1.43
2.42	1.54	8.42	24.30	14.42	2.39	20.42	1.42
2.50	1.56	8.50	20.58	14.50	2.37	20.50	1.41
2.58	1.58	8.58	17.90	14.58	2.34	20.58	1.40

2.67	1.60	8.67	15.88	14.67	2.32	20.67	1.40
2.75	1.62	8.75	14.30	14.75	2.30	20.75	1.39
2.83	1.64	8.83	13.03	14.83	2.27	20.83	1.38
2.92	1.66	8.92	11.98	14.92	2.25	20.92	1.37
3.00	1.69	9.00	11.11	15.00	2.23	21.00	1.37
3.08	1.71	9.08	10.36	15.08	2.21	21.08	1.36
3.17	1.73	9.17	9.72	15.17	2.19	21.17	1.35
3.25	1.76	9.25	9.16	15.25	2.17	21.25	1.35
3.33	1.78	9.33	8.67	15.33	2.15	21.33	1.34
3.42	1.81	9.42	8.23	15.42	2.13	21.42	1.33
3.50	1.83	9.50	7.84	15.50	2.11	21.50	1.33
3.58	1.86	9.58	7.49	15.58	2.09	21.58	1.32
3.67	1.89	9.67	7.17	15.67	2.07	21.67	1.32
3.75	1.92	9.75	6.89	15.75	2.06	21.75	1.31
3.83	1.95	9.83	6.62	15.83	2.04	21.83	1.30
3.92	1.98	9.92	6.38	15.92	2.02	21.92	1.30
4.00	2.01	10.00	6.16	16.00	2.01	22.00	1.29
4.08	2.05	10.08	5.95	16.08	1.99	22.08	1.28
4.17	2.08	10.17	5.76	16.17	1.97	22.17	1.28
4.25	2.12	10.25	5.58	16.25	1.96	22.25	1.27
4.33	2.16	10.33	5.42	16.33	1.94	22.33	1.27
4.42	2.20	10.42	5.26	16.42	1.93	22.42	1.26
4.50	2.24	10.50	5.12	16.50	1.91	22.50	1.26
4.58	2.28	10.58	4.98	16.58	1.90	22.58	1.25
4.67	2.33	10.67	4.85	16.67	1.88	22.67	1.24
4.75	2.37	10.75	4.73	16.75	1.87	22.75	1.24
4.83	2.42	10.83	4.62	16.83	1.85	22.83	1.23
4.92	2.48	10.92	4.51	16.92	1.84	22.92	1.23
5.00	2.53	11.00	4.41	17.00	1.83	23.00	1.22
5.08	2.59	11.08	4.31	17.08	1.81	23.08	1.22
5.17	2.65	11.17	4.22	17.17	1.80	23.17	1.21
5.25	2.72	11.25	4.13	17.25	1.79	23.25	1.21
5.33	2.78	11.33	4.05	17.33	1.78	23.33	1.20
5.42	2.86	11.42	3.97	17.42	1.76	23.42	1.20
5.50	2.93	11.50	3.89	17.50	1.75	23.50	1.19
5.58	3.01	11.58	3.81	17.58	1.74	23.58	1.19
5.67	3.10	11.67	3.74	17.67	1.73	23.67	1.18
5.75	3.19	11.75	3.68	17.75	1.72	23.75	1.18
5.83	3.29	11.83	3.61	17.83	1.70	23.83	1.17
5.92	3.40	11.92	3.55	17.92	1.69	23.92	1.17
6.00	3.52	12.00	3.49	18.00	1.68	24.00	1.16

CALIB
STANDHYD (0002) Area (ha)= 10.14
ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min Total Imp(%)= 75.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 50.00

	IMPERVIOUS	PERVIOUS (i)	
Surface Area (ha)=	7.61	2.54	
Dep. Storage (mm)=	1.00	1.50	
Average Slope (%)=	1.00	2.00	
Length (m)=	260.00	40.00	
Mannings n =	.013	.250	
Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)=	237.24	264.45	
over (min)	5.00	10.00	
Storage Coeff. (min)=	3.21 (ii)	6.99 (ii)	
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)=	5.00	10.00	
Unit Hyd. peak (cms)=	.27	.14	
			TOTALS
PEAK FLOW (cms)=	2.84	1.47	3.750 (iii)
TIME TO PEAK (hrs)=	8.00	8.08	8.00
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)=	121.49	91.58	106.54
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)=	122.49	122.49	122.49
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT =	.99	.75	.87

***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!

- (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:
CN* = 76.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)
- (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL
THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
- (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

FINISH
=====

APPENDIX “C”



Project Name: Escarpment Business Community West
Municipality: Town of Milton
Project No.: 03516
Date: 28-Mar-2007

TABLE 6: SUMMARY OF PRE & POST DEVELOPMENT DISCHARGE


EXTENDED DETENTION

Design Storm	DRAINING SOUTH TO TRIBUTARY N1-A					DRAINING EAST TO TRIBUTARY N2-B						SWM Pond HWL Elevation (m)		Total						
	Pre-development (Area = 53.6 ha)		Post-development (East Cell)			Pre-development (Area = 10.1 ha)		Post-development (Area = 10.1 ha)		Post-development (West Cell)				Runoff Volume		Discharge			Storage	
	Q _{peak} (m ³ /s)	Runoff Volume (m ³)	Allowable Q _{peak} (m ³ /s)	Actual Q _{peak} (m ³ /s)	Runoff Volume (m ³)	Q _{peak} (m ³ /s)	Runoff Volume (m ³)	Q _{peak} (m ³ /s)	Runoff Volume (m ³)	Allowable Q _{peak} (m ³ /s)	Actual Q _{peak} (m ³ /s)	Runoff Volume (m ³)	West Cell	East Cell	Pre-Dev (m ³)	Post-Dev (m ³)	Pre-Dev Q _{peak} (m ³ /s)	Allowable Q _{peak} (m ³ /s)	Actual Q _{peak} (m ³ /s)	Used (m ³)
	25mm	0.20	2,240	0.11	0.01	2,901	0.05	424	0.53	1,682	0.012	0.040	13,350	211.05	211.75	2,664	16,251	0.25	0.12	0.05

FLOOD CONTROL

Design Storm	DRAINING SOUTH TO TRIBUTARY N1-A					DRAINING EAST TO TRIBUTARY N2-B						SWM Pond HWL Elevation (m)		Total						
	Pre-development (Area = 53.6 ha)		Post-development			Pre-development (Area = 10.1 ha)		Post-development (Area = 10.1 ha)		Post-development				Runoff Volume		Discharge			Storage	
	Q _{peak} (m ³ /s)	Runoff Volume (m ³)	Allowable Q _{peak} (m ³ /s)	Actual Q _{peak} (m ³ /s)	Runoff Volume (m ³)	Q _{peak} (m ³ /s)	Runoff Volume (m ³)	Q _{peak} (m ³ /s)	Runoff Volume (m ³)	Allowable Q _{peak} (m ³ /s)	Actual Q _{peak} (m ³ /s)	Runoff Volume (m ³)	West Cell	East Cell	Pre-Dev (m ³)	Post-Dev (m ³)	Pre-Dev Q _{peak} (m ³ /s)	Allowable Q _{peak} (m ³ /s)	Actual Q _{peak} (m ³ /s)	Used (m ³)
	2-year	0.56	8,061		0.26	17,163	0.17	1,525	1.3	3,639		0.05	16,157	211.60	211.90	9,586	33,320	0.730		0.31
5-year	1.02	14,761		0.59	33,561	0.31	2,792	2.0	5,461		0.06	17,124	211.85	211.90	17,553	50,685	1.3		0.65	37,910
10-year	1.35	19,526		0.82	41,588	0.41	3,695	2.4	6,651		0.42	20,436	212.00		23,221	62,024	1.8		1.24	42,957
25-year	1.83	26,645	1.1	1.02	47,947	0.56	5,041	2.9	8,333	2.9	1.57	30,119	212.15		31,686	78,066	2.4	4.0	2.59	48,357
50-year	2.19	31,988		1.25	52,003	0.67	6,051	3.3	9,548		2.59	37,657	212.25		38,039	89,660	2.9		3.84	52,005
100-year	2.58	37,649	1.6	1.57	56,019	0.79	7,123	3.8	10,803	3.8	3.78	45,626	212.35		44,772	101,645	3.4	5.4	5.35	55,805


VALDOR ENGINEERING
 661 Chrislea Road, Suite 11
 Woodbridge - Ontario L4L 8A3
 TEL: 905.264-0054 FAX: 905.264.0069
 www.valdor-engineering.com



LEGEND

N1-A — RECEIVING WATERCOURSE
53.6 — CONTRIBUTING AREA (Ha)

■■■■■ DRAINAGE BOUNDARY

ESCARPMENT BUSINESS COMMUNITY WEST TOWN OF MILTON	DRAWN BY J.J.M	 VALDOR ENGINEERING INC. Consulting Engineers – Project Managers 681 CHRISLEA ROAD, SUITE 11, WOODBRIDGE, ONTARIO, L4L 8A3 TEL. (905)254-0054, FAX (905)254-0088 E-MAIL: info@valdor-engineering.com www.valdor-engineering.com		
	CHECKED BY D.G.			
PRE-DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN	DATE JAN, 2007	SCALE N.T.S.	PROJECT 03156	DWG. FIGURE 6

Pre-development Peak Flow to Tributary N2-B

Project Name: Escarpment Bussines Community West

Municipality: Town of Milton

Project No.: 03516

Designed by: JJM

Date: December 4, 2006

Drainage Area = 10.14 ha.

Airport Method																			
$t_c = \frac{3.26 \times (1.1 - C) \times L^{0.5}}{S_w^{0.33}}$	<div style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; padding: 2px 10px;">Tc= 50.22</div>																		
Time to Peak	<div style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; padding: 2px 10px;">Tp= 0.56</div>																		
<div style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; padding: 2px 10px;">$t_p = 0.67 t_c$</div>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Tc:</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">50.22</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Time of Concentration (min)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Tp:</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">0.56</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Time to Peak (hrs)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">L=</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">1255</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Catchment Length (m)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Ah=</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">6.50</td> <td style="padding: 2px;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Sw=</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">0.52</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Catchment Slope (%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">C=</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">0.75</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Runoff Coefficient</td> </tr> </table>	Tc:	50.22	Time of Concentration (min)	Tp:	0.56	Time to Peak (hrs)	L=	1255	Catchment Length (m)	Ah=	6.50		Sw=	0.52	Catchment Slope (%)	C=	0.75	Runoff Coefficient
Tc:	50.22	Time of Concentration (min)																	
Tp:	0.56	Time to Peak (hrs)																	
L=	1255	Catchment Length (m)																	
Ah=	6.50																		
Sw=	0.52	Catchment Slope (%)																	
C=	0.75	Runoff Coefficient																	

OTTHYMO Model Parameters

CN= 76
 IA= 4.5mm.
 Tp= 0.56hrs

OTTHYMO Model Results (Chicago 24-hour Storm)

25mm Storm =	0.05	m ³ /s
2-Year Storm=	0.17	m ³ /s
5-Year Storm=	0.31	m ³ /s
10-Year Storm=	0.41	m ³ /s
25-Year Storm=	0.56	m³/s
50-Year Storm=	0.67	m ³ /s
100-Year Storm=	0.79	m³/s

=====

V V I SSSS U U A L
V V I SS U U A A L
V V I SS U U A A A A L
V V I SS U U A A L
VV I SSSS UUUU A A LLLL

OOO TTTT TTTT H H Y Y M M OOO TM, Version 2.0
O O T T H H Y Y MM MM O O
O O T T H H Y M M O O Licensed To: Valdor Engineering
OOO T T H H Y M M OOO VO2-0102

Developed and Distributed by Greenland International Consulting Inc.
Copyright 1996, 2001 Schaeffer & Associates Ltd.
All rights reserved.

***** D E T A I L E D O U T P U T *****

Input filename: C:\Program Files\Visual OTTHYMO v2.0\voin.dat
Output filename: S:\Projects\2003\03156\Hydrotechnical\Stormwater Management\10.14ha. Post-dev
\December 2006\Pre-Chicago 24 Hour.out
Summary filename: S:\Projects\2003\03156\Hydrotechnical\Stormwater Management\10.14ha. Post-dev
\December 2006\Pre-Chicago 24 Hour.sum

DATE: 1/8/2007 TIME: 9:15:17 AM

USER:

COMMENTS: PRE-DEVELOPMENT

10.1 Ha TRIBUTARY TO N2-B

** SIMULATION NUMBER: 1 **

READ STORM | Filename: S:\SWM Library\Storms\25mmchi.stm
Ptotal= 25.02 mm | Comments: 25mm CHICAGO Storm

TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN
hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr
.17	2.17	1.17	6.20	2.17	5.62	3.17	2.95
.33	2.38	1.33	12.18	2.33	4.80	3.33	2.76
.50	2.66	1.50	41.67	2.50	4.21	3.50	2.62
.67	3.03	1.67	15.28	2.67	3.78	3.67	2.47
.83	3.58	1.83	9.22	2.83	3.45	3.83	2.35
1.00	4.47	2.00	6.88	3.00	3.18	4.00	2.23

CALIB |
NASHYD (0001) | Area (ha)= 10.14 Curve Number (CN)= 76.0
ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min | Ia (mm)= 4.50 # of Linear Res. (N)= 3.00
U.H. Tp (hrs)= .56

NOTE: RAINFALL WAS TRANSFORMED TO 5.0 MIN. TIME STEP.

----- TRANSFORMED HYETOGRAPH -----

TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN
hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr
.083	2.17	1.083	6.20	2.083	5.62	3.08	2.95
.167	2.17	1.167	6.20	2.167	5.62	3.17	2.95
.250	2.38	1.250	12.18	2.250	4.80	3.25	2.76
.333	2.38	1.333	12.18	2.333	4.80	3.33	2.76
.417	2.66	1.417	41.67	2.417	4.21	3.42	2.62
.500	2.66	1.500	41.67	2.500	4.21	3.50	2.62
.583	3.03	1.583	15.28	2.583	3.78	3.58	2.47
.667	3.03	1.667	15.28	2.667	3.78	3.67	2.47
.750	3.58	1.750	9.22	2.750	3.45	3.75	2.35
.833	3.58	1.833	9.22	2.833	3.45	3.83	2.35
.917	4.47	1.917	6.88	2.917	3.18	3.92	2.23
1.000	4.47	2.000	6.88	3.000	3.18	4.00	2.23

Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .692

PEAK FLOW (cms) = .053 (i) ←
 TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 2.333
 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 4.181
 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 25.023
 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .167

(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

 ** SIMULATION NUMBER: 2 **

CHICAGO STORM
 Ptotal= 47.56 mm

IDF curve parameters: A= 779.000
 B= 6.000
 C= .821
 used in: INTENSITY = A / (t + B)^C

Duration of storm = 24.00 hrs
 Storm time step = 5.00 min
 Time to peak ratio = .33

TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN
hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr
.08	.36	6.08	1.23	12.08	1.15	18.08	.53
.17	.37	6.17	1.28	12.17	1.13	18.17	.53
.25	.37	6.25	1.33	12.25	1.11	18.25	.53
.33	.37	6.33	1.39	12.33	1.10	18.33	.52
.42	.38	6.42	1.46	12.42	1.08	18.42	.52
.50	.38	6.50	1.53	12.50	1.06	18.50	.51
.58	.39	6.58	1.61	12.58	1.04	18.58	.51
.67	.39	6.67	1.70	12.67	1.03	18.67	.51
.75	.39	6.75	1.81	12.75	1.01	18.75	.50
.83	.40	6.83	1.92	12.83	1.00	18.83	.50
.92	.40	6.92	2.06	12.92	.98	18.92	.50
1.00	.40	7.00	2.22	13.00	.97	19.00	.49
1.08	.41	7.08	2.41	13.08	.95	19.08	.49
1.17	.41	7.17	2.64	13.17	.94	19.17	.49
1.25	.42	7.25	2.92	13.25	.93	19.25	.49
1.33	.42	7.33	3.27	13.33	.92	19.33	.48
1.42	.43	7.42	3.73	13.42	.90	19.42	.48
1.50	.43	7.50	4.36	13.50	.89	19.50	.48
1.58	.44	7.58	5.25	13.58	.88	19.58	.47
1.67	.44	7.67	6.65	13.67	.87	19.67	.47
1.75	.45	7.75	9.12	13.75	.86	19.75	.47
1.83	.45	7.83	14.59	13.83	.85	19.83	.47
1.92	.46	7.92	35.30	13.92	.84	19.92	.46
2.00	.46	8.00	108.78	14.00	.83	20.00	.46
2.08	.47	8.08	45.80	14.08	.82	20.08	.46
2.17	.47	8.17	24.85	14.17	.81	20.17	.45
2.25	.48	8.25	16.71	14.25	.80	20.25	.45
2.33	.48	8.33	12.51	14.33	.79	20.33	.45
2.42	.49	8.42	9.98	14.42	.78	20.42	.45
2.50	.50	8.50	8.30	14.50	.77	20.50	.44
2.58	.50	8.58	7.10	14.58	.76	20.58	.44
2.67	.51	8.67	6.22	14.67	.76	20.67	.44
2.75	.52	8.75	5.53	14.75	.75	20.75	.44
2.83	.52	8.83	4.99	14.83	.74	20.83	.43
2.92	.53	8.92	4.55	14.92	.73	20.92	.43
3.00	.54	9.00	4.18	15.00	.73	21.00	.43
3.08	.55	9.08	3.87	15.08	.72	21.08	.43
3.17	.55	9.17	3.60	15.17	.71	21.17	.43
3.25	.56	9.25	3.38	15.25	.70	21.25	.42
3.33	.57	9.33	3.18	15.33	.70	21.33	.42
3.42	.58	9.42	3.00	15.42	.69	21.42	.42
3.50	.59	9.50	2.84	15.50	.68	21.50	.42
3.58	.60	9.58	2.70	15.58	.68	21.58	.41
3.67	.61	9.67	2.58	15.67	.67	21.67	.41
3.75	.62	9.75	2.46	15.75	.67	21.75	.41
3.83	.63	9.83	2.36	15.83	.66	21.83	.41
3.92	.64	9.92	2.27	15.92	.65	21.92	.41
4.00	.65	10.00	2.18	16.00	.65	22.00	.40
4.08	.66	10.08	2.10	16.08	.64	22.08	.40
4.17	.67	10.17	2.03	16.17	.64	22.17	.40
4.25	.69	10.25	1.96	16.25	.63	22.25	.40
4.33	.70	10.33	1.90	16.33	.63	22.33	.40
4.42	.71	10.42	1.84	16.42	.62	22.42	.39
4.50	.73	10.50	1.78	16.50	.62	22.50	.39
4.58	.74	10.58	1.73	16.58	.61	22.58	.39
4.67	.76	10.67	1.68	16.67	.61	22.67	.39
4.75	.78	10.75	1.64	16.75	.60	22.75	.39
4.83	.79	10.83	1.59	16.83	.60	22.83	.38
4.92	.81	10.92	1.55	16.92	.59	22.92	.38
5.00	.83	11.00	1.51	17.00	.59	23.00	.38
5.08	.85	11.08	1.48	17.08	.58	23.08	.38
5.17	.87	11.17	1.44	17.17	.58	23.17	.38

5.25	.90	11.25	1.41	17.25	.57	23.25	.38
5.33	.92	11.33	1.38	17.33	.57	23.33	.37
5.42	.95	11.42	1.35	17.42	.56	23.42	.37
5.50	.97	11.50	1.32	17.50	.56	23.50	.37
5.58	1.00	11.58	1.29	17.58	.56	23.58	.37
5.67	1.03	11.67	1.27	17.67	.55	23.67	.37
5.75	1.07	11.75	1.24	17.75	.55	23.75	.37
5.83	1.10	11.83	1.22	17.83	.54	23.83	.36
5.92	1.14	11.92	1.20	17.92	.54	23.92	.36
6.00	1.18	12.00	1.18	18.00	.54	24.00	.36

CALIB
NASHYD (0001)
ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min

Area (ha)= 10.14 Curve Number (CN)= 76.0
Ia (mm)= 4.50 # of Linear Res. (N)= 3.00
U.H. Tp(hrs)= .56

Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .692
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .172 (i) ←
TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 8.667
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 15.041
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 47.561
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .316

(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

** SIMULATION NUMBER: 3 **

CHICAGO STORM
Ptotal= 67.24 mm

IDF curve parameters: A= 959.000
B= 5.700
C= .802
used in: INTENSITY = A / (t + B)^C

Duration of storm = 24.00 hrs
Storm time step = 5.00 min
Time to peak ratio = .33

TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN
hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr
.08	.57	6.08	1.85	12.08	1.74	18.08	.82
.17	.57	6.17	1.92	12.17	1.71	18.17	.82
.25	.58	6.25	2.00	12.25	1.68	18.25	.81
.33	.58	6.33	2.09	12.33	1.66	18.33	.81
.42	.59	6.42	2.18	12.42	1.63	18.42	.80
.50	.59	6.50	2.29	12.50	1.60	18.50	.79
.58	.60	6.58	2.40	12.58	1.58	18.58	.79
.67	.61	6.67	2.54	12.67	1.56	18.67	.78
.75	.61	6.75	2.68	12.75	1.53	18.75	.78
.83	.62	6.83	2.85	12.83	1.51	18.83	.77
.92	.62	6.92	3.05	12.92	1.49	18.92	.77
1.00	.63	7.00	3.27	13.00	1.47	19.00	.77
1.08	.64	7.08	3.54	13.08	1.45	19.08	.76
1.17	.64	7.17	3.86	13.17	1.43	19.17	.76
1.25	.65	7.25	4.26	13.25	1.41	19.25	.75
1.33	.65	7.33	4.75	13.33	1.39	19.33	.75
1.42	.66	7.42	5.39	13.42	1.37	19.42	.74
1.50	.67	7.50	6.25	13.50	1.36	19.50	.74
1.58	.68	7.58	7.48	13.58	1.34	19.58	.73
1.67	.68	7.67	9.37	13.67	1.32	19.67	.73
1.75	.69	7.75	12.67	13.75	1.31	19.75	.72
1.83	.70	7.83	19.89	13.83	1.29	19.83	.72
1.92	.71	7.92	46.83	13.92	1.28	19.92	.72
2.00	.71	8.00	143.30	14.00	1.26	20.00	.71
2.08	.72	8.08	60.46	14.08	1.25	20.08	.71
2.17	.73	8.17	33.27	14.17	1.23	20.17	.70
2.25	.74	8.25	22.67	14.25	1.22	20.25	.70
2.33	.75	8.33	17.15	14.33	1.21	20.33	.70
2.42	.76	8.42	13.81	14.42	1.19	20.42	.69
2.50	.77	8.50	11.57	14.50	1.18	20.50	.69
2.58	.78	8.58	9.98	14.58	1.17	20.58	.69
2.67	.79	8.67	8.78	14.67	1.16	20.67	.68
2.75	.80	8.75	7.86	14.75	1.14	20.75	.68
2.83	.81	8.83	7.11	14.83	1.13	20.83	.67
2.92	.82	8.92	6.51	14.92	1.12	20.92	.67
3.00	.83	9.00	6.00	15.00	1.11	21.00	.67
3.08	.84	9.08	5.58	15.08	1.10	21.08	.66
3.17	.85	9.17	5.21	15.17	1.09	21.17	.66
3.25	.86	9.25	4.89	15.25	1.08	21.25	.66
3.33	.88	9.33	4.62	15.33	1.07	21.33	.65
3.42	.89	9.42	4.37	15.42	1.06	21.42	.65

3.50	.90	9.50	4.15	15.50	1.05	21.50	.65
3.58	.92	9.58	3.96	15.58	1.04	21.58	.64
3.67	.93	9.67	3.78	15.67	1.03	21.67	.64
3.75	.95	9.75	3.62	15.75	1.02	21.75	.64
3.83	.96	9.83	3.47	15.83	1.01	21.83	.63
3.92	.98	9.92	3.34	15.92	1.00	21.92	.63
4.00	1.00	10.00	3.22	16.00	.99	22.00	.63
4.08	1.01	10.08	3.10	16.08	.99	22.08	.63
4.17	1.03	10.17	3.00	16.17	.98	22.17	.62
4.25	1.05	10.25	2.90	16.25	.97	22.25	.62
4.33	1.07	10.33	2.81	16.33	.96	22.33	.62
4.42	1.09	10.42	2.73	16.42	.95	22.42	.61
4.50	1.11	10.50	2.65	16.50	.95	22.50	.61
4.58	1.14	10.58	2.57	16.58	.94	22.58	.61
4.67	1.16	10.67	2.50	16.67	.93	22.67	.60
4.75	1.18	10.75	2.44	16.75	.92	22.75	.60
4.83	1.21	10.83	2.38	16.83	.92	22.83	.60
4.92	1.24	10.92	2.32	16.92	.91	22.92	.60
5.00	1.27	11.00	2.26	17.00	.90	23.00	.59
5.08	1.30	11.08	2.21	17.08	.90	23.08	.59
5.17	1.33	11.17	2.16	17.17	.89	23.17	.59
5.25	1.36	11.25	2.11	17.25	.88	23.25	.59
5.33	1.40	11.33	2.07	17.33	.88	23.33	.58
5.42	1.44	11.42	2.02	17.42	.87	23.42	.58
5.50	1.48	11.50	1.98	17.50	.86	23.50	.58
5.58	1.52	11.58	1.94	17.58	.86	23.58	.58
5.67	1.57	11.67	1.91	17.67	.85	23.67	.57
5.75	1.61	11.75	1.87	17.75	.84	23.75	.57
5.83	1.67	11.83	1.84	17.83	.84	23.83	.57
5.92	1.72	11.92	1.80	17.92	.83	23.92	.57
6.00	1.79	12.00	1.77	18.00	.83	24.00	.56

CALIB
 NASHYD (0001)
 ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min

Area (ha)= 10.14 Curve Number (CN)= 76.0
 Ia (mm)= 4.50 # of Linear Res. (N)= 3.00
 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .56

Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .692
 PEAK FLOW (cms)= .312 (i) ←
 TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 8.667
 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 27.537
 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 67.243
 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .410

(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

 ** SIMULATION NUMBER: 4 **

CHICAGO STORM
 Ptotal= 79.77 mm

IDF curve parameters: A=1089.000
 B= 5.700
 C= .796
 used in: INTENSITY = A / (t + B)^C

Duration of storm = 24.00 hrs
 Storm time step = 5.00 min
 Time to peak ratio = .33

TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN
hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr
.08	.69	6.08	2.24	12.08	2.11	18.08	1.00
.17	.70	6.17	2.32	12.17	2.07	18.17	.99
.25	.71	6.25	2.42	12.25	2.04	18.25	.99
.33	.71	6.33	2.52	12.33	2.00	18.33	.98
.42	.72	6.42	2.63	12.42	1.97	18.42	.97
.50	.73	6.50	2.76	12.50	1.94	18.50	.97
.58	.73	6.58	2.90	12.58	1.91	18.58	.96
.67	.74	6.67	3.06	12.67	1.88	18.67	.96
.75	.75	6.75	3.23	12.75	1.86	18.75	.95
.83	.75	6.83	3.43	12.83	1.83	18.83	.94
.92	.76	6.92	3.67	12.92	1.80	18.92	.94
1.00	.77	7.00	3.94	13.00	1.78	19.00	.93
1.08	.78	7.08	4.25	13.08	1.75	19.08	.93
1.17	.78	7.17	4.63	13.17	1.73	19.17	.92
1.25	.79	7.25	5.10	13.25	1.71	19.25	.92
1.33	.80	7.33	5.69	13.33	1.69	19.33	.91
1.42	.81	7.42	6.44	13.42	1.67	19.42	.90
1.50	.82	7.50	7.46	13.50	1.64	19.50	.90
1.58	.82	7.58	8.90	13.58	1.62	19.58	.89
1.67	.83	7.67	11.12	13.67	1.60	19.67	.89

1.75	.84	7.75	14.99	13.75	1.58	19.75	.88
1.83	.85	7.83	23.39	13.83	1.57	19.83	.88
1.92	.86	7.92	54.52	13.92	1.55	19.92	.87
2.00	.87	8.00	165.06	14.00	1.53	20.00	.87
2.08	.88	8.08	70.22	14.08	1.51	20.08	.86
2.17	.89	8.17	38.89	14.17	1.50	20.17	.86
2.25	.90	8.25	26.62	14.25	1.48	20.25	.85
2.33	.91	8.33	20.22	14.33	1.46	20.33	.85
2.42	.92	8.42	16.32	14.42	1.45	20.42	.84
2.50	.93	8.50	13.71	14.50	1.43	20.50	.84
2.58	.95	8.58	11.84	14.58	1.42	20.58	.84
2.67	.96	8.67	10.44	14.67	1.40	20.67	.83
2.75	.97	8.75	9.35	14.75	1.39	20.75	.83
2.83	.98	8.83	8.48	14.83	1.37	20.83	.82
2.92	1.00	8.92	7.76	14.92	1.36	20.92	.82
3.00	1.01	9.00	7.17	15.00	1.35	21.00	.81
3.08	1.02	9.08	6.67	15.08	1.33	21.08	.81
3.17	1.04	9.17	6.23	15.17	1.32	21.17	.81
3.25	1.05	9.25	5.86	15.25	1.31	21.25	.80
3.33	1.07	9.33	5.53	15.33	1.30	21.33	.80
3.42	1.08	9.42	5.24	15.42	1.29	21.42	.79
3.50	1.10	9.50	4.98	15.50	1.27	21.50	.79
3.58	1.12	9.58	4.75	15.58	1.26	21.58	.79
3.67	1.13	9.67	4.54	15.67	1.25	21.67	.78
3.75	1.15	9.75	4.35	15.75	1.24	21.75	.78
3.83	1.17	9.83	4.17	15.83	1.23	21.83	.77
3.92	1.19	9.92	4.01	15.92	1.22	21.92	.77
4.00	1.21	10.00	3.87	16.00	1.21	22.00	.77
4.08	1.23	10.08	3.73	16.08	1.20	22.08	.76
4.17	1.26	10.17	3.61	16.17	1.19	22.17	.76
4.25	1.28	10.25	3.49	16.25	1.18	22.25	.76
4.33	1.30	10.33	3.38	16.33	1.17	22.33	.75
4.42	1.33	10.42	3.28	16.42	1.16	22.42	.75
4.50	1.35	10.50	3.19	16.50	1.15	22.50	.75
4.58	1.38	10.58	3.10	16.58	1.14	22.58	.74
4.67	1.41	10.67	3.02	16.67	1.13	22.67	.74
4.75	1.44	10.75	2.94	16.75	1.12	22.75	.73
4.83	1.47	10.83	2.87	16.83	1.11	22.83	.73
4.92	1.50	10.92	2.80	16.92	1.11	22.92	.73
5.00	1.54	11.00	2.73	17.00	1.10	23.00	.73
5.08	1.57	11.08	2.67	17.08	1.09	23.08	.72
5.17	1.61	11.17	2.61	17.17	1.08	23.17	.72
5.25	1.65	11.25	2.55	17.25	1.07	23.25	.72
5.33	1.70	11.33	2.50	17.33	1.07	23.33	.71
5.42	1.74	11.42	2.45	17.42	1.06	23.42	.71
5.50	1.79	11.50	2.40	17.50	1.05	23.50	.71
5.58	1.84	11.58	2.35	17.58	1.04	23.58	.70
5.67	1.90	11.67	2.30	17.67	1.04	23.67	.70
5.75	1.95	11.75	2.26	17.75	1.03	23.75	.70
5.83	2.02	11.83	2.22	17.83	1.02	23.83	.69
5.92	2.09	11.92	2.18	17.92	1.01	23.92	.69
6.00	2.16	12.00	2.14	18.00	1.01	24.00	.69

CALIB
NASHYD (0001) Area (ha)= 10.14 Curve Number (CN)= 76.0
ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min Ia (mm)= 4.50 # of Linear Res. (N)= 3.00
U.H. Tp (hrs)= .56

Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .692
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .413 (i) ←
TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 8.667
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 36.435
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 79.766
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .457

(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

** SIMULATION NUMBER: 5 **

CHICAGO STORM IDF curve parameters: A=1234.000
Ptotal= 97.22 mm B= 5.500
C= .786
used in: INTENSITY = A / (t + B)^C

Duration of storm = 24.00 hrs
Storm time step = 5.00 min
Time to peak ratio = .33

TIME RAIN | TIME RAIN | TIME RAIN | TIME RAIN

hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr
.08	.89	6.08	2.81	12.08	2.64	18.08	1.27
.17	.89	6.17	2.91	12.17	2.60	18.17	1.26
.25	.90	6.25	3.03	12.25	2.56	18.25	1.25
.33	.91	6.33	3.16	12.33	2.52	18.33	1.25
.42	.92	6.42	3.29	12.42	2.48	18.42	1.24
.50	.93	6.50	3.45	12.50	2.44	18.50	1.23
.58	.93	6.58	3.62	12.58	2.40	18.58	1.22
.67	.94	6.67	3.81	12.67	2.37	18.67	1.21
.75	.95	6.75	4.03	12.75	2.34	18.75	1.21
.83	.96	6.83	4.27	12.83	2.30	18.83	1.20
.92	.97	6.92	4.56	12.92	2.27	18.92	1.19
1.00	.98	7.00	4.88	13.00	2.24	19.00	1.19
1.08	.99	7.08	5.27	13.08	2.21	19.08	1.18
1.17	1.00	7.17	5.73	13.17	2.18	19.17	1.17
1.25	1.01	7.25	6.30	13.25	2.15	19.25	1.16
1.33	1.02	7.33	7.00	13.33	2.12	19.33	1.16
1.42	1.03	7.42	7.91	13.42	2.10	19.42	1.15
1.50	1.04	7.50	9.13	13.50	2.07	19.50	1.14
1.58	1.05	7.58	10.85	13.58	2.05	19.58	1.14
1.67	1.06	7.67	13.50	13.67	2.02	19.67	1.13
1.75	1.07	7.75	18.07	13.75	2.00	19.75	1.12
1.83	1.08	7.83	27.92	13.83	1.98	19.83	1.12
1.92	1.09	7.92	64.21	13.92	1.95	19.92	1.11
2.00	1.11	8.00	194.38	14.00	1.93	20.00	1.11
2.08	1.12	8.08	82.51	14.08	1.91	20.08	1.10
2.17	1.13	8.17	46.00	14.17	1.89	20.17	1.09
2.25	1.15	8.25	31.70	14.25	1.87	20.25	1.09
2.33	1.16	8.33	24.20	14.33	1.85	20.33	1.08
2.42	1.17	8.42	19.63	14.42	1.83	20.42	1.08
2.50	1.19	8.50	16.55	14.50	1.81	20.50	1.07
2.58	1.20	8.58	14.34	14.58	1.79	20.58	1.06
2.67	1.22	8.67	12.68	14.67	1.77	20.67	1.06
2.75	1.23	8.75	11.39	14.75	1.76	20.75	1.05
2.83	1.25	8.83	10.35	14.83	1.74	20.83	1.05
2.92	1.26	8.92	9.50	14.92	1.72	20.92	1.04
3.00	1.28	9.00	8.79	15.00	1.70	21.00	1.04
3.08	1.30	9.08	8.18	15.08	1.69	21.08	1.03
3.17	1.32	9.17	7.66	15.17	1.67	21.17	1.03
3.25	1.34	9.25	7.21	15.25	1.66	21.25	1.02
3.33	1.36	9.33	6.81	15.33	1.64	21.33	1.02
3.42	1.37	9.42	6.46	15.42	1.63	21.42	1.01
3.50	1.40	9.50	6.15	15.50	1.61	21.50	1.01
3.58	1.42	9.58	5.87	15.58	1.60	21.58	1.00
3.67	1.44	9.67	5.61	15.67	1.58	21.67	1.00
3.75	1.46	9.75	5.38	15.75	1.57	21.75	.99
3.83	1.48	9.83	5.17	15.83	1.56	21.83	.99
3.92	1.51	9.92	4.98	15.92	1.54	21.92	.98
4.00	1.53	10.00	4.80	16.00	1.53	22.00	.98
4.08	1.56	10.08	4.64	16.08	1.52	22.08	.97
4.17	1.59	10.17	4.49	16.17	1.51	22.17	.97
4.25	1.62	10.25	4.34	16.25	1.49	22.25	.96
4.33	1.65	10.33	4.21	16.33	1.48	22.33	.96
4.42	1.68	10.42	4.09	16.42	1.47	22.42	.95
4.50	1.71	10.50	3.97	16.50	1.46	22.50	.95
4.58	1.74	10.58	3.87	16.58	1.45	22.58	.95
4.67	1.78	10.67	3.77	16.67	1.43	22.67	.94
4.75	1.82	10.75	3.67	16.75	1.42	22.75	.94
4.83	1.86	10.83	3.58	16.83	1.41	22.83	.93
4.92	1.90	10.92	3.49	16.92	1.40	22.92	.93
5.00	1.94	11.00	3.41	17.00	1.39	23.00	.93
5.08	1.98	11.08	3.34	17.08	1.38	23.08	.92
5.17	2.03	11.17	3.26	17.17	1.37	23.17	.92
5.25	2.08	11.25	3.19	17.25	1.36	23.25	.91
5.33	2.14	11.33	3.13	17.33	1.35	23.33	.91
5.42	2.19	11.42	3.06	17.42	1.34	23.42	.91
5.50	2.25	11.50	3.00	17.50	1.33	23.50	.90
5.58	2.32	11.58	2.94	17.58	1.32	23.58	.90
5.67	2.38	11.67	2.89	17.67	1.31	23.67	.89
5.75	2.46	11.75	2.84	17.75	1.31	23.75	.89
5.83	2.54	11.83	2.79	17.83	1.30	23.83	.89
5.92	2.62	11.92	2.74	17.92	1.29	23.92	.88
6.00	2.71	12.00	2.69	18.00	1.28	24.00	.88

CALIB			
NASHYD (0001)	Area (ha)=	10.14	Curve Number (CN)= 76.0
ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min	Ia (mm)=	4.50	# of Linear Res. (N)= 3.00
	U.H. Tp (hrs)=	.56	

Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .692

PEAK FLOW (cms)= .560 (i) ←

TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 8.667

RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 49.711

TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 97.219
 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .511

(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

 ** SIMULATION NUMBER: 6 **

CHICAGO STORM
 Ptotal=109.69 mm

IDF curve parameters: A=1323.000
 B= 5.300
 C= .779

used in: INTENSITY = A / (t + B)^C

Duration of storm = 24.00 hrs
 Storm time step = 5.00 min
 Time to peak ratio = .33

TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN
hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr
.08	1.03	6.08	3.23	12.08	3.04	18.08	1.47
.17	1.04	6.17	3.35	12.17	2.99	18.17	1.46
.25	1.05	6.25	3.48	12.25	2.94	18.25	1.45
.33	1.06	6.33	3.62	12.33	2.90	18.33	1.45
.42	1.07	6.42	3.78	12.42	2.85	18.42	1.44
.50	1.08	6.50	3.95	12.50	2.81	18.50	1.43
.58	1.09	6.58	4.15	12.58	2.77	18.58	1.42
.67	1.10	6.67	4.36	12.67	2.73	18.67	1.41
.75	1.11	6.75	4.61	12.75	2.69	18.75	1.40
.83	1.12	6.83	4.88	12.83	2.65	18.83	1.39
.92	1.13	6.92	5.20	12.92	2.62	18.92	1.38
1.00	1.14	7.00	5.57	13.00	2.58	19.00	1.38
1.08	1.15	7.08	6.01	13.08	2.55	19.08	1.37
1.17	1.16	7.17	6.52	13.17	2.51	19.17	1.36
1.25	1.17	7.25	7.16	13.25	2.48	19.25	1.35
1.33	1.18	7.33	7.95	13.33	2.45	19.33	1.34
1.42	1.19	7.42	8.96	13.42	2.42	19.42	1.34
1.50	1.21	7.50	10.31	13.50	2.39	19.50	1.33
1.58	1.22	7.58	12.23	13.58	2.36	19.58	1.32
1.67	1.23	7.67	15.15	13.67	2.33	19.67	1.31
1.75	1.24	7.75	20.18	13.75	2.31	19.75	1.31
1.83	1.26	7.83	30.97	13.83	2.28	19.83	1.30
1.92	1.27	7.92	70.67	13.92	2.25	19.92	1.29
2.00	1.29	8.00	215.06	14.00	2.23	20.00	1.28
2.08	1.30	8.08	90.70	14.08	2.20	20.08	1.28
2.17	1.31	8.17	50.73	14.17	2.18	20.17	1.27
2.25	1.33	8.25	35.11	14.25	2.16	20.25	1.26
2.33	1.35	8.33	26.91	14.33	2.13	20.33	1.26
2.42	1.36	8.42	21.89	14.42	2.11	20.42	1.25
2.50	1.38	8.50	18.51	14.50	2.09	20.50	1.24
2.58	1.39	8.58	16.08	14.58	2.07	20.58	1.24
2.67	1.41	8.67	14.25	14.67	2.05	20.67	1.23
2.75	1.43	8.75	12.82	14.75	2.03	20.75	1.22
2.83	1.45	8.83	11.67	14.83	2.01	20.83	1.22
2.92	1.47	8.92	10.72	14.92	1.99	20.92	1.21
3.00	1.49	9.00	9.93	15.00	1.97	21.00	1.20
3.08	1.51	9.08	9.26	15.08	1.95	21.08	1.20
3.17	1.53	9.17	8.68	15.17	1.93	21.17	1.19
3.25	1.55	9.25	8.18	15.25	1.92	21.25	1.19
3.33	1.57	9.33	7.73	15.33	1.90	21.33	1.18
3.42	1.59	9.42	7.34	15.42	1.88	21.42	1.18
3.50	1.62	9.50	6.99	15.50	1.86	21.50	1.17
3.58	1.64	9.58	6.68	15.58	1.85	21.58	1.16
3.67	1.67	9.67	6.39	15.67	1.83	21.67	1.16
3.75	1.69	9.75	6.13	15.75	1.82	21.75	1.15
3.83	1.72	9.83	5.90	15.83	1.80	21.83	1.15
3.92	1.75	9.92	5.68	15.92	1.79	21.92	1.14
4.00	1.78	10.00	5.48	16.00	1.77	22.00	1.14
4.08	1.81	10.08	5.29	16.08	1.76	22.08	1.13
4.17	1.84	10.17	5.12	16.17	1.74	22.17	1.13
4.25	1.87	10.25	4.96	16.25	1.73	22.25	1.12
4.33	1.90	10.33	4.82	16.33	1.71	22.33	1.12
4.42	1.94	10.42	4.68	16.42	1.70	22.42	1.11
4.50	1.98	10.50	4.55	16.50	1.69	22.50	1.11
4.58	2.02	10.58	4.43	16.58	1.67	22.58	1.10
4.67	2.06	10.67	4.31	16.67	1.66	22.67	1.10
4.75	2.10	10.75	4.20	16.75	1.65	22.75	1.09
4.83	2.14	10.83	4.10	16.83	1.64	22.83	1.09
4.92	2.19	10.92	4.00	16.92	1.62	22.92	1.08
5.00	2.24	11.00	3.91	17.00	1.61	23.00	1.08
5.08	2.29	11.08	3.82	17.08	1.60	23.08	1.07
5.17	2.34	11.17	3.74	17.17	1.59	23.17	1.07
5.25	2.40	11.25	3.66	17.25	1.58	23.25	1.06
5.33	2.46	11.33	3.59	17.33	1.57	23.33	1.06
5.42	2.53	11.42	3.52	17.42	1.56	23.42	1.05

5.50	2.60	11.50	3.45	17.50	1.54	23.50	1.05
5.58	2.67	11.58	3.38	17.58	1.53	23.58	1.04
5.67	2.75	11.67	3.32	17.67	1.52	23.67	1.04
5.75	2.83	11.75	3.26	17.75	1.51	23.75	1.04
5.83	2.92	11.83	3.20	17.83	1.50	23.83	1.03
5.92	3.01	11.92	3.15	17.92	1.49	23.92	1.03
6.00	3.12	12.00	3.09	18.00	1.48	24.00	1.02

```

-----
CALIB
NASHYD (0001) Area (ha)= 10.14 Curve Number (CN)= 76.0
ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min Ia (mm)= 4.50 # of Linear Res. (N)= 3.00
U.H. Tp (hrs)= .56

```

```

Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .692

PEAK FLOW (cms)= .667 (i) ←
TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 8.667
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 59.678
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 109.689
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .544

```

(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

```

*****
** SIMULATION NUMBER: 7 **
*****

```

```

CHICAGO STORM
Ptotal=122.49 mm

```

```

IDF curve parameters: A=1435.000
B= 5.200
C= .775
used in: INTENSITY = A / (t + B)^C

```

```

Duration of storm = 24.00 hrs
Storm time step = 5.00 min
Time to peak ratio = .33

```

TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN
hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr
.08	1.17	6.08	3.64	12.08	3.43	18.08	1.67
.17	1.18	6.17	3.78	12.17	3.38	18.17	1.66
.25	1.19	6.25	3.92	12.25	3.32	18.25	1.65
.33	1.20	6.33	4.08	12.33	3.27	18.33	1.64
.42	1.21	6.42	4.26	12.42	3.22	18.42	1.63
.50	1.22	6.50	4.45	12.50	3.17	18.50	1.62
.58	1.23	6.58	4.67	12.58	3.13	18.58	1.61
.67	1.25	6.67	4.91	12.67	3.08	18.67	1.60
.75	1.26	6.75	5.18	12.75	3.04	18.75	1.59
.83	1.27	6.83	5.49	12.83	3.00	18.83	1.58
.92	1.28	6.92	5.85	12.92	2.96	18.92	1.57
1.00	1.29	7.00	6.26	13.00	2.92	19.00	1.56
1.08	1.30	7.08	6.75	13.08	2.88	19.08	1.55
1.17	1.32	7.17	7.32	13.17	2.84	19.17	1.54
1.25	1.33	7.25	8.03	13.25	2.80	19.25	1.53
1.33	1.34	7.33	8.90	13.33	2.77	19.33	1.52
1.42	1.36	7.42	10.03	13.42	2.74	19.42	1.52
1.50	1.37	7.50	11.53	13.50	2.70	19.50	1.51
1.58	1.38	7.58	13.65	13.58	2.67	19.58	1.50
1.67	1.40	7.67	16.87	13.67	2.64	19.67	1.49
1.75	1.41	7.75	22.41	13.75	2.61	19.75	1.48
1.83	1.43	7.83	34.27	13.83	2.58	19.83	1.47
1.92	1.44	7.92	77.82	13.92	2.55	19.92	1.46
2.00	1.46	8.00	237.24	14.00	2.52	20.00	1.46
2.08	1.48	8.08	99.80	14.08	2.49	20.08	1.45
2.17	1.49	8.17	55.94	14.17	2.47	20.17	1.44
2.25	1.51	8.25	38.81	14.25	2.44	20.25	1.43
2.33	1.53	8.33	29.82	14.33	2.41	20.33	1.43
2.42	1.54	8.42	24.30	14.42	2.39	20.42	1.42
2.50	1.56	8.50	20.58	14.50	2.37	20.50	1.41
2.58	1.58	8.58	17.90	14.58	2.34	20.58	1.40
2.67	1.60	8.67	15.88	14.67	2.32	20.67	1.40
2.75	1.62	8.75	14.30	14.75	2.30	20.75	1.39
2.83	1.64	8.83	13.03	14.83	2.27	20.83	1.38
2.92	1.66	8.92	11.98	14.92	2.25	20.92	1.37
3.00	1.69	9.00	11.11	15.00	2.23	21.00	1.37
3.08	1.71	9.08	10.36	15.08	2.21	21.08	1.36
3.17	1.73	9.17	9.72	15.17	2.19	21.17	1.35
3.25	1.76	9.25	9.16	15.25	2.17	21.25	1.35
3.33	1.78	9.33	8.67	15.33	2.15	21.33	1.34
3.42	1.81	9.42	8.23	15.42	2.13	21.42	1.33
3.50	1.83	9.50	7.84	15.50	2.11	21.50	1.33
3.58	1.86	9.58	7.49	15.58	2.09	21.58	1.32
3.67	1.89	9.67	7.17	15.67	2.07	21.67	1.32

3.75	1.92	9.75	6.89	15.75	2.06	21.75	1.31
3.83	1.95	9.83	6.62	15.83	2.04	21.83	1.30
3.92	1.98	9.92	6.38	15.92	2.02	21.92	1.30
4.00	2.01	10.00	6.16	16.00	2.01	22.00	1.29
4.08	2.05	10.08	5.95	16.08	1.99	22.08	1.28
4.17	2.08	10.17	5.76	16.17	1.97	22.17	1.28
4.25	2.12	10.25	5.58	16.25	1.96	22.25	1.27
4.33	2.16	10.33	5.42	16.33	1.94	22.33	1.27
4.42	2.20	10.42	5.26	16.42	1.93	22.42	1.26
4.50	2.24	10.50	5.12	16.50	1.91	22.50	1.26
4.58	2.28	10.58	4.98	16.58	1.90	22.58	1.25
4.67	2.33	10.67	4.85	16.67	1.88	22.67	1.24
4.75	2.37	10.75	4.73	16.75	1.87	22.75	1.24
4.83	2.42	10.83	4.62	16.83	1.85	22.83	1.23
4.92	2.48	10.92	4.51	16.92	1.84	22.92	1.23
5.00	2.53	11.00	4.41	17.00	1.83	23.00	1.22
5.08	2.59	11.08	4.31	17.08	1.81	23.08	1.22
5.17	2.65	11.17	4.22	17.17	1.80	23.17	1.21
5.25	2.72	11.25	4.13	17.25	1.79	23.25	1.21
5.33	2.78	11.33	4.05	17.33	1.78	23.33	1.20
5.42	2.86	11.42	3.97	17.42	1.76	23.42	1.20
5.50	2.93	11.50	3.89	17.50	1.75	23.50	1.19
5.58	3.01	11.58	3.81	17.58	1.74	23.58	1.19
5.67	3.10	11.67	3.74	17.67	1.73	23.67	1.18
5.75	3.19	11.75	3.68	17.75	1.72	23.75	1.18
5.83	3.29	11.83	3.61	17.83	1.70	23.83	1.17
5.92	3.40	11.92	3.55	17.92	1.69	23.92	1.17
6.00	3.52	12.00	3.49	18.00	1.68	24.00	1.16

CALIB							
NASHYD	(0001)	Area	(ha)= 10.14	Curve Number	(CN)= 76.0		
ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min		Ia	(mm)= 4.50	# of Linear Res.	(N)= 3.00		
		U.H. Tp	(hrs)= .56				

Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .692

PEAK FLOW (cms)= .785 (i) ←

TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 8.667

RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 70.242

TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 122.495

RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .573

(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

FINISH

=====

=====



Pre-development Flows to Tributary N1-A

Project Name: Ecarpment Business Community

Municipality: Town of Milton

Project No.: 03156

Date: 22-Nov-06

Drainage Area = 53.6 ha.

Airport Method

$$t_c = \frac{3.26 \times (1.1 - C) \times L^{0.5}}{S_w^{0.33}}$$

Tc= 97.35

Time to Peak

$$t_p = 0.67 t_c$$

tp= 1.09

Tc:	97.35	Time of Concentration (min)
tp:	1.09	Time to Peak (hrs)
L=	1200	Catchment Length (m)
Ah=	11.50	Delta Height (m)
Sw=	1.0	Catchment Slope (%)
C=	0.25	Runoff Coefficient

OTTHYMO Model Parameters

CN= 76

IA= 4.5mm.

Tp= 1.09hrs

OTTHYMO Model Results (Chicago 24 hour Storm)

25mm Storm =	0.20	m³/s
2-Year Storm=	0.56	m ³ /s
5-Year Storm=	1.02	m ³ /s
10-Year Storm=	1.35	m ³ /s
25-Year Storm=	1.83	m³/s
50-Year Storm=	2.19	m ³ /s
100-Year Storm=	2.58	m³/s

=====
=====

V V I SSSSS U U A L
V V I SS U U A A L
V V I SS U U A A A A L
V V I SS U U A A L
VV I SSSSS UUUUU A A LLLLL

OOO TTTT TTTT H H Y Y M M OOO TM, Version 2.0
O O T T H H Y Y MM MM O O
O O T T H H Y M M O O Licensed To: Valdor Engineering
OOO T T H H Y M M OOO VO2-0102

Developed and Distributed by Greenland International Consulting Inc.
Copyright 1996, 2001 Schaeffer & Associates Ltd.
All rights reserved.

***** D E T A I L E D O U T P U T *****

Input filename: C:\Program Files\Visual OTTHYMO v2.0\voindat
Output filename: S:\Projects\2003\03156\Hydrotechnical\Stormwater Management\53.6ha. Pre-dev\03156
- Nov. 2006\Pre Chicago 24 Hour.out
Summary filename: S:\Projects\2003\03156\Hydrotechnical\Stormwater Management\53.6ha. Pre-dev\03156
- Nov. 2006\Pre Chicago 24 Hour.sum

DATE: 1/8/2007 TIME: 9:04:45 AM

USER:

COMMENTS: PRE-DEVELOPMENT

53.6 Ha TO TRIBUTARY N1-A

** SIMULATION NUMBER: 1 **

READ STORM
Ptotal= 25.02 mm

Filename: S:\SWM Library\Storms\25mmchi.stm
Comments: 25mm CHICAGO Storm

TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN
hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr
.17	2.17	1.17	6.20	2.17	5.62	3.17	2.95
.33	2.38	1.33	12.18	2.33	4.80	3.33	2.76
.50	2.66	1.50	41.67	2.50	4.21	3.50	2.62
.67	3.03	1.67	15.28	2.67	3.78	3.67	2.47
.83	3.58	1.83	9.22	2.83	3.45	3.83	2.35
1.00	4.47	2.00	6.88	3.00	3.18	4.00	2.23

CALIB
NASHYD (0001)
ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min

Area (ha)= 53.60 Curve Number (CN)= 76.0
Ia (mm)= 4.50 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00
U.H. Tp(hrs)= 1.09

NOTE: RAINFALL WAS TRANSFORMED TO 5.0 MIN. TIME STEP.

---- TRANSFORMED HYETOGRAPH ----

TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN
hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr
.083	2.17	1.083	6.20	2.083	5.62	3.08	2.95
.167	2.17	1.167	6.20	2.167	5.62	3.17	2.95
.250	2.38	1.250	12.18	2.250	4.80	3.25	2.76
.333	2.38	1.333	12.18	2.333	4.80	3.33	2.76
.417	2.66	1.417	41.67	2.417	4.21	3.42	2.62
.500	2.66	1.500	41.67	2.500	4.21	3.50	2.62
.583	3.03	1.583	15.28	2.583	3.78	3.58	2.47
.667	3.03	1.667	15.28	2.667	3.78	3.67	2.47
.750	3.58	1.750	9.22	2.750	3.45	3.75	2.35
.833	3.58	1.833	9.22	2.833	3.45	3.83	2.35
.917	4.47	1.917	6.88	2.917	3.18	3.92	2.23
1.000	4.47	2.000	6.88	3.000	3.18	4.00	2.23

Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 1.878

PEAK FLOW (cms)= .200 (i) ←
 TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 3.250
 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 4.181
 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 25.023
 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .167

(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

 ** SIMULATION NUMBER: 2 **

CHICAGO STORM
 Ptotal= 47.56 mm

IDF curve parameters: A= 779.000
 B= 6.000
 C= .821
 used in: INTENSITY = A / (t + B)^C

Duration of storm = 24.00 hrs
 Storm time step = 5.00 min
 Time to peak ratio = .33

TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN
hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr
.08	.36	6.08	1.23	12.08	1.15	18.08	.53
.17	.37	6.17	1.28	12.17	1.13	18.17	.53
.25	.37	6.25	1.33	12.25	1.11	18.25	.53
.33	.37	6.33	1.39	12.33	1.10	18.33	.52
.42	.38	6.42	1.46	12.42	1.08	18.42	.52
.50	.38	6.50	1.53	12.50	1.06	18.50	.51
.58	.39	6.58	1.61	12.58	1.04	18.58	.51
.67	.39	6.67	1.70	12.67	1.03	18.67	.51
.75	.39	6.75	1.81	12.75	1.01	18.75	.50
.83	.40	6.83	1.92	12.83	1.00	18.83	.50
.92	.40	6.92	2.06	12.92	.98	18.92	.50
1.00	.40	7.00	2.22	13.00	.97	19.00	.49
1.08	.41	7.08	2.41	13.08	.95	19.08	.49
1.17	.41	7.17	2.64	13.17	.94	19.17	.49
1.25	.42	7.25	2.92	13.25	.93	19.25	.49
1.33	.42	7.33	3.27	13.33	.92	19.33	.48
1.42	.43	7.42	3.73	13.42	.90	19.42	.48
1.50	.43	7.50	4.36	13.50	.89	19.50	.48
1.58	.44	7.58	5.25	13.58	.88	19.58	.47
1.67	.44	7.67	6.65	13.67	.87	19.67	.47
1.75	.45	7.75	9.12	13.75	.86	19.75	.47
1.83	.45	7.83	14.59	13.83	.85	19.83	.47
1.92	.46	7.92	35.30	13.92	.84	19.92	.46
2.00	.46	8.00	108.78	14.00	.83	20.00	.46
2.08	.47	8.08	45.80	14.08	.82	20.08	.46
2.17	.47	8.17	24.85	14.17	.81	20.17	.45
2.25	.48	8.25	16.71	14.25	.80	20.25	.45
2.33	.48	8.33	12.51	14.33	.79	20.33	.45
2.42	.49	8.42	9.98	14.42	.78	20.42	.45
2.50	.50	8.50	8.30	14.50	.77	20.50	.44
2.58	.50	8.58	7.10	14.58	.76	20.58	.44
2.67	.51	8.67	6.22	14.67	.76	20.67	.44
2.75	.52	8.75	5.53	14.75	.75	20.75	.44
2.83	.52	8.83	4.99	14.83	.74	20.83	.43
2.92	.53	8.92	4.55	14.92	.73	20.92	.43
3.00	.54	9.00	4.18	15.00	.73	21.00	.43
3.08	.55	9.08	3.87	15.08	.72	21.08	.43
3.17	.55	9.17	3.60	15.17	.71	21.17	.43
3.25	.56	9.25	3.38	15.25	.70	21.25	.42
3.33	.57	9.33	3.18	15.33	.70	21.33	.42
3.42	.58	9.42	3.00	15.42	.69	21.42	.42
3.50	.59	9.50	2.84	15.50	.68	21.50	.42
3.58	.60	9.58	2.70	15.58	.68	21.58	.41
3.67	.61	9.67	2.58	15.67	.67	21.67	.41
3.75	.62	9.75	2.46	15.75	.67	21.75	.41
3.83	.63	9.83	2.36	15.83	.66	21.83	.41
3.92	.64	9.92	2.27	15.92	.65	21.92	.41
4.00	.65	10.00	2.18	16.00	.65	22.00	.40
4.08	.66	10.08	2.10	16.08	.64	22.08	.40
4.17	.67	10.17	2.03	16.17	.64	22.17	.40
4.25	.69	10.25	1.96	16.25	.63	22.25	.40
4.33	.70	10.33	1.90	16.33	.63	22.33	.40
4.42	.71	10.42	1.84	16.42	.62	22.42	.39
4.50	.73	10.50	1.78	16.50	.62	22.50	.39
4.58	.74	10.58	1.73	16.58	.61	22.58	.39
4.67	.76	10.67	1.68	16.67	.61	22.67	.39
4.75	.78	10.75	1.64	16.75	.60	22.75	.39
4.83	.79	10.83	1.59	16.83	.60	22.83	.38
4.92	.81	10.92	1.55	16.92	.59	22.92	.38
5.00	.83	11.00	1.51	17.00	.59	23.00	.38
5.08	.85	11.08	1.48	17.08	.58	23.08	.38
5.17	.87	11.17	1.44	17.17	.58	23.17	.38

5.25	.90	11.25	1.41	17.25	.57	23.25	.38
5.33	.92	11.33	1.38	17.33	.57	23.33	.37
5.42	.95	11.42	1.35	17.42	.56	23.42	.37
5.50	.97	11.50	1.32	17.50	.56	23.50	.37
5.58	1.00	11.58	1.29	17.58	.56	23.58	.37
5.67	1.03	11.67	1.27	17.67	.55	23.67	.37
5.75	1.07	11.75	1.24	17.75	.55	23.75	.37
5.83	1.10	11.83	1.22	17.83	.54	23.83	.36
5.92	1.14	11.92	1.20	17.92	.54	23.92	.36
6.00	1.18	12.00	1.18	18.00	.54	24.00	.36

CALIB
NASHYD (0001)
ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min

Area (ha)= 53.60 Curve Number (CN)= 76.0
Ia (mm)= 4.50 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00
U.H. Tp(hrs)= 1.09

Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 1.878
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .561 (i) ←
TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 9.333
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 15.042
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 47.561
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .316

(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

** SIMULATION NUMBER: 3 **

CHICAGO STORM
Ptotal= 67.24 mm

IDF curve parameters: A= 959.000
B= 5.700
C= .802
used in: INTENSITY = A / (t + B)^C

Duration of storm = 24.00 hrs
Storm time step = 5.00 min
Time to peak ratio = .33

TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN
hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr
.08	.57	6.08	1.85	12.08	1.74	18.08	.82
.17	.57	6.17	1.92	12.17	1.71	18.17	.82
.25	.58	6.25	2.00	12.25	1.68	18.25	.81
.33	.58	6.33	2.09	12.33	1.66	18.33	.81
.42	.59	6.42	2.18	12.42	1.63	18.42	.80
.50	.59	6.50	2.29	12.50	1.60	18.50	.79
.58	.60	6.58	2.40	12.58	1.58	18.58	.79
.67	.61	6.67	2.54	12.67	1.56	18.67	.78
.75	.61	6.75	2.68	12.75	1.53	18.75	.78
.83	.62	6.83	2.85	12.83	1.51	18.83	.77
.92	.62	6.92	3.05	12.92	1.49	18.92	.77
1.00	.63	7.00	3.27	13.00	1.47	19.00	.77
1.08	.64	7.08	3.54	13.08	1.45	19.08	.76
1.17	.64	7.17	3.86	13.17	1.43	19.17	.76
1.25	.65	7.25	4.26	13.25	1.41	19.25	.75
1.33	.65	7.33	4.75	13.33	1.39	19.33	.75
1.42	.66	7.42	5.39	13.42	1.37	19.42	.74
1.50	.67	7.50	6.25	13.50	1.36	19.50	.74
1.58	.68	7.58	7.48	13.58	1.34	19.58	.73
1.67	.68	7.67	9.37	13.67	1.32	19.67	.73
1.75	.69	7.75	12.67	13.75	1.31	19.75	.72
1.83	.70	7.83	19.89	13.83	1.29	19.83	.72
1.92	.71	7.92	46.83	13.92	1.28	19.92	.72
2.00	.71	8.00	143.30	14.00	1.26	20.00	.71
2.08	.72	8.08	60.46	14.08	1.25	20.08	.71
2.17	.73	8.17	33.27	14.17	1.23	20.17	.70
2.25	.74	8.25	22.67	14.25	1.22	20.25	.70
2.33	.75	8.33	17.15	14.33	1.21	20.33	.70
2.42	.76	8.42	13.81	14.42	1.19	20.42	.69
2.50	.77	8.50	11.57	14.50	1.18	20.50	.69
2.58	.78	8.58	9.98	14.58	1.17	20.58	.69
2.67	.79	8.67	8.78	14.67	1.16	20.67	.68
2.75	.80	8.75	7.86	14.75	1.14	20.75	.68
2.83	.81	8.83	7.11	14.83	1.13	20.83	.67
2.92	.82	8.92	6.51	14.92	1.12	20.92	.67
3.00	.83	9.00	6.00	15.00	1.11	21.00	.67
3.08	.84	9.08	5.58	15.08	1.10	21.08	.66
3.17	.85	9.17	5.21	15.17	1.09	21.17	.66
3.25	.86	9.25	4.89	15.25	1.08	21.25	.66
3.33	.88	9.33	4.62	15.33	1.07	21.33	.65
3.42	.89	9.42	4.37	15.42	1.06	21.42	.65

3.50	.90	9.50	4.15	15.50	1.05	21.50	.65
3.58	.92	9.58	3.96	15.58	1.04	21.58	.64
3.67	.93	9.67	3.78	15.67	1.03	21.67	.64
3.75	.95	9.75	3.62	15.75	1.02	21.75	.64
3.83	.96	9.83	3.47	15.83	1.01	21.83	.63
3.92	.98	9.92	3.34	15.92	1.00	21.92	.63
4.00	1.00	10.00	3.22	16.00	.99	22.00	.63
4.08	1.01	10.08	3.10	16.08	.99	22.08	.63
4.17	1.03	10.17	3.00	16.17	.98	22.17	.62
4.25	1.05	10.25	2.90	16.25	.97	22.25	.62
4.33	1.07	10.33	2.81	16.33	.96	22.33	.62
4.42	1.09	10.42	2.73	16.42	.95	22.42	.61
4.50	1.11	10.50	2.65	16.50	.95	22.50	.61
4.58	1.14	10.58	2.57	16.58	.94	22.58	.61
4.67	1.16	10.67	2.50	16.67	.93	22.67	.60
4.75	1.18	10.75	2.44	16.75	.92	22.75	.60
4.83	1.21	10.83	2.38	16.83	.92	22.83	.60
4.92	1.24	10.92	2.32	16.92	.91	22.92	.60
5.00	1.27	11.00	2.26	17.00	.90	23.00	.59
5.08	1.30	11.08	2.21	17.08	.90	23.08	.59
5.17	1.33	11.17	2.16	17.17	.89	23.17	.59
5.25	1.36	11.25	2.11	17.25	.88	23.25	.59
5.33	1.40	11.33	2.07	17.33	.88	23.33	.58
5.42	1.44	11.42	2.02	17.42	.87	23.42	.58
5.50	1.48	11.50	1.98	17.50	.86	23.50	.58
5.58	1.52	11.58	1.94	17.58	.86	23.58	.58
5.67	1.57	11.67	1.91	17.67	.85	23.67	.57
5.75	1.61	11.75	1.87	17.75	.84	23.75	.57
5.83	1.67	11.83	1.84	17.83	.84	23.83	.57
5.92	1.72	11.92	1.80	17.92	.83	23.92	.57
6.00	1.79	12.00	1.77	18.00	.83	24.00	.56

CALIB
 NASHYD (0001) Area (ha)= 53.60 Curve Number (CN)= 76.0
 ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min Ia (mm)= 4.50 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00
 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 1.09

Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 1.878

PEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.019 (i) ←
 TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 9.333
 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 27.538
 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 67.243
 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .410

(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

 ** SIMULATION NUMBER: 4 **

CHICAGO STORM IDF curve parameters: A=1089.000
 Ptotal= 79.77 mm B= 5.700
 C= .796
 used in: INTENSITY = A / (t + B)^C

Duration of storm = 24.00 hrs
 Storm time step = 5.00 min
 Time to peak ratio = .33

TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN
hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr
.08	.69	6.08	2.24	12.08	2.11	18.08	1.00
.17	.70	6.17	2.32	12.17	2.07	18.17	.99
.25	.71	6.25	2.42	12.25	2.04	18.25	.99
.33	.71	6.33	2.52	12.33	2.00	18.33	.98
.42	.72	6.42	2.63	12.42	1.97	18.42	.97
.50	.73	6.50	2.76	12.50	1.94	18.50	.97
.58	.73	6.58	2.90	12.58	1.91	18.58	.96
.67	.74	6.67	3.06	12.67	1.88	18.67	.96
.75	.75	6.75	3.23	12.75	1.86	18.75	.95
.83	.75	6.83	3.43	12.83	1.83	18.83	.94
.92	.76	6.92	3.67	12.92	1.80	18.92	.94
1.00	.77	7.00	3.94	13.00	1.78	19.00	.93
1.08	.78	7.08	4.25	13.08	1.75	19.08	.93
1.17	.78	7.17	4.63	13.17	1.73	19.17	.92
1.25	.79	7.25	5.10	13.25	1.71	19.25	.92
1.33	.80	7.33	5.69	13.33	1.69	19.33	.91
1.42	.81	7.42	6.44	13.42	1.67	19.42	.90
1.50	.82	7.50	7.46	13.50	1.64	19.50	.90
1.58	.82	7.58	8.90	13.58	1.62	19.58	.89
1.67	.83	7.67	11.12	13.67	1.60	19.67	.89

1.75	.84	7.75	14.99	13.75	1.58	19.75	.88
1.83	.85	7.83	23.39	13.83	1.57	19.83	.88
1.92	.86	7.92	54.52	13.92	1.55	19.92	.87
2.00	.87	8.00	165.06	14.00	1.53	20.00	.87
2.08	.88	8.08	70.22	14.08	1.51	20.08	.86
2.17	.89	8.17	38.89	14.17	1.50	20.17	.86
2.25	.90	8.25	26.62	14.25	1.48	20.25	.85
2.33	.91	8.33	20.22	14.33	1.46	20.33	.85
2.42	.92	8.42	16.32	14.42	1.45	20.42	.84
2.50	.93	8.50	13.71	14.50	1.43	20.50	.84
2.58	.95	8.58	11.84	14.58	1.42	20.58	.84
2.67	.96	8.67	10.44	14.67	1.40	20.67	.83
2.75	.97	8.75	9.35	14.75	1.39	20.75	.83
2.83	.98	8.83	8.48	14.83	1.37	20.83	.82
2.92	1.00	8.92	7.76	14.92	1.36	20.92	.82
3.00	1.01	9.00	7.17	15.00	1.35	21.00	.81
3.08	1.02	9.08	6.67	15.08	1.33	21.08	.81
3.17	1.04	9.17	6.23	15.17	1.32	21.17	.81
3.25	1.05	9.25	5.86	15.25	1.31	21.25	.80
3.33	1.07	9.33	5.53	15.33	1.30	21.33	.80
3.42	1.08	9.42	5.24	15.42	1.29	21.42	.79
3.50	1.10	9.50	4.98	15.50	1.27	21.50	.79
3.58	1.12	9.58	4.75	15.58	1.26	21.58	.79
3.67	1.13	9.67	4.54	15.67	1.25	21.67	.78
3.75	1.15	9.75	4.35	15.75	1.24	21.75	.78
3.83	1.17	9.83	4.17	15.83	1.23	21.83	.77
3.92	1.19	9.92	4.01	15.92	1.22	21.92	.77
4.00	1.21	10.00	3.87	16.00	1.21	22.00	.77
4.08	1.23	10.08	3.73	16.08	1.20	22.08	.76
4.17	1.26	10.17	3.61	16.17	1.19	22.17	.76
4.25	1.28	10.25	3.49	16.25	1.18	22.25	.76
4.33	1.30	10.33	3.38	16.33	1.17	22.33	.75
4.42	1.33	10.42	3.28	16.42	1.16	22.42	.75
4.50	1.35	10.50	3.19	16.50	1.15	22.50	.75
4.58	1.38	10.58	3.10	16.58	1.14	22.58	.74
4.67	1.41	10.67	3.02	16.67	1.13	22.67	.74
4.75	1.44	10.75	2.94	16.75	1.12	22.75	.73
4.83	1.47	10.83	2.87	16.83	1.11	22.83	.73
4.92	1.50	10.92	2.80	16.92	1.11	22.92	.73
5.00	1.54	11.00	2.73	17.00	1.10	23.00	.73
5.08	1.57	11.08	2.67	17.08	1.09	23.08	.72
5.17	1.61	11.17	2.61	17.17	1.08	23.17	.72
5.25	1.65	11.25	2.55	17.25	1.07	23.25	.72
5.33	1.70	11.33	2.50	17.33	1.07	23.33	.71
5.42	1.74	11.42	2.45	17.42	1.06	23.42	.71
5.50	1.79	11.50	2.40	17.50	1.05	23.50	.71
5.58	1.84	11.58	2.35	17.58	1.04	23.58	.70
5.67	1.90	11.67	2.30	17.67	1.04	23.67	.70
5.75	1.95	11.75	2.26	17.75	1.03	23.75	.70
5.83	2.02	11.83	2.22	17.83	1.02	23.83	.69
5.92	2.09	11.92	2.18	17.92	1.01	23.92	.69
6.00	2.16	12.00	2.14	18.00	1.01	24.00	.69

CALIB							
NASHYD	(0001)	Area	(ha)= 53.60	Curve Number	(CN)= 76.0		
ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min		Ia	(mm)= 4.50	# of Linear Res.	(N)= 3.00		
		U.H. Tp	(hrs)= 1.09				

Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 1.878

PEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.351 (i) ←

TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 9.333

RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 36.436

TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 79.766

RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .457

(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

** SIMULATION NUMBER: 5 **

CHICAGO STORM	IDF curve parameters: A=1234.000
Ptotal= 97.22 mm	B= 5.500
	C= .786

used in: INTENSITY = A / (t + B)^C

Duration of storm = 24.00 hrs

Storm time step = 5.00 min

Time to peak ratio = .33

TIME RAIN | TIME RAIN | TIME RAIN | TIME RAIN

hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr
.08	.89	6.08	2.81	12.08	2.64	18.08	1.27
.17	.89	6.17	2.91	12.17	2.60	18.17	1.26
.25	.90	6.25	3.03	12.25	2.56	18.25	1.25
.33	.91	6.33	3.16	12.33	2.52	18.33	1.25
.42	.92	6.42	3.29	12.42	2.48	18.42	1.24
.50	.93	6.50	3.45	12.50	2.44	18.50	1.23
.58	.93	6.58	3.62	12.58	2.40	18.58	1.22
.67	.94	6.67	3.81	12.67	2.37	18.67	1.21
.75	.95	6.75	4.03	12.75	2.34	18.75	1.21
.83	.96	6.83	4.27	12.83	2.30	18.83	1.20
.92	.97	6.92	4.56	12.92	2.27	18.92	1.19
1.00	.98	7.00	4.88	13.00	2.24	19.00	1.19
1.08	.99	7.08	5.27	13.08	2.21	19.08	1.18
1.17	1.00	7.17	5.73	13.17	2.18	19.17	1.17
1.25	1.01	7.25	6.30	13.25	2.15	19.25	1.16
1.33	1.02	7.33	7.00	13.33	2.12	19.33	1.16
1.42	1.03	7.42	7.91	13.42	2.10	19.42	1.15
1.50	1.04	7.50	9.13	13.50	2.07	19.50	1.14
1.58	1.05	7.58	10.85	13.58	2.05	19.58	1.14
1.67	1.06	7.67	13.50	13.67	2.02	19.67	1.13
1.75	1.07	7.75	18.07	13.75	2.00	19.75	1.12
1.83	1.08	7.83	27.92	13.83	1.98	19.83	1.12
1.92	1.09	7.92	64.21	13.92	1.95	19.92	1.11
2.00	1.11	8.00	194.38	14.00	1.93	20.00	1.11
2.08	1.12	8.08	82.51	14.08	1.91	20.08	1.10
2.17	1.13	8.17	46.00	14.17	1.89	20.17	1.09
2.25	1.15	8.25	31.70	14.25	1.87	20.25	1.09
2.33	1.16	8.33	24.20	14.33	1.85	20.33	1.08
2.42	1.17	8.42	19.63	14.42	1.83	20.42	1.08
2.50	1.19	8.50	16.55	14.50	1.81	20.50	1.07
2.58	1.20	8.58	14.34	14.58	1.79	20.58	1.06
2.67	1.22	8.67	12.68	14.67	1.77	20.67	1.06
2.75	1.23	8.75	11.39	14.75	1.76	20.75	1.05
2.83	1.25	8.83	10.35	14.83	1.74	20.83	1.05
2.92	1.26	8.92	9.50	14.92	1.72	20.92	1.04
3.00	1.28	9.00	8.79	15.00	1.70	21.00	1.04
3.08	1.30	9.08	8.18	15.08	1.69	21.08	1.03
3.17	1.32	9.17	7.66	15.17	1.67	21.17	1.03
3.25	1.34	9.25	7.21	15.25	1.66	21.25	1.02
3.33	1.36	9.33	6.81	15.33	1.64	21.33	1.02
3.42	1.37	9.42	6.46	15.42	1.63	21.42	1.01
3.50	1.40	9.50	6.15	15.50	1.61	21.50	1.01
3.58	1.42	9.58	5.87	15.58	1.60	21.58	1.00
3.67	1.44	9.67	5.61	15.67	1.58	21.67	1.00
3.75	1.46	9.75	5.38	15.75	1.57	21.75	.99
3.83	1.48	9.83	5.17	15.83	1.56	21.83	.99
3.92	1.51	9.92	4.98	15.92	1.54	21.92	.98
4.00	1.53	10.00	4.80	16.00	1.53	22.00	.98
4.08	1.56	10.08	4.64	16.08	1.52	22.08	.97
4.17	1.59	10.17	4.49	16.17	1.51	22.17	.97
4.25	1.62	10.25	4.34	16.25	1.49	22.25	.96
4.33	1.65	10.33	4.21	16.33	1.48	22.33	.96
4.42	1.68	10.42	4.09	16.42	1.47	22.42	.95
4.50	1.71	10.50	3.97	16.50	1.46	22.50	.95
4.58	1.74	10.58	3.87	16.58	1.45	22.58	.95
4.67	1.78	10.67	3.77	16.67	1.43	22.67	.94
4.75	1.82	10.75	3.67	16.75	1.42	22.75	.94
4.83	1.86	10.83	3.58	16.83	1.41	22.83	.93
4.92	1.90	10.92	3.49	16.92	1.40	22.92	.93
5.00	1.94	11.00	3.41	17.00	1.39	23.00	.93
5.08	1.98	11.08	3.34	17.08	1.38	23.08	.92
5.17	2.03	11.17	3.26	17.17	1.37	23.17	.92
5.25	2.08	11.25	3.19	17.25	1.36	23.25	.91
5.33	2.14	11.33	3.13	17.33	1.35	23.33	.91
5.42	2.19	11.42	3.06	17.42	1.34	23.42	.91
5.50	2.25	11.50	3.00	17.50	1.33	23.50	.90
5.58	2.32	11.58	2.94	17.58	1.32	23.58	.90
5.67	2.38	11.67	2.89	17.67	1.31	23.67	.89
5.75	2.46	11.75	2.84	17.75	1.31	23.75	.89
5.83	2.54	11.83	2.79	17.83	1.30	23.83	.89
5.92	2.62	11.92	2.74	17.92	1.29	23.92	.88
6.00	2.71	12.00	2.69	18.00	1.28	24.00	.88

CALIB			
NASHYD (0001)	Area (ha)=	53.60	Curve Number (CN)= 76.0
ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min	Ia (mm)=	4.50	# of Linear Res. (N)= 3.00
	U.H. Tp(hrs)=	1.09	

Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 1.878

PEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.834 (i) ←

TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 9.333

RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 49.713

TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 97.219
 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .511

(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

 ** SIMULATION NUMBER: 6 **

CHICAGO STORM
 Ptotal=109.69 mm

IDF curve parameters: A=1323.000
 B= 5.300
 C= .779
 used in: INTENSITY = A / (t + B)^C

Duration of storm = 24.00 hrs
 Storm time step = 5.00 min
 Time to peak ratio = .33

TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN
hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr
.08	1.03	6.08	3.23	12.08	3.04	18.08	1.47
.17	1.04	6.17	3.35	12.17	2.99	18.17	1.46
.25	1.05	6.25	3.48	12.25	2.94	18.25	1.45
.33	1.06	6.33	3.62	12.33	2.90	18.33	1.45
.42	1.07	6.42	3.78	12.42	2.85	18.42	1.44
.50	1.08	6.50	3.95	12.50	2.81	18.50	1.43
.58	1.09	6.58	4.15	12.58	2.77	18.58	1.42
.67	1.10	6.67	4.36	12.67	2.73	18.67	1.41
.75	1.11	6.75	4.61	12.75	2.69	18.75	1.40
.83	1.12	6.83	4.88	12.83	2.65	18.83	1.39
.92	1.13	6.92	5.20	12.92	2.62	18.92	1.38
1.00	1.14	7.00	5.57	13.00	2.58	19.00	1.38
1.08	1.15	7.08	6.01	13.08	2.55	19.08	1.37
1.17	1.16	7.17	6.52	13.17	2.51	19.17	1.36
1.25	1.17	7.25	7.16	13.25	2.48	19.25	1.35
1.33	1.18	7.33	7.95	13.33	2.45	19.33	1.34
1.42	1.19	7.42	8.96	13.42	2.42	19.42	1.34
1.50	1.21	7.50	10.31	13.50	2.39	19.50	1.33
1.58	1.22	7.58	12.23	13.58	2.36	19.58	1.32
1.67	1.23	7.67	15.15	13.67	2.33	19.67	1.31
1.75	1.24	7.75	20.18	13.75	2.31	19.75	1.31
1.83	1.26	7.83	30.97	13.83	2.28	19.83	1.30
1.92	1.27	7.92	70.67	13.92	2.25	19.92	1.29
2.00	1.29	8.00	215.06	14.00	2.23	20.00	1.28
2.08	1.30	8.08	90.70	14.08	2.20	20.08	1.28
2.17	1.31	8.17	50.73	14.17	2.18	20.17	1.27
2.25	1.33	8.25	35.11	14.25	2.16	20.25	1.26
2.33	1.35	8.33	26.91	14.33	2.13	20.33	1.26
2.42	1.36	8.42	21.89	14.42	2.11	20.42	1.25
2.50	1.38	8.50	18.51	14.50	2.09	20.50	1.24
2.58	1.39	8.58	16.08	14.58	2.07	20.58	1.24
2.67	1.41	8.67	14.25	14.67	2.05	20.67	1.23
2.75	1.43	8.75	12.82	14.75	2.03	20.75	1.22
2.83	1.45	8.83	11.67	14.83	2.01	20.83	1.22
2.92	1.47	8.92	10.72	14.92	1.99	20.92	1.21
3.00	1.49	9.00	9.93	15.00	1.97	21.00	1.20
3.08	1.51	9.08	9.26	15.08	1.95	21.08	1.20
3.17	1.53	9.17	8.68	15.17	1.93	21.17	1.19
3.25	1.55	9.25	8.18	15.25	1.92	21.25	1.19
3.33	1.57	9.33	7.73	15.33	1.90	21.33	1.18
3.42	1.59	9.42	7.34	15.42	1.88	21.42	1.18
3.50	1.62	9.50	6.99	15.50	1.86	21.50	1.17
3.58	1.64	9.58	6.68	15.58	1.85	21.58	1.16
3.67	1.67	9.67	6.39	15.67	1.83	21.67	1.16
3.75	1.69	9.75	6.13	15.75	1.82	21.75	1.15
3.83	1.72	9.83	5.90	15.83	1.80	21.83	1.15
3.92	1.75	9.92	5.68	15.92	1.79	21.92	1.14
4.00	1.78	10.00	5.48	16.00	1.77	22.00	1.14
4.08	1.81	10.08	5.29	16.08	1.76	22.08	1.13
4.17	1.84	10.17	5.12	16.17	1.74	22.17	1.13
4.25	1.87	10.25	4.96	16.25	1.73	22.25	1.12
4.33	1.90	10.33	4.82	16.33	1.71	22.33	1.12
4.42	1.94	10.42	4.68	16.42	1.70	22.42	1.11
4.50	1.98	10.50	4.55	16.50	1.69	22.50	1.11
4.58	2.02	10.58	4.43	16.58	1.67	22.58	1.10
4.67	2.06	10.67	4.31	16.67	1.66	22.67	1.10
4.75	2.10	10.75	4.20	16.75	1.65	22.75	1.09
4.83	2.14	10.83	4.10	16.83	1.64	22.83	1.09
4.92	2.19	10.92	4.00	16.92	1.62	22.92	1.08
5.00	2.24	11.00	3.91	17.00	1.61	23.00	1.08
5.08	2.29	11.08	3.82	17.08	1.60	23.08	1.07
5.17	2.34	11.17	3.74	17.17	1.59	23.17	1.07
5.25	2.40	11.25	3.66	17.25	1.58	23.25	1.06
5.33	2.46	11.33	3.59	17.33	1.57	23.33	1.06
5.42	2.53	11.42	3.52	17.42	1.56	23.42	1.05

5.50	2.60	11.50	3.45	17.50	1.54	23.50	1.05
5.58	2.67	11.58	3.38	17.58	1.53	23.58	1.04
5.67	2.75	11.67	3.32	17.67	1.52	23.67	1.04
5.75	2.83	11.75	3.26	17.75	1.51	23.75	1.04
5.83	2.92	11.83	3.20	17.83	1.50	23.83	1.03
5.92	3.01	11.92	3.15	17.92	1.49	23.92	1.03
6.00	3.12	12.00	3.09	18.00	1.48	24.00	1.02

CALIB
 NASHYD (0001) Area (ha)= 53.60 Curve Number (CN)= 76.0
 ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min Ia (mm)= 4.50 # of Linear Res. (N)= 3.00
 U.H. Tp (hrs)= 1.09

Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 1.878
 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.190 (i) ←
 TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 9.333
 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 59.680
 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 109.689
 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .544

(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

 ** SIMULATION NUMBER: 7 **

CHICAGO STORM
 Ptotal=122.49 mm

IDF curve parameters: A=1435.000
 B= 5.200
 C= .775
 used in: INTENSITY = A / (t + B)^C

Duration of storm = 24.00 hrs
 Storm time step = 5.00 min
 Time to peak ratio = .33

TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN
hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr
.08	1.17	6.08	3.64	12.08	3.43	18.08	1.67
.17	1.18	6.17	3.78	12.17	3.38	18.17	1.66
.25	1.19	6.25	3.92	12.25	3.32	18.25	1.65
.33	1.20	6.33	4.08	12.33	3.27	18.33	1.64
.42	1.21	6.42	4.26	12.42	3.22	18.42	1.63
.50	1.22	6.50	4.45	12.50	3.17	18.50	1.62
.58	1.23	6.58	4.67	12.58	3.13	18.58	1.61
.67	1.25	6.67	4.91	12.67	3.08	18.67	1.60
.75	1.26	6.75	5.18	12.75	3.04	18.75	1.59
.83	1.27	6.83	5.49	12.83	3.00	18.83	1.58
.92	1.28	6.92	5.85	12.92	2.96	18.92	1.57
1.00	1.29	7.00	6.26	13.00	2.92	19.00	1.56
1.08	1.30	7.08	6.75	13.08	2.88	19.08	1.55
1.17	1.32	7.17	7.32	13.17	2.84	19.17	1.54
1.25	1.33	7.25	8.03	13.25	2.80	19.25	1.53
1.33	1.34	7.33	8.90	13.33	2.77	19.33	1.52
1.42	1.36	7.42	10.03	13.42	2.74	19.42	1.52
1.50	1.37	7.50	11.53	13.50	2.70	19.50	1.51
1.58	1.38	7.58	13.65	13.58	2.67	19.58	1.50
1.67	1.40	7.67	16.87	13.67	2.64	19.67	1.49
1.75	1.41	7.75	22.41	13.75	2.61	19.75	1.48
1.83	1.43	7.83	34.27	13.83	2.58	19.83	1.47
1.92	1.44	7.92	77.82	13.92	2.55	19.92	1.46
2.00	1.46	8.00	237.24	14.00	2.52	20.00	1.46
2.08	1.48	8.08	99.80	14.08	2.49	20.08	1.45
2.17	1.49	8.17	55.94	14.17	2.47	20.17	1.44
2.25	1.51	8.25	38.81	14.25	2.44	20.25	1.43
2.33	1.53	8.33	29.82	14.33	2.41	20.33	1.43
2.42	1.54	8.42	24.30	14.42	2.39	20.42	1.42
2.50	1.56	8.50	20.58	14.50	2.37	20.50	1.41
2.58	1.58	8.58	17.90	14.58	2.34	20.58	1.40
2.67	1.60	8.67	15.88	14.67	2.32	20.67	1.40
2.75	1.62	8.75	14.30	14.75	2.30	20.75	1.39
2.83	1.64	8.83	13.03	14.83	2.27	20.83	1.38
2.92	1.66	8.92	11.98	14.92	2.25	20.92	1.37
3.00	1.69	9.00	11.11	15.00	2.23	21.00	1.37
3.08	1.71	9.08	10.36	15.08	2.21	21.08	1.36
3.17	1.73	9.17	9.72	15.17	2.19	21.17	1.35
3.25	1.76	9.25	9.16	15.25	2.17	21.25	1.35
3.33	1.78	9.33	8.67	15.33	2.15	21.33	1.34
3.42	1.81	9.42	8.23	15.42	2.13	21.42	1.33
3.50	1.83	9.50	7.84	15.50	2.11	21.50	1.33
3.58	1.86	9.58	7.49	15.58	2.09	21.58	1.32
3.67	1.89	9.67	7.17	15.67	2.07	21.67	1.32

3.75	1.92	9.75	6.89	15.75	2.06	21.75	1.31
3.83	1.95	9.83	6.62	15.83	2.04	21.83	1.30
3.92	1.98	9.92	6.38	15.92	2.02	21.92	1.30
4.00	2.01	10.00	6.16	16.00	2.01	22.00	1.29
4.08	2.05	10.08	5.95	16.08	1.99	22.08	1.28
4.17	2.08	10.17	5.76	16.17	1.97	22.17	1.28
4.25	2.12	10.25	5.58	16.25	1.96	22.25	1.27
4.33	2.16	10.33	5.42	16.33	1.94	22.33	1.27
4.42	2.20	10.42	5.26	16.42	1.93	22.42	1.26
4.50	2.24	10.50	5.12	16.50	1.91	22.50	1.26
4.58	2.28	10.58	4.98	16.58	1.90	22.58	1.25
4.67	2.33	10.67	4.85	16.67	1.88	22.67	1.24
4.75	2.37	10.75	4.73	16.75	1.87	22.75	1.24
4.83	2.42	10.83	4.62	16.83	1.85	22.83	1.23
4.92	2.48	10.92	4.51	16.92	1.84	22.92	1.23
5.00	2.53	11.00	4.41	17.00	1.83	23.00	1.22
5.08	2.59	11.08	4.31	17.08	1.81	23.08	1.22
5.17	2.65	11.17	4.22	17.17	1.80	23.17	1.21
5.25	2.72	11.25	4.13	17.25	1.79	23.25	1.21
5.33	2.78	11.33	4.05	17.33	1.78	23.33	1.20
5.42	2.86	11.42	3.97	17.42	1.76	23.42	1.20
5.50	2.93	11.50	3.89	17.50	1.75	23.50	1.19
5.58	3.01	11.58	3.81	17.58	1.74	23.58	1.19
5.67	3.10	11.67	3.74	17.67	1.73	23.67	1.18
5.75	3.19	11.75	3.68	17.75	1.72	23.75	1.18
5.83	3.29	11.83	3.61	17.83	1.70	23.83	1.17
5.92	3.40	11.92	3.55	17.92	1.69	23.92	1.17
6.00	3.52	12.00	3.49	18.00	1.68	24.00	1.16

CALIB			
NASHYD	(0001)	Area (ha)=	53.60
ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min		Ia (mm)=	4.50
		U.H. Tp (hrs)=	1.09
		Curve Number (CN)=	76.0
		# of Linear Res. (N)=	3.00

Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 1.878

PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.579 (i) ←

TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 9.250

RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 70.244

TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 122.495

RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .573

(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

FINISH

=====

=====



Post-Development Flows

Project Name: Ecarpment Business Community

Municipality: Town of Milton

Project No.: 03156

Date: January 4, 2007

OTTHYMO Model Results

25mm Storm =	0.040	m ³ /s	West Cell
25mm Storm =	0.010	m ³ /s	East Cell
2-Year Storm=	0.460	m ³ /s	
5-Year Storm=	0.650	m ³ /s	
10-Year Storm=	1.110	m ³ /s	
25-Year Storm=	2.380	m ³ /s	
50-Year Storm=	3.540	m ³ /s	
100-Year Storm=	4.970	m ³ /s	

```

V V I SSSSS U U A L
V V I SS U U A A L
V V I SS U U A A A A L
V V I SS U U A A L
VV I SSSSS UUUUU A A LLLLL

```

```

OOO TTTT TTTT H H Y Y M M OOO TM, Version 2.0
O O T T H H Y Y M M O O
O O T T H H Y M M O O Licensed To: Valdor Engineering
OOO T T H H Y M M OOO VO2-0102

```

Developed and Distributed by Greenland International Consulting Inc.
 Copyright 1996, 2001 Schaeffer & Associates Ltd.
 All rights reserved.

***** D E T A I L E D O U T P U T *****

Input filename: C:\Program Files\Visual OTTHYMO v2.0\voin.dat
 Output filename: S:\Projects\2003\03156\Hydrotechnical\Stormwater Management\Post-Development\Post Chicago 24 Hour
 -82ha.out
 Summary filename: S:\Projects\2003\03156\Hydrotechnical\Stormwater Management\Post-Development\Post Chicago 24 Hour
 -82ha.sum

DATE: 1/8/2007 TIME: 8:39:08 AM

USER:

COMMENTS: POST DEVELOPMENT

25mm STORM - WEST CELL

 ** SIMULATION NUMBER: 1 **

 READ STORM | Filename: S:\SWM Library\Storms\25mmchi.stm
 Ptotal= 25.02 mm | Comments: 25mm CHICAGO Storm

TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN
hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr
.17	2.17	1.17	6.20	2.17	5.62	3.17	2.95
.33	2.38	1.33	12.18	2.33	4.80	3.33	2.76
.50	2.66	1.50	41.67	2.50	4.21	3.50	2.62
.67	3.03	1.67	15.28	2.67	3.78	3.67	2.47
.83	3.58	1.83	9.22	2.83	3.45	3.83	2.35
1.00	4.47	2.00	6.88	3.00	3.18	4.00	2.23

 CALIB |
 STANDHYD (0009) | Area (ha)= 82.00
 ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min | Total Imp(%)= 75.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 75.00

	IMPERVIOUS	PERVIOUS (i)
Surface Area (ha)=	61.50	20.50
Dep. Storage (mm)=	1.00	1.50
Average Slope (%)=	1.00	2.00
Length (m)=	739.40	40.00
Mannings n =	.013	.250

NOTE: RAINFALL WAS TRANSFORMED TO 5.0 MIN. TIME STEP.

----- TRANSFORMED HYETOGRAPH -----

TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN
hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr
.083	2.17	1.083	6.20	2.083	5.62	3.08	2.95
.167	2.17	1.167	6.20	2.167	5.62	3.17	2.95
.250	2.38	1.250	12.18	2.250	4.80	3.25	2.76
.333	2.38	1.333	12.18	2.333	4.80	3.33	2.76
.417	2.66	1.417	41.67	2.417	4.21	3.42	2.62
.500	2.66	1.500	41.67	2.500	4.21	3.50	2.62
.583	3.03	1.583	15.28	2.583	3.78	3.58	2.47
.667	3.03	1.667	15.28	2.667	3.78	3.67	2.47
.750	3.58	1.750	9.22	2.750	3.45	3.75	2.35

.833	3.58	1.833	9.22	2.833	3.45	3.83	2.35
.917	4.47	1.917	6.88	2.917	3.18	3.92	2.23
1.000	4.47	2.000	6.88	3.000	3.18	4.00	2.23

Max.Eff.Inten. (mm/hr)=	32.87	4.98	
over (min)	15.00	40.00	
Storage Coeff. (min)=	13.24 (ii)	36.67 (ii)	
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)=	15.00	40.00	
Unit Hyd. peak (cms)=	.08	.03	
			TOTALS
PEAK FLOW (cms)=	4.03	.14	4.083 (iii)
TIME TO PEAK (hrs)=	1.67	2.25	1.67
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)=	24.02	5.33	19.35
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)=	25.02	25.02	25.02
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT =	.96	.21	.77

- (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:
CN* = 76.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)
- (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL
THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
- (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

```

-----
| RESERVOIR (0012) |
| IN= 2----> OUT= 1 |
| DT= 5.0 min      |
-----

```

	OUTFLOW (cms)	STORAGE (ha.m.)	OUTFLOW (cms)	STORAGE (ha.m.)
	.0000	.0000	.0450	1.9135
	.0330	1.0325	.0000	.0000

	AREA (ha)	QPEAK (cms)	TPEAK (hrs)	R.V. (mm)
INFLOW : ID= 2 (0009)	82.00	4.08	1.67	19.35
OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (0012)	82.00	.04	4.83	16.28

PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin] (%) =	.98
TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min) =	190.00
MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.) =	1.5375

FINISH

=====

```

V V I SSSSS U U A L
V V I SS U U A A L
V V I SS U U A A A A L
V V I SS U U A A L
VV I SSSSS UUUUU A A LLLLL

```

```

OOO TTTT TTTT H H Y Y M M OOO TM, Version 2.0
O O T T H H Y Y M M O O
O O T T H H Y M M O O Licensed To: Valdor Engineering
OOO T T H H Y M M OOO V02-0102

```

Developed and Distributed by Greenland International Consulting Inc.
 Copyright 1996, 2001 Schaeffer & Associates Ltd.
 All rights reserved.

***** D E T A I L E D O U T P U T *****

Input filename: C:\Program Files\Visual OTTHYMO v2.0\voim.dat
 Output filename: S:\Projects\2003\03156\Hydrotechnical\Stormwater Management\Post-Development\Post Chicago 24 Hour
 -16ha.out
 Summary filename: S:\Projects\2003\03156\Hydrotechnical\Stormwater Management\Post-Development\Post Chicago 24 Hour
 -16ha.sum

DATE: 1/8/2007 TIME: 8:38:31 AM

USER:

COMMENTS: POST-DEVELOPMENT

25mm STORM - EAST CELL

 ** SIMULATION NUMBER: 1 **

 READ STORM | Filename: S:\SWM Library\Storms\25mmchi.stm
 Ptotal= 25.02 mm | Comments: 25mm CHICAGO Storm

TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN
hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr
.17	2.17	1.17	6.20	2.17	5.62	3.17	2.95
.33	2.38	1.33	12.18	2.33	4.80	3.33	2.76
.50	2.66	1.50	41.67	2.50	4.21	3.50	2.62
.67	3.03	1.67	15.28	2.67	3.78	3.67	2.47
.83	3.58	1.83	9.22	2.83	3.45	3.83	2.35
1.00	4.47	2.00	6.88	3.00	3.18	4.00	2.23

 CALIB |
 STANDHYD (0009) | Area (ha)= 16.00
 ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min | Total Imp(%)= 75.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 75.00

	IMPERVIOUS	PERVIOUS (i)
Surface Area (ha)=	12.00	4.00
Dep. Storage (mm)=	1.00	1.50
Average Slope (%)=	1.00	2.00
Length (m)=	326.60	40.00
Mannings n =	.013	.250

NOTE: RAINFALL WAS TRANSFORMED TO 5.0 MIN. TIME STEP.

----- TRANSFORMED HYETOGRAPH -----

TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN
hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr
.083	2.17	1.083	6.20	2.083	5.62	3.08	2.95
.167	2.17	1.167	6.20	2.167	5.62	3.17	2.95
.250	2.38	1.250	12.18	2.250	4.80	3.25	2.76
.333	2.38	1.333	12.18	2.333	4.80	3.33	2.76
.417	2.66	1.417	41.67	2.417	4.21	3.42	2.62
.500	2.66	1.500	41.67	2.500	4.21	3.50	2.62
.583	3.03	1.583	15.28	2.583	3.78	3.58	2.47
.667	3.03	1.667	15.28	2.667	3.78	3.67	2.47
.750	3.58	1.750	9.22	2.750	3.45	3.75	2.35

.833	3.58	1.833	9.22	2.833	3.45	3.83	2.35
.917	4.47	1.917	6.88	2.917	3.18	3.92	2.23
1.000	4.47	2.000	6.88	3.000	3.18	4.00	2.23

Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)=	41.67	4.98	
over (min)	5.00	35.00	
Storage Coeff. (min)=	7.38 (ii)	30.80 (ii)	
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)=	5.00	35.00	
Unit Hyd. peak (cms)=	.17	.04	
			TOTALS
PEAK FLOW (cms)=	1.12	.03	1.127 (iii)
TIME TO PEAK (hrs)=	1.50	2.08	1.50
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)=	24.02	5.33	19.35
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)=	25.02	25.02	25.02
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT =	.96	.21	.77

- (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:
CN* = 76.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)
- (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL
THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
- (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

```

-----
| RESERVOIR (0012) |
| IN= 2----> OUT= 1 |
| DT= 5.0 min      |
-----


```

	OUTFLOW (cms)	STORAGE (ha.m.)	OUTFLOW (cms)	STORAGE (ha.m.)
	.0000	.0000	.0180	.3863
		AREA (ha)	QPEAK (cms)	TPEAK (hrs)
INFLOW : ID= 2 (0009)	16.00	16.00	1.13	1.50
OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (0012)	16.00	16.00	.01	4.33
				R.V. (mm)
				19.35
				18.13
				PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin] (%)= 1.22
				TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=170.00
				MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .2955

FINISH

=====

Hydrologic Model (VO2) Schematic Post-development Conditions – Combined SWMP



Click Here or Press 'Esc' to Return

Visual OTTHYMO Hydrograph Plots

Post Chicago 24 Hour

Run Number 7

NHYD=1

NHYD=10




Figure 1: 100-yr Existing (NHYD=1) and Post-development (NHYD=10) hydrographs from SWMP to Trib N1-A.

Click Here or Press 'Esc' to Return

Visual OTTHYMO Hydrograph Plots

Post Chicago 24 Hour




Figure 2: 100-yr Allowable (NHYP=12) and Post-development (NHYP=11) hydrographs from SWMP to Trib N2-B.

Click Here or Press 'Esc' to Return

Visual OTTHYMO Hydrograph Plots

Post Chicago 24 Hour

Run Number 2

NHYD=1

NHYD=10




Figure 3: 2-yr Existing (NHYD=1) and Post-development (NHYD=10) hydrographs from SWMP to Trib N1-A.

Click Here or Press 'Esc' to Return

Visual OTTHYMO Hydrograph Plots

Post Chicago 24 Hour




Figure 4: 2-yr Allowable (NHYP=12) and Post-development (NHYP=11) hydrographs from SWMP to Trib N2-B.

Click Here or Press 'Esc' to Return

Visual OTTHYMO Hydrograph Plots

PostDev with Proposed SWMP S34 24hr Chicago




Figure 5: 25 mm Existing (NHYP=1) and Post-development (NHYP=10) hydrographs from SWMP to Trib N1-A.

Click Here or Press 'Esc' to Return

Visual OTTHYMO Hydrograph Plots

PostDev with Proposed SWMP S34 24hr Chicago

Run Number 3

NHYD=1

NHYD=10




Figure 6: 5-yr Existing (NHYD=1) and Post-development (NHYD=10) hydrographs from SWMP to Trib N1-A.

Click Here or Press 'Esc' to Return

Visual OTTHYMO Hydrograph Plots

PostDev with Proposed SWMP S34 24hr Chicago

Run Number 4

NHYD=1

NHYD=10




Figure 7: 10-yr Existing (NHYD=1) and Post-development (NHYD=10) hydrographs from SWMP to Trib N1-A.

Post-development VO2 Output Combined SWMP (2-yr to 100-yr)

```

=====
V V I SSSS U U A L
V V I SS U U AAA L
V V I SS U U AAAA L
VV I SSSS UUUU A A LLLL

OOO TTTT TTTT H H Y Y M M OOO TM, Version 2.0
O O T T H H Y Y MM MM O O
O O T T H H Y Y M M O O Licensed To: Valdor Engineering
Inc. OOO T T H H Y M M OOO VO2-0102
  
```

Developed and Distributed by Greenland International Consulting Inc.
Copyright 1996, 2001 Schaeffer & Associates Ltd.
All rights reserved.

***** DETAILED OUTPUT *****

Input filename: C:\Program Files\Visual OTTHYMO v2.0\voain.dat
Output filename: C:\DOCUME-1\bcoffey\Desktop\POST-D-1\Post Chicago 24 Hour.out
Summary filename: C:\DOCUME-1\bcoffey\Desktop\POST-D-1\Post Chicago 24 Hour.sum

DATE: 3/30/2007 TIME: 5:28:34 PM

USER:

COMMENTS: _____

** SIMULATION NUMBER: 2 **

CHICAGO STORM
Ptotal= 47.56 mm

IDF curve parameters: A= 779.000
B= 6.000
C= .821

used in: INTENSITY = A / (t + B)^C

Duration of storm = 24.00 hrs
Storm time step = 5.00 min
Time to peak ratio = .33

TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN
hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr
.08	.36	6.08	1.23	12.08	1.15	18.08	.53
.17	.37	6.17	1.28	12.17	1.13	18.17	.53
.25	.37	6.25	1.33	12.25	1.11	18.25	.53
.33	.37	6.33	1.39	12.33	1.10	18.33	.52
.42	.38	6.42	1.46	12.42	1.08	18.42	.52
.50	.38	6.50	1.53	12.50	1.06	18.50	.51
.58	.39	6.58	1.61	12.58	1.04	18.58	.51
.67	.39	6.67	1.70	12.67	1.03	18.67	.51
.75	.39	6.75	1.81	12.75	1.01	18.75	.50
.83	.40	6.83	1.92	12.83	1.00	18.83	.50
.92	.40	6.92	2.06	12.92	.98	18.92	.50
1.00	.40	7.00	2.22	13.00	.97	19.00	.49
1.08	.41	7.08	2.41	13.08	.95	19.08	.49

1.17	.41	7.17	2.64	13.17	.94	19.17	.49
1.25	.42	7.25	2.92	13.25	.93	19.25	.49
1.33	.42	7.33	3.27	13.33	.92	19.33	.48
1.42	.43	7.42	3.73	13.42	.90	19.42	.48
1.50	.43	7.50	4.26	13.50	.89	19.50	.48
1.58	.44	7.58	4.85	13.58	.88	19.58	.47
1.67	.44	7.67	5.48	13.67	.87	19.67	.47
1.75	.45	7.75	6.15	13.75	.86	19.75	.47
1.83	.45	7.83	6.87	13.83	.85	19.83	.47
1.92	.46	7.92	7.63	13.92	.84	19.92	.46
2.00	.46	8.00	8.43	14.00	.83	20.00	.46
2.08	.47	8.08	9.27	14.08	.82	20.08	.46
2.17	.47	8.17	10.15	14.17	.81	20.17	.45
2.25	.48	8.25	11.07	14.25	.80	20.25	.45
2.33	.48	8.33	12.03	14.33	.79	20.33	.45
2.42	.49	8.42	13.03	14.42	.78	20.42	.45
2.50	.50	8.50	14.07	14.50	.77	20.50	.44
2.58	.50	8.58	15.15	14.58	.76	20.58	.44
2.67	.51	8.67	16.27	14.67	.76	20.67	.44
2.75	.52	8.75	17.43	14.75	.75	20.75	.44
2.83	.52	8.83	18.63	14.83	.74	20.83	.43
2.92	.53	8.92	19.87	14.92	.73	20.92	.43
3.00	.54	9.00	21.15	15.00	.73	21.00	.43
3.08	.55	9.08	22.47	15.08	.72	21.08	.43
3.17	.55	9.17	23.83	15.17	.71	21.17	.43
3.25	.56	9.25	25.23	15.25	.70	21.25	.42
3.33	.57	9.33	26.67	15.33	.70	21.33	.42
3.42	.58	9.42	28.15	15.42	.69	21.42	.42
3.50	.59	9.50	29.67	15.50	.68	21.50	.42
3.58	.60	9.58	31.23	15.58	.68	21.58	.41
3.67	.61	9.67	32.83	15.67	.67	21.67	.41
3.75	.62	9.75	34.47	15.75	.67	21.75	.41
3.83	.63	9.83	36.15	15.83	.66	21.83	.41
3.92	.64	9.92	37.87	15.92	.65	21.92	.41
4.00	.65	10.00	39.63	16.00	.65	22.00	.40
4.08	.66	10.08	41.43	16.08	.64	22.08	.40
4.17	.67	10.17	43.27	16.17	.64	22.17	.40
4.25	.69	10.25	45.15	16.25	.63	22.25	.40
4.33	.70	10.33	47.07	16.33	.63	22.33	.40
4.42	.71	10.42	49.03	16.42	.62	22.42	.39
4.50	.73	10.50	51.03	16.50	.62	22.50	.39
4.58	.74	10.58	53.07	16.58	.61	22.58	.39
4.67	.76	10.67	55.15	16.67	.61	22.67	.39
4.75	.78	10.75	57.27	16.75	.60	22.75	.39
4.83	.79	10.83	59.43	16.83	.60	22.83	.38
4.92	.81	10.92	61.63	16.92	.59	22.92	.38
5.00	.83	11.00	63.87	17.00	.59	23.00	.38
5.08	.85	11.08	66.15	17.08	.58	23.08	.38
5.17	.87	11.17	68.47	17.17	.58	23.17	.38
5.25	.90	11.25	70.83	17.25	.57	23.25	.38
5.33	.92	11.33	73.23	17.33	.57	23.33	.37
5.42	.95	11.42	75.67	17.42	.56	23.42	.37
5.50	.97	11.50	78.15	17.50	.56	23.50	.37
5.58	1.00	11.58	80.67	17.58	.56	23.58	.37
5.67	1.03	11.67	83.23	17.67	.55	23.67	.37
5.75	1.07	11.75	85.83	17.75	.55	23.75	.37
5.83	1.10	11.83	88.47	17.83	.54	23.83	.36
5.92	1.14	11.92	91.15	17.92	.54	23.92	.36
6.00	1.18	12.00	93.87	18.00	.54	24.00	.36

CALIB		Area (ha)= 98.00	
STANDHYD (0007)		Total Imp(%)= 75.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 75.00	
ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min		IMPERVIOUS	PERVIOUS (i)
Surface Area	(ha)= 73.50		24.50
Dep. Storage	(mm)= 1.00		1.50
Average Slope	(%)= 1.00		2.00
Length	(m)= 808.30		40.00
Mannings n	= .013		.250
Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)=	77.29		50.18

over (min) 10.00 15.00
 Storage Coeff. (min)= 9.92 (ii) 14.32 (ii)
 Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 10.00 15.00
 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .11 .08

PEAK FLOW (cms)= 10.94 .86
 TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 8.08 8.25
 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 46.56 16.80
 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 47.56 47.56
 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .98 .35

TOTALS
 11.527 (iii)
 8.08
 39.12
 47.56
 .82

- (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:
 CN* = 76.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)
- (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL
 THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
- (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

RESERVOIR (0008)
 IN= 2---> OUT= 1
 DT= 5.0 min

OUTFLOW (cms)	STORAGE (ha.m.)	OUTFLOW (cms)	STORAGE (ha.m.)
.0000	.0000	2.1120	4.6620
.0540	1.8330	2.6080	4.8403
.0830	2.1918	3.1850	5.0179
.7150	3.9693	3.4370	5.0893
.9400	4.1375	3.8330	5.1969
1.0000	4.1718	4.5380	5.3784
1.0620	4.2062	5.2940	5.5624
1.2690	4.3095	6.0970	5.7551

	AREA (ha)	QPEAK (cms)	TPEAK (hrs)	R.V. (mm)
INFLOW : ID= 2 (0007)	98.00	11.53	8.08	39.12
OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (0008)	98.00	.31	11.83	34.00

PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%) = 2.68
 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min) = 225.00
 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.) = 2.8276

DIVERT HYD (0009)
 IN= 1 # OUT= 2

Outflow / Inflow Relationships

Flow 1 (cms)	Flow 2 (cms)	Total (cms)
.00	.00	.00
.01	.04	.05
.04	.05	.08
.65	.06	.71
.74	.20	.94
.76	.24	1.00
.78	.29	1.06
.82	.45	1.27
.96	1.15	2.11
1.02	1.59	2.61
1.12	2.06	3.18
1.17	2.27	3.44
1.25	2.58	3.83
1.39	3.14	4.54
1.55	3.74	5.29
1.73	4.37	6.10

	AREA (ha)	QPEAK (cms)	TPEAK (hrs)	R.V. (mm)
TOTAL HYD. (ID= 1):	98.00	.31	11.83	34.00
ID= 2 (9):	50.48	.26	11.83	34.00
ID= 3 (9):	47.52	.05	11.83	34.00

ROUTE CHN (0010)
 IN= 2---> OUT= 1

Routing time step (min)'= 5.00

<----- DATA FOR SECTION (1.1) ----->

Distance	Elevation	Manning	
.00	101.50	.0500	
1.00	100.70	.0500	
1.50	100.55	.0500 / .0300	Main Channel
2.00	99.50	.0300	Main Channel
3.50	99.60	.0300	Main Channel
4.50	100.65	.0300 / .0500	Main Channel
6.00	101.45	.0500	

<----- TRAVEL TIME TABLE ----->

DEPTH (m)	ELEV (m)	VOLUME (cu.m.)	FLOW RATE (cms)	VELOCITY (m/s)	TRAV.TIME (min)
.10	99.60	.353E+01	.0	.19	4.37
.19	99.69	.112E+02	.1	.37	2.28
.29	99.79	.195E+02	.2	.49	1.70
.38	99.88	.285E+02	.3	.59	1.42
.48	99.98	.381E+02	.5	.67	1.25
.57	100.07	.484E+02	.7	.74	1.13
.67	100.17	.594E+02	.9	.80	1.04
.76	100.26	.710E+02	1.2	.86	.97
.86	100.36	.832E+02	1.5	.91	.92
.95	100.45	.961E+02	1.8	.96	.87
1.05	100.55	.110E+03	2.2	1.00	.83
1.16	100.66	.127E+03	2.7	1.07	.78
1.28	100.78	.148E+03	3.4	1.14	.73
1.39	100.89	.170E+03	4.1	1.20	.69
1.50	101.00	.195E+03	4.9	1.25	.67
1.61	101.11	.221E+03	5.8	1.30	.64
1.72	101.22	.250E+03	6.7	1.34	.62
1.84	101.34	.280E+03	7.7	1.38	.60
1.95	101.45	.313E+03	8.8	1.41	.59

<---- hydrograph ----> <-pipe / channel->

	AREA (ha)	QPEAK (cms)	TPEAK (hrs)	R.V. (mm)	MAX DEPTH (m)	MAX VEL (m/s)
INFLOW : ID= 2 (0009)	50.48	.26	11.83	34.00	.33	.53
OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (0010)	50.48	.26	11.83	34.00	.33	.53

ROUTE CHN (0011)
 IN= 2---> OUT= 1

Routing time step (min)'= 5.00

<----- DATA FOR SECTION (1.1) ----->

Distance	Elevation	Manning	
.00	101.50	.0500	
1.00	100.70	.0500	
1.50	100.55	.0500 / .0300	Main Channel
2.00	99.50	.0300	Main Channel
3.50	99.60	.0300	Main Channel
4.50	100.65	.0300 / .0500	Main Channel
6.00	101.45	.0500	

<----- TRAVEL TIME TABLE ----->

DEPTH (m)	ELEV (m)	VOLUME (cu.m.)	FLOW RATE (cms)	VELOCITY (m/s)	TRAV.TIME (min)
.10	99.60	.353E+01	.0	.19	4.37
.19	99.69	.112E+02	.1	.37	2.28
.29	99.79	.195E+02	.2	.49	1.70
.38	99.88	.285E+02	.3	.59	1.42
.48	99.98	.381E+02	.5	.67	1.25
.57	100.07	.484E+02	.7	.74	1.13
.67	100.17	.594E+02	.9	.80	1.04
.76	100.26	.710E+02	1.2	.86	.97
.86	100.36	.832E+02	1.5	.91	.92
.95	100.45	.961E+02	1.8	.96	.87
1.05	100.55	.110E+03	2.2	1.00	.83
1.16	100.66	.127E+03	2.7	1.07	.78
1.28	100.78	.148E+03	3.4	1.14	.73

1.39	100.89	.170E+03	4.1	1.20	.69
1.50	101.00	.195E+03	4.9	1.25	.67
1.61	101.11	.221E+03	5.8	1.30	.64
1.72	101.22	.250E+03	6.7	1.34	.62
1.84	101.34	.280E+03	7.7	1.38	.60
1.95	101.45	.313E+03	8.8	1.41	.59

	AREA (ha)	QPEAK (cms)	TPEAK (hrs)	R.V. (mm)	MAX DEPTH (m)	MAX VEL (m/s)
INFLOW : ID= 2 (0009)	47.52	.05	11.83	34.00	.15	.26
OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (0011)	47.52	.05	11.83	34.00	.15	.26

 ** SIMULATION NUMBER: 3 **

CHICAGO STORM
 Ptotal= 67.24 mm

IDF curve parameters: A= 959.000
 B= 5.700
 C= .802

used in: INTENSITY = A / (t + B)^C

Duration of storm = 24.00 hrs
 Storm time step = 5.00 min
 Time to peak ratio = .33

TIME hrs	RAIN mm/hr	TIME hrs	RAIN mm/hr	TIME hrs	RAIN mm/hr	TIME hrs	RAIN mm/hr
.08	.57	6.08	1.85	12.08	1.74	18.08	.82
.17	.57	6.17	1.92	12.17	1.71	18.17	.82
.25	.58	6.25	2.00	12.25	1.68	18.25	.81
.33	.58	6.33	2.09	12.33	1.66	18.33	.81
.42	.59	6.42	2.18	12.42	1.63	18.42	.80
.50	.59	6.50	2.29	12.50	1.60	18.50	.79
.58	.60	6.58	2.40	12.58	1.58	18.58	.79
.67	.61	6.67	2.54	12.67	1.56	18.67	.78
.75	.61	6.75	2.68	12.75	1.53	18.75	.78
.83	.62	6.83	2.85	12.83	1.51	18.83	.77
.92	.62	6.92	3.05	12.92	1.49	18.92	.77
1.00	.63	7.00	3.27	13.00	1.47	19.00	.77
1.08	.64	7.08	3.54	13.08	1.45	19.08	.76
1.17	.64	7.17	3.86	13.17	1.43	19.17	.76
1.25	.65	7.25	4.26	13.25	1.41	19.25	.75
1.33	.65	7.33	4.75	13.33	1.39	19.33	.75
1.42	.66	7.42	5.39	13.42	1.37	19.42	.74
1.50	.67	7.50	6.25	13.50	1.36	19.50	.74
1.58	.68	7.58	7.48	13.58	1.34	19.58	.73
1.67	.68	7.67	9.37	13.67	1.32	19.67	.73
1.75	.69	7.75	12.67	13.75	1.31	19.75	.72
1.83	.70	7.83	19.89	13.83	1.29	19.83	.72
1.92	.71	7.92	46.83	13.92	1.28	19.92	.72
2.00	.71	8.00	143.30	14.00	1.26	20.00	.71
2.08	.72	8.08	60.46	14.08	1.25	20.08	.71
2.17	.73	8.17	33.27	14.17	1.23	20.17	.70
2.25	.74	8.25	22.67	14.25	1.22	20.25	.70
2.33	.75	8.33	17.15	14.33	1.21	20.33	.70
2.42	.76	8.42	13.81	14.42	1.19	20.42	.69
2.50	.77	8.50	11.57	14.50	1.18	20.50	.69
2.58	.78	8.58	9.98	14.58	1.17	20.58	.69
2.67	.79	8.67	8.78	14.67	1.16	20.67	.68
2.75	.80	8.75	7.86	14.75	1.14	20.75	.68
2.83	.81	8.83	7.11	14.83	1.13	20.83	.67
2.92	.82	8.92	6.51	14.92	1.12	20.92	.67
3.00	.83	9.00	6.00	15.00	1.11	21.00	.67
3.08	.84	9.08	5.58	15.08	1.10	21.08	.66
3.17	.85	9.17	5.21	15.17	1.09	21.17	.66
3.25	.86	9.25	4.89	15.25	1.08	21.25	.66
3.33	.88	9.33	4.62	15.33	1.07	21.33	.65
3.42	.89	9.42	4.37	15.42	1.06	21.42	.65
3.50	.90	9.50	4.15	15.50	1.05	21.50	.65
3.58	.92	9.58	3.96	15.58	1.04	21.58	.64
3.67	.93	9.67	3.78	15.67	1.03	21.67	.64

3.75	.95	9.75	3.62	15.75	1.02	21.75	.64
3.83	.96	9.83	3.47	15.83	1.01	21.83	.63
3.92	.98	9.92	3.34	15.92	1.00	21.92	.63
4.00	1.00	10.00	3.22	16.00	.99	22.00	.63
4.08	1.01	10.08	3.10	16.08	.99	22.08	.63
4.17	1.03	10.17	3.00	16.17	.98	22.17	.62
4.25	1.05	10.25	2.90	16.25	.97	22.25	.62
4.33	1.07	10.33	2.81	16.33	.96	22.33	.62
4.42	1.09	10.42	2.73	16.42	.95	22.42	.61
4.50	1.11	10.50	2.65	16.50	.95	22.50	.61
4.58	1.14	10.58	2.57	16.58	.94	22.58	.61
4.67	1.16	10.67	2.50	16.67	.93	22.67	.60
4.75	1.18	10.75	2.44	16.75	.92	22.75	.60
4.83	1.21	10.83	2.38	16.83	.92	22.83	.60
4.92	1.24	10.92	2.32	16.92	.91	22.92	.60
5.00	1.27	11.00	2.26	17.00	.90	23.00	.59
5.08	1.30	11.08	2.21	17.08	.90	23.08	.59
5.17	1.33	11.17	2.16	17.17	.89	23.17	.59
5.25	1.36	11.25	2.11	17.25	.88	23.25	.59
5.33	1.40	11.33	2.07	17.33	.88	23.33	.58
5.42	1.44	11.42	2.02	17.42	.87	23.42	.58
5.50	1.48	11.50	1.98	17.50	.86	23.50	.58
5.58	1.52	11.58	1.94	17.58	.86	23.58	.58
5.67	1.57	11.67	1.91	17.67	.85	23.67	.57
5.75	1.61	11.75	1.87	17.75	.84	23.75	.57
5.83	1.67	11.83	1.84	17.83	.84	23.83	.57
5.92	1.72	11.92	1.80	17.92	.83	23.92	.57
6.00	1.79	12.00	1.77	18.00	.83	24.00	.56

CALIB
 STANDHYD (0007)
 ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min

Area (ha)= 98.00
 Total Imp(%)= 75.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 75.00

IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)
 Surface Area (ha)= 73.50 24.50
 Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 1.50
 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 2.00
 Length (m)= 808.30 40.00
 Mannings n = .013 .250

Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 101.88 42.71
 over (min) 10.00 15.00
 Storage Coeff. (min)= 8.88 (ii) 12.82 (iii)
 Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 10.00 15.00
 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .12 .08

PEAK FLOW (cms)= 15.25 1.57
 TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 8.08 8.17
 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 66.24 29.61
 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 67.24 67.24
 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .44

TOTALS

- (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:
 CN* = 76.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)
- (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL
 THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
- (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

RESERVOIR (0008)
 IN= 2 ---> OUT= 1
 DT= 5.0 min

OUTFLOW (cms)	STORAGE (ha.m.)	OUTFLOW (cms)	STORAGE (ha.m.)
.0000	.0000	2.1120	4.6620
.0540	1.8330	2.6080	4.8403
.0830	2.1918	3.1850	5.0179
.7150	3.9693	3.4370	5.0893
.9400	4.1375	3.8330	5.1969
1.0000	4.1718	4.5380	5.3784
1.0620	4.2062	5.2940	5.5624

1.2690 4.3095 | 6.0970 5.7551

	AREA (ha)	QPEAK (cms)	TPEAK (hrs)	R.V. (mm)
INFLOW : ID= 2 (0007)	98.00	16.35	8.08	57.09
OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (0008)	98.00	.65	10.67	51.72

PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 3.98
 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=155.00
 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 3.7910

 | DIVERT HYD (0009) |
IN= 1 # OUT= 2

Outflow / Inflow Relationships

Flow 1 + (cms)	Flow 2 = (cms)	Total (cms)
.00	.00	.00
.01	.04	.05
.04	.05	.08
.65	.06	.71
.74	.20	.94
.76	.24	1.00
.78	.29	1.06
.82	.45	1.27
.96	1.15	2.11
1.02	1.59	2.61
1.12	2.06	3.18
1.17	2.27	3.44
1.25	2.58	3.83
1.39	3.14	4.54
1.55	3.74	5.29
1.73	4.37	6.10

	AREA (ha)	QPEAK (cms)	TPEAK (hrs)	R.V. (mm)
TOTAL HYD. (ID= 1):	98.00	.65	10.67	51.72
ID= 2 (9):	64.89	.59	10.67	51.72
ID= 3 (9):	33.11	.06	10.67	51.72

 | ROUTE CHN (0010) |
IN= 2---> OUT= 1

Routing time step (min)'= 5.00

<----- DATA FOR SECTION (1.1) ----->

Distance	Elevation	Manning	
.00	101.50	.0500	
1.00	100.70	.0500	
1.50	100.55	.0500 / .0300	Main Channel
2.00	99.50	.0300	Main Channel
3.50	99.60	.0300	Main Channel
4.50	100.65	.0300 / .0500	Main Channel
6.00	101.45	.0500	

<----- TRAVEL TIME TABLE ----->

DEPTH (m)	ELEV (m)	VOLUME (cu.m.)	FLOW RATE (cms)	VELOCITY (m/s)	TRAV.TIME (min)
.10	99.60	.353E+01	.0	.19	4.37
.19	99.69	.112E+02	.1	.37	2.28
.29	99.79	.195E+02	.2	.49	1.70
.38	99.88	.285E+02	.3	.59	1.42
.48	99.98	.381E+02	.5	.67	1.25
.57	100.07	.484E+02	.7	.74	1.13
.67	100.17	.594E+02	.9	.80	1.04
.76	100.26	.710E+02	1.2	.86	.97
.86	100.36	.832E+02	1.5	.91	.92
.95	100.45	.961E+02	1.8	.96	.87
1.05	100.55	.110E+03	2.2	1.00	.83
1.16	100.66	.127E+03	2.7	1.07	.78
1.28	100.78	.148E+03	3.4	1.14	.73
1.39	100.89	.170E+03	4.1	1.20	.69

1.50	101.00	.195E+03	4.9	1.25	.67
1.61	101.11	.221E+03	5.8	1.30	.64
1.72	101.22	.250E+03	6.7	1.34	.62
1.84	101.34	.280E+03	7.7	1.38	.60
1.95	101.45	.313E+03	8.8	1.41	.59

<---- hydrograph ----> <-pipe / channel->

	AREA (ha)	QPEAK (cms)	TPEAK (hrs)	R.V. (mm)	MAX DEPTH (m)	MAX VEL (m/s)
INFLOW : ID= 2 (0009)	64.89	.59	10.67	51.72	.52	.69
OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (0010)	64.89	.59	10.67	51.72	.52	.69

 | ROUTE CHN (0011) |
IN= 2---> OUT= 1

Routing time step (min)'= 5.00

<----- DATA FOR SECTION (1.1) ----->

Distance	Elevation	Manning	
.00	101.50	.0500	
1.00	100.70	.0500	
1.50	100.55	.0500 / .0300	Main Channel
2.00	99.50	.0300	Main Channel
3.50	99.60	.0300	Main Channel
4.50	100.65	.0300 / .0500	Main Channel
6.00	101.45	.0500	

<----- TRAVEL TIME TABLE ----->

DEPTH (m)	ELEV (m)	VOLUME (cu.m.)	FLOW RATE (cms)	VELOCITY (m/s)	TRAV.TIME (min)
.10	99.60	.353E+01	.0	.19	4.37
.19	99.69	.112E+02	.1	.37	2.28
.29	99.79	.195E+02	.2	.49	1.70
.38	99.88	.285E+02	.3	.59	1.42
.48	99.98	.381E+02	.5	.67	1.25
.57	100.07	.484E+02	.7	.74	1.13
.67	100.17	.594E+02	.9	.80	1.04
.76	100.26	.710E+02	1.2	.86	.97
.86	100.36	.832E+02	1.5	.91	.92
.95	100.45	.961E+02	1.8	.96	.87
1.05	100.55	.110E+03	2.2	1.00	.83
1.16	100.66	.127E+03	2.7	1.07	.78
1.28	100.78	.148E+03	3.4	1.14	.73
1.39	100.89	.170E+03	4.1	1.20	.69
1.50	101.00	.195E+03	4.9	1.25	.67
1.61	101.11	.221E+03	5.8	1.30	.64
1.72	101.22	.250E+03	6.7	1.34	.62
1.84	101.34	.280E+03	7.7	1.38	.60
1.95	101.45	.313E+03	8.8	1.41	.59

<---- hydrograph ----> <-pipe / channel->

	AREA (ha)	QPEAK (cms)	TPEAK (hrs)	R.V. (mm)	MAX DEPTH (m)	MAX VEL (m/s)
INFLOW : ID= 2 (0009)	33.11	.06	10.67	51.72	.16	.28
OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (0011)	33.11	.06	10.75	51.71	.16	.28

 ** SIMULATION NUMBER: 4 **

 | CHICAGO STORM |
Ptotal= 79.77 mm

IDF curve parameters: A=1089.000
 B= 5.700
 C= .796
 used in: INTENSITY = A / (t + B)^C

Duration of storm = 24.00 hrs
 Storm time step = 5.00 min
 Time to peak ratio = .33

TIME hrs	RAIN mm/hr	TIME hrs	RAIN mm/hr	TIME hrs	RAIN mm/hr	TIME hrs	RAIN mm/hr
-------------	---------------	-------------	---------------	-------------	---------------	-------------	---------------

.08	.69	6.08	2.24	12.08	2.11	18.08	1.00
.17	.70	6.17	2.32	12.17	2.07	18.17	.99
.25	.71	6.25	2.42	12.25	2.04	18.25	.99
.33	.71	6.33	2.52	12.33	2.00	18.33	.98
.42	.72	6.42	2.63	12.42	1.97	18.42	.97
.50	.73	6.50	2.76	12.50	1.94	18.50	.97
.58	.73	6.58	2.90	12.58	1.91	18.58	.96
.67	.74	6.67	3.06	12.67	1.88	18.67	.96
.75	.75	6.75	3.23	12.75	1.86	18.75	.95
.83	.75	6.83	3.43	12.83	1.83	18.83	.94
.92	.76	6.92	3.67	12.92	1.80	18.92	.94
1.00	.77	7.00	3.94	13.00	1.78	19.00	.93
1.08	.78	7.08	4.25	13.08	1.75	19.08	.93
1.17	.78	7.17	4.63	13.17	1.73	19.17	.92
1.25	.79	7.25	5.10	13.25	1.71	19.25	.92
1.33	.80	7.33	5.69	13.33	1.69	19.33	.91
1.42	.81	7.42	6.44	13.42	1.67	19.42	.90
1.50	.82	7.50	7.46	13.50	1.64	19.50	.90
1.58	.82	7.58	8.90	13.58	1.62	19.58	.89
1.67	.83	7.67	11.12	13.67	1.60	19.67	.89
1.75	.84	7.75	14.99	13.75	1.58	19.75	.88
1.83	.85	7.83	23.39	13.83	1.57	19.83	.88
1.92	.86	7.92	54.52	13.92	1.55	19.92	.87
2.00	.87	8.00	165.06	14.00	1.53	20.00	.87
2.08	.88	8.08	70.22	14.08	1.51	20.08	.86
2.17	.89	8.17	38.89	14.17	1.50	20.17	.86
2.25	.90	8.25	26.62	14.25	1.48	20.25	.85
2.33	.91	8.33	20.22	14.33	1.46	20.33	.85
2.42	.92	8.42	16.32	14.42	1.45	20.42	.84
2.50	.93	8.50	13.71	14.50	1.43	20.50	.84
2.58	.95	8.58	11.84	14.58	1.42	20.58	.84
2.67	.96	8.67	10.44	14.67	1.40	20.67	.83
2.75	.97	8.75	9.35	14.75	1.39	20.75	.83
2.83	.98	8.83	8.48	14.83	1.37	20.83	.82
2.92	1.00	8.92	7.76	14.92	1.36	20.92	.82
3.00	1.01	9.00	7.17	15.00	1.35	21.00	.81
3.08	1.02	9.08	6.67	15.08	1.33	21.08	.81
3.17	1.04	9.17	6.23	15.17	1.32	21.17	.81
3.25	1.05	9.25	5.86	15.25	1.31	21.25	.80
3.33	1.07	9.33	5.53	15.33	1.30	21.33	.80
3.42	1.08	9.42	5.24	15.42	1.29	21.42	.79
3.50	1.10	9.50	4.98	15.50	1.27	21.50	.79
3.58	1.12	9.58	4.75	15.58	1.26	21.58	.79
3.67	1.13	9.67	4.54	15.67	1.25	21.67	.78
3.75	1.15	9.75	4.35	15.75	1.24	21.75	.78
3.83	1.17	9.83	4.17	15.83	1.23	21.83	.77
3.92	1.19	9.92	4.01	15.92	1.22	21.92	.77
4.00	1.21	10.00	3.87	16.00	1.21	22.00	.77
4.08	1.23	10.08	3.73	16.08	1.20	22.08	.76
4.17	1.26	10.17	3.61	16.17	1.19	22.17	.76
4.25	1.28	10.25	3.49	16.25	1.18	22.25	.76
4.33	1.30	10.33	3.38	16.33	1.17	22.33	.75
4.42	1.33	10.42	3.28	16.42	1.16	22.42	.75
4.50	1.35	10.50	3.19	16.50	1.15	22.50	.75
4.58	1.38	10.58	3.10	16.58	1.14	22.58	.74
4.67	1.41	10.67	3.02	16.67	1.13	22.67	.74
4.75	1.44	10.75	2.94	16.75	1.12	22.75	.73
4.83	1.47	10.83	2.87	16.83	1.11	22.83	.73
4.92	1.50	10.92	2.80	16.92	1.11	22.92	.73
5.00	1.54	11.00	2.73	17.00	1.10	23.00	.73
5.08	1.57	11.08	2.67	17.08	1.09	23.08	.72
5.17	1.61	11.17	2.61	17.17	1.08	23.17	.72
5.25	1.65	11.25	2.55	17.25	1.07	23.25	.72
5.33	1.70	11.33	2.50	17.33	1.07	23.33	.71
5.42	1.74	11.42	2.45	17.42	1.06	23.42	.71
5.50	1.79	11.50	2.40	17.50	1.05	23.50	.71
5.58	1.84	11.58	2.35	17.58	1.04	23.58	.70
5.67	1.90	11.67	2.30	17.67	1.04	23.67	.70
5.75	1.95	11.75	2.26	17.75	1.03	23.75	.70
5.83	2.02	11.83	2.22	17.83	1.02	23.83	.69
5.92	2.09	11.92	2.18	17.92	1.01	23.92	.69
6.00	2.16	12.00	2.14	18.00	1.01	24.00	.69

CALIB			
STANDHYD (0007)			
ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min			
Area (ha)=	98.00	Dir. Conn.(%)=	75.00
Total Imp(%)=	75.00		
IMPERVIOUS			
Surface Area (ha)=	73.50	PERVIOUS (i)	24.50
Dep. Storage (mm)=	1.00		1.50
Average Slope (%)=	1.00		2.00
Length (m)=	808.30		40.00
Mannings n =	.013		.250
Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)=	117.64		55.12
Storage Coeff. (min)=	8.39 (ii)		12.11 (ii)
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)=	10.00		15.00
Unit Hyd. peak (cms)=	.12		.09
TOTALS			
PEAK FLOW (cms)=	18.10	2.11	19.595 (iii)
TIME TO PEAK (hrs)=	8.08	8.17	8.08
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)=	78.77	38.65	68.74
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)=	79.77	79.77	79.77
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT =	.99	.48	.86

(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:
CN* = 76.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)
(ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL
THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

RESERVOIR (0008)				
IN= 2--> OUT= 1				
DT= 5.0 min				
OUTFLOW (cms)	STORAGE (ha.m.)	OUTFLOW (cms)	STORAGE (ha.m.)	
.0000	.0000	2.1120	4.6620	
.0540	1.8330	2.6080	4.8403	
.0830	2.1918	3.1850	5.0179	
.7150	3.9693	3.4370	5.0893	
.9400	4.1375	3.8330	5.1969	
1.0000	4.1718	4.5380	5.3784	
1.0620	4.2062	5.2940	5.5624	
1.2690	4.3095	6.0970	5.7551	
AREA (ha)	QPEAK (cms)	TPEAK (hrs)	R.V. (mm)	
INFLOW : ID= 2 (0007)	98.00	19.60	8.08	68.74
OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (0008)	98.00	1.24	9.67	63.29

PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 6.33
TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 95.00
MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 4.2957

DIVERT HYD (0009)		
IN= 1 # OUT= 2		
Outflow / Inflow Relationships		
Flow 1 + Flow 2 = Total		
(cms) (cms) (cms)		
.00 .00 .00		
.01 .04 .05		
.04 .05 .08		
.65 .06 .71		
.74 .20 .94		
.76 .24 1.00		
.78 .29 1.06		
.82 .45 1.27		
.96 1.15 2.11		
1.02 1.59 2.61		
1.12 2.06 3.18		

1.17	2.27	3.44
1.25	2.58	3.83
1.39	3.14	4.54
1.55	3.74	5.29
1.73	4.37	6.10

	AREA (ha)	QPEAK (cms)	TPEAK (hrs)	R.V. (mm)
TOTAL HYD. (ID= 1):	98.00	1.24	9.67	63.29

ID= 2 (9) :	65.71	.82	9.67	63.29
ID= 3 (9) :	32.29	.42	9.67	63.29

ROUTE CHN (0010)
IN= 2----> OUT= 1 | Routing time step (min)'= 5.00

<----- DATA FOR SECTION (1.1) ----->

Distance	Elevation	Manning
.00	101.50	.0500
1.00	100.70	.0500
1.50	100.55	.0500 / .0300
2.00	99.50	.0300
3.50	99.60	.0300
4.50	100.65	.0300 / .0500
6.00	101.45	.0500

<----- TRAVEL TIME TABLE ----->

DEPTH (m)	ELEV (m)	VOLUME (cu.m.)	FLOW RATE (cms)	VELOCITY (m/s)	TRAV.TIME (min)
.10	99.60	.353E+01	.0	.19	4.37
.19	99.69	.112E+02	.1	.37	2.28
.29	99.79	.195E+02	.2	.49	1.70
.38	99.88	.285E+02	.3	.59	1.42
.48	99.98	.381E+02	.5	.67	1.25
.57	100.07	.484E+02	.7	.74	1.13
.67	100.17	.594E+02	.9	.80	1.04
.76	100.26	.710E+02	1.2	.86	.97
.86	100.36	.832E+02	1.5	.91	.92
.95	100.45	.961E+02	1.8	.96	.87
1.05	100.55	.110E+03	2.2	1.00	.83
1.16	100.66	.127E+03	2.7	1.07	.78
1.28	100.78	.148E+03	3.4	1.14	.73
1.39	100.89	.170E+03	4.1	1.20	.69
1.50	101.00	.195E+03	4.9	1.25	.67
1.61	101.11	.221E+03	5.8	1.30	.64
1.72	101.22	.250E+03	6.7	1.34	.62
1.84	101.34	.280E+03	7.7	1.38	.60
1.95	101.45	.313E+03	8.8	1.41	.59

<----- hydrograph -----> <-pipe / channel->

	AREA (ha)	QPEAK (cms)	TPEAK (hrs)	R.V. (mm)	MAX DEPTH (m)	MAX VEL (m/s)
INFLOW : ID= 2 (0009)	65.71	.82	9.67	63.29	.61	.76
OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (0010)	65.71	.82	9.58	63.29	.61	.76

ROUTE CHN (0011)
IN= 2----> OUT= 1 | Routing time step (min)'= 5.00

<----- DATA FOR SECTION (1.1) ----->

Distance	Elevation	Manning
.00	101.50	.0500
1.00	100.70	.0500
1.50	100.55	.0500 / .0300
2.00	99.50	.0300
3.50	99.60	.0300
4.50	100.65	.0300 / .0500
6.00	101.45	.0500

<----- TRAVEL TIME TABLE ----->

DEPTH (m)	ELEV (m)	VOLUME (cu.m.)	FLOW RATE (cms)	VELOCITY (m/s)	TRAV.TIME (min)
.10	99.60	.353E+01	.0	.19	4.37
.19	99.69	.112E+02	.1	.37	2.28
.29	99.79	.195E+02	.2	.49	1.70
.38	99.88	.285E+02	.3	.59	1.42
.48	99.98	.381E+02	.5	.67	1.25
.57	100.07	.484E+02	.7	.74	1.13
.67	100.17	.594E+02	.9	.80	1.04
.76	100.26	.710E+02	1.2	.86	.97
.86	100.36	.832E+02	1.5	.91	.92
.95	100.45	.961E+02	1.8	.96	.87
1.05	100.55	.110E+03	2.2	1.00	.83
1.16	100.66	.127E+03	2.7	1.07	.78
1.28	100.78	.148E+03	3.4	1.14	.73
1.39	100.89	.170E+03	4.1	1.20	.69
1.50	101.00	.195E+03	4.9	1.25	.67
1.61	101.11	.221E+03	5.8	1.30	.64
1.72	101.22	.250E+03	6.7	1.34	.62
1.84	101.34	.280E+03	7.7	1.38	.60
1.95	101.45	.313E+03	8.8	1.41	.59

.10	99.60	.353E+01	.0	.19	4.37
.19	99.69	.112E+02	.1	.37	2.28
.29	99.79	.195E+02	.2	.49	1.70
.38	99.88	.285E+02	.3	.59	1.42
.48	99.98	.381E+02	.5	.67	1.25
.57	100.07	.484E+02	.7	.74	1.13
.67	100.17	.594E+02	.9	.80	1.04
.76	100.26	.710E+02	1.2	.86	.97
.86	100.36	.832E+02	1.5	.91	.92
.95	100.45	.961E+02	1.8	.96	.87
1.05	100.55	.110E+03	2.2	1.00	.83
1.16	100.66	.127E+03	2.7	1.07	.78
1.28	100.78	.148E+03	3.4	1.14	.73
1.39	100.89	.170E+03	4.1	1.20	.69
1.50	101.00	.195E+03	4.9	1.25	.67
1.61	101.11	.221E+03	5.8	1.30	.64
1.72	101.22	.250E+03	6.7	1.34	.62
1.84	101.34	.280E+03	7.7	1.38	.60
1.95	101.45	.313E+03	8.8	1.41	.59

<----- hydrograph -----> <-pipe / channel->

	AREA (ha)	QPEAK (cms)	TPEAK (hrs)	R.V. (mm)	MAX DEPTH (m)	MAX VEL (m/s)
INFLOW : ID= 2 (0009)	32.29	.42	9.67	63.29	.43	.62
OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (0011)	32.29	.42	9.75	63.28	.43	.62

** SIMULATION NUMBER: 5 **

CHICAGO STORM
Ptotal= 97.22 mm

IDF curve parameters: A=1234.000
B= 5.500
C= .786
used in: INTENSITY = A / (t + B)^C
Duration of storm = 24.00 hrs
Storm time step = 5.00 min
Time to peak ratio = .33

TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN
hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr
.08	.89	6.08	2.81	12.08	2.64	18.08	1.27
.17	.89	6.17	2.91	12.17	2.60	18.17	1.26
.25	.90	6.25	3.03	12.25	2.56	18.25	1.25
.33	.91	6.33	3.16	12.33	2.52	18.33	1.25
.42	.92	6.42	3.29	12.42	2.48	18.42	1.24
.50	.93	6.50	3.45	12.50	2.44	18.50	1.23
.58	.93	6.58	3.62	12.58	2.40	18.58	1.22
.67	.94	6.67	3.81	12.67	2.37	18.67	1.21
.75	.95	6.75	4.03	12.75	2.34	18.75	1.21
.83	.96	6.83	4.27	12.83	2.30	18.83	1.20
.92	.97	6.92	4.56	12.92	2.27	18.92	1.19
1.00	.98	7.00	4.88	13.00	2.24	19.00	1.19
1.08	.99	7.08	5.27	13.08	2.21	19.08	1.18
1.17	1.00	7.17	5.73	13.17	2.18	19.17	1.17
1.25	1.01	7.25	6.30	13.25	2.15	19.25	1.16
1.33	1.02	7.33	7.00	13.33	2.12	19.33	1.16
1.42	1.03	7.42	7.91	13.42	2.10	19.42	1.15
1.50	1.04	7.50	9.13	13.50	2.07	19.50	1.14
1.58	1.05	7.58	10.85	13.58	2.05	19.58	1.14
1.67	1.06	7.67	13.50	13.67	2.02	19.67	1.13
1.75	1.07	7.75	18.07	13.75	2.00	19.75	1.12
1.83	1.08	7.83	27.92	13.83	1.98	19.83	1.12
1.92	1.09	7.92	64.21	13.92	1.95	19.92	1.11
2.00	1.11	8.00	194.38	14.00	1.93	20.00	1.11
2.08	1.12	8.08	82.51	14.08	1.91	20.08	1.10
2.17	1.13	8.17	46.00	14.17	1.89	20.17	1.09
2.25	1.15	8.25	31.70	14.25	1.87	20.25	1.09
2.33	1.16	8.33	24.20	14.33	1.85	20.33	1.08
2.42	1.17	8.42	19.63	14.42	1.83	20.42	1.08
2.50	1.19	8.50	16.55	14.50	1.81	20.50	1.07
2.58	1.20	8.58	14.34	14.58	1.79	20.58	1.06

2.67	1.22	8.67	12.68	14.67	1.77	20.67	1.06
2.75	1.23	8.75	11.39	14.75	1.76	20.75	1.05
2.83	1.25	8.83	10.35	14.83	1.74	20.83	1.05
2.92	1.26	8.92	9.50	14.92	1.72	20.92	1.04
3.00	1.28	9.00	8.79	15.00	1.70	21.00	1.04
3.08	1.30	9.08	8.18	15.08	1.69	21.08	1.03
3.17	1.32	9.17	7.66	15.17	1.67	21.17	1.03
3.25	1.34	9.25	7.21	15.25	1.66	21.25	1.02
3.33	1.36	9.33	6.81	15.33	1.64	21.33	1.02
3.42	1.37	9.42	6.46	15.42	1.63	21.42	1.01
3.50	1.40	9.50	6.15	15.50	1.61	21.50	1.01
3.58	1.42	9.58	5.87	15.58	1.60	21.58	1.00
3.67	1.44	9.67	5.61	15.67	1.58	21.67	1.00
3.75	1.46	9.75	5.38	15.75	1.57	21.75	.99
3.83	1.48	9.83	5.17	15.83	1.56	21.83	.99
3.92	1.51	9.92	4.98	15.92	1.54	21.92	.98
4.00	1.53	10.00	4.80	16.00	1.53	22.00	.98
4.08	1.56	10.08	4.64	16.08	1.52	22.08	.97
4.17	1.59	10.17	4.49	16.17	1.51	22.17	.97
4.25	1.62	10.25	4.34	16.25	1.49	22.25	.96
4.33	1.65	10.33	4.21	16.33	1.48	22.33	.96
4.42	1.68	10.42	4.09	16.42	1.47	22.42	.95
4.50	1.71	10.50	3.97	16.50	1.46	22.50	.95
4.58	1.74	10.58	3.87	16.58	1.45	22.58	.95
4.67	1.78	10.67	3.77	16.67	1.43	22.67	.94
4.75	1.82	10.75	3.67	16.75	1.42	22.75	.94
4.83	1.86	10.83	3.58	16.83	1.41	22.83	.93
4.92	1.90	10.92	3.49	16.92	1.40	22.92	.93
5.00	1.94	11.00	3.41	17.00	1.39	23.00	.93
5.08	1.98	11.08	3.34	17.08	1.38	23.08	.92
5.17	2.03	11.17	3.26	17.17	1.37	23.17	.92
5.25	2.08	11.25	3.19	17.25	1.36	23.25	.91
5.33	2.14	11.33	3.13	17.33	1.35	23.33	.91
5.42	2.19	11.42	3.06	17.42	1.34	23.42	.91
5.50	2.25	11.50	3.00	17.50	1.33	23.50	.90
5.58	2.32	11.58	2.94	17.58	1.32	23.58	.90
5.67	2.38	11.67	2.89	17.67	1.31	23.67	.89
5.75	2.46	11.75	2.84	17.75	1.31	23.75	.89
5.83	2.54	11.83	2.79	17.83	1.30	23.83	.89
5.92	2.62	11.92	2.74	17.92	1.29	23.92	.88
6.00	2.71	12.00	2.69	18.00	1.28	24.00	.88

 CALIB
 STANDHYD (0007) Area (ha)= 98.00
 ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min Total Imp(%)= 75.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 75.00

	IMPERVIOUS	PERVIOUS (i)
Surface Area (ha)=	73.50	24.50
Dep. Storage (mm)=	1.00	1.50
Average Slope (%)=	1.00	2.00
Length (m)=	808.30	40.00
Mannings n =	.013	.250
Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)=	138.45	72.95
over (min)	10.00	15.00
Storage Coeff. (min)=	7.86 (ii)	11.35 (ii)
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)=	10.00	15.00
Unit Hyd. peak (cms)=	.13	.09
PEAK FLOW (cms)=	21.94	2.90
TIME TO PEAK (hrs)=	8.08	8.17
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)=	96.22	52.08
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)=	97.22	97.22
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT =	.99	.54

TOTALS
 24.033 (iii)
 8.08
 85.18
 97.22
 .88

- (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:
 CN* = 76.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)
 (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL
 THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
 (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

 RESERVOIR (0008)
 IN= 2---> OUT= 1
 DT= 5.0 min

	OUTFLOW (cms)	STORAGE (ha.m.)	OUTFLOW (cms)	STORAGE (ha.m.)
	.0000	.0000	2.1120	4.6620
	.0540	1.8330	2.6080	4.8403
	.0830	2.1918	3.1850	5.0179
	.7150	3.9693	3.4370	5.0893
	.9400	4.1375	3.8330	5.1969
	1.0000	4.1718	4.5380	5.3784
	1.0620	4.2062	5.2940	5.5624
	1.2690	4.3095	6.0970	5.7551

	AREA (ha)	QPEAK (cms)	TPEAK (hrs)	R.V. (mm)
INFLOW : ID= 2 (0007)	98.00	24.03	8.08	85.18
OUTFLOW : ID= 1 (0008)	98.00	2.59	9.08	79.66

PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 10.78
 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 60.00
 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 4.8357

 DIVERT HYD (0009)
 IN= 1 # OUT= 2

Outflow / Inflow Relationships

Flow 1 (cms)	Flow 2 (cms)	Total (cms)
.00	.00	.00
.01	.04	.05
.04	.05	.08
.65	.06	.71
.74	.20	.94
.76	.24	1.00
.78	.29	1.06
.82	.45	1.27
.96	1.15	2.11
1.02	1.59	2.61
1.12	2.06	3.18
1.17	2.27	3.44
1.25	2.58	3.83
1.39	3.14	4.54
1.55	3.74	5.29
1.73	4.37	6.10

	AREA (ha)	QPEAK (cms)	TPEAK (hrs)	R.V. (mm)
TOTAL HYD.(ID= 1):	98.00	2.59	9.08	79.66

 ID= 2 (9) : 60.19 1.02 9.08 79.66
 ID= 3 (9) : 37.81 1.57 9.08 79.66

 ROUTE CHN (0010)
 IN= 2---> OUT= 1

Routing time step (min)'= 5.00

<----- DATA FOR SECTION (1.1) ----->

Distance	Elevation	Manning	
.00	101.50	.0500	
1.00	100.70	.0500	
1.50	100.55	.0500 / .0300	Main Channel
2.00	99.50	.0300	Main Channel
3.50	99.60	.0300	Main Channel
4.50	100.65	.0300 / .0500	Main Channel
6.00	101.45	.0500	

<----- TRAVEL TIME TABLE ----->

DEPTH (m)	ELEV (m)	VOLUME (cu.m.)	FLOW RATE (cms)	VELOCITY (m/s)	TRAV.TIME (min)
.10	99.60	.353E+01	.0	.19	4.37

.19	99.69	.112E+02	.1	.37	2.28
.29	99.79	.195E+02	.2	.49	1.70
.38	99.88	.285E+02	.3	.59	1.42
.48	99.98	.381E+02	.5	.67	1.25
.57	100.07	.484E+02	.7	.74	1.13
.67	100.17	.594E+02	.9	.80	1.04
.76	100.26	.710E+02	1.2	.86	.97
.86	100.36	.832E+02	1.5	.91	.92
.95	100.45	.961E+02	1.8	.96	.87
1.05	100.55	.110E+03	2.2	1.00	.83
1.16	100.66	.127E+03	2.7	1.07	.78
1.28	100.78	.148E+03	3.4	1.14	.73
1.39	100.89	.170E+03	4.1	1.20	.69
1.50	101.00	.195E+03	4.9	1.25	.67
1.61	101.11	.221E+03	5.8	1.30	.64
1.72	101.22	.250E+03	6.7	1.34	.62
1.84	101.34	.280E+03	7.7	1.38	.60
1.95	101.45	.313E+03	8.8	1.41	.59

<---- hydrograph ---->				<-pipe / channel-->		
AREA	QPEAK	TPEAK	R.V.	MAX DEPTH	MAX VEL	
(ha)	(cms)	(hrs)	(mm)	(m)	(m/s)	
INFLOW: ID= 2 (0009)	60.19	1.02	9.08	79.66	.69	.81
OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (0010)	60.19	1.02	9.08	79.66	.69	.81

ROUTE CHN (0011)
 IN= 2----> OUT= 1
 Routing time step (min)'= 5.00

<----- DATA FOR SECTION (1.1) ----->			
Distance	Elevation	Manning	
.00	101.50	.0500	
1.00	100.70	.0500	
1.50	100.55	.0500 / .0300	Main Channel
2.00	99.50	.0300	Main Channel
3.50	99.60	.0300	Main Channel
4.50	100.65	.0300 / .0500	Main Channel
6.00	101.45	.0500	

<----- TRAVEL TIME TABLE ----->					
DEPTH	ELEV	VOLUME	FLOW RATE	VELOCITY	TRAV.TIME
(m)	(m)	(cu.m.)	(cms)	(m/s)	(min)
.10	99.60	.35E+01	.0	.19	4.37
.19	99.69	.112E+02	.1	.37	2.28
.29	99.79	.195E+02	.2	.49	1.70
.38	99.88	.285E+02	.3	.59	1.42
.48	99.98	.381E+02	.5	.67	1.25
.57	100.07	.484E+02	.7	.74	1.13
.67	100.17	.594E+02	.9	.80	1.04
.76	100.26	.710E+02	1.2	.86	.97
.86	100.36	.832E+02	1.5	.91	.92
.95	100.45	.961E+02	1.8	.96	.87
1.05	100.55	.110E+03	2.2	1.00	.83
1.16	100.66	.127E+03	2.7	1.07	.78
1.28	100.78	.148E+03	3.4	1.14	.73
1.39	100.89	.170E+03	4.1	1.20	.69
1.50	101.00	.195E+03	4.9	1.25	.67
1.61	101.11	.221E+03	5.8	1.30	.64
1.72	101.22	.250E+03	6.7	1.34	.62
1.84	101.34	.280E+03	7.7	1.38	.60
1.95	101.45	.313E+03	8.8	1.41	.59

<---- hydrograph ---->				<-pipe / channel-->		
AREA	QPEAK	TPEAK	R.V.	MAX DEPTH	MAX VEL	
(ha)	(cms)	(hrs)	(mm)	(m)	(m/s)	
INFLOW: ID= 2 (0009)	37.81	1.57	9.08	79.66	.88	.92
OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (0011)	37.81	1.56	9.08	79.66	.88	.92

 ** SIMULATION NUMBER: 6 **

CHICAGO STORM
 Ptotal=109.69 mm

IDF curve parameters: A=1323.000
 B= 5.300
 C= .779

used in: INTENSITY = A / (t + B)^C

Duration of storm = 24.00 hrs
 Storm time step = 5.00 min
 Time to peak ratio = .33

TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN
hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr
.08	1.03	6.08	3.23	12.08	3.04	18.08	1.47
.17	1.04	6.17	3.35	12.17	2.99	18.17	1.46
.25	1.05	6.25	3.48	12.25	2.94	18.25	1.45
.33	1.06	6.33	3.62	12.33	2.90	18.33	1.45
.42	1.07	6.42	3.78	12.42	2.85	18.42	1.44
.50	1.08	6.50	3.95	12.50	2.81	18.50	1.43
.58	1.09	6.58	4.15	12.58	2.77	18.58	1.42
.67	1.10	6.67	4.36	12.67	2.73	18.67	1.41
.75	1.11	6.75	4.61	12.75	2.69	18.75	1.40
.83	1.12	6.83	4.88	12.83	2.65	18.83	1.39
.92	1.13	6.92	5.20	12.92	2.62	18.92	1.38
1.00	1.14	7.00	5.57	13.00	2.58	19.00	1.38
1.08	1.15	7.08	6.01	13.08	2.55	19.08	1.37
1.17	1.16	7.17	6.52	13.17	2.51	19.17	1.36
1.25	1.17	7.25	7.16	13.25	2.48	19.25	1.35
1.33	1.18	7.33	7.95	13.33	2.45	19.33	1.34
1.42	1.19	7.42	8.96	13.42	2.42	19.42	1.34
1.50	1.21	7.50	10.31	13.50	2.39	19.50	1.33
1.58	1.22	7.58	12.23	13.58	2.36	19.58	1.32
1.67	1.23	7.67	15.15	13.67	2.33	19.67	1.31
1.75	1.24	7.75	20.18	13.75	2.31	19.75	1.31
1.83	1.24	7.83	30.97	13.83	2.28	19.83	1.30
1.92	1.27	7.92	70.67	13.92	2.25	19.92	1.29
2.00	1.29	8.00	215.06	14.00	2.23	20.00	1.28
2.08	1.30	8.08	90.70	14.08	2.20	20.08	1.28
2.17	1.31	8.17	50.73	14.17	2.18	20.17	1.27
2.25	1.33	8.25	35.11	14.25	2.16	20.25	1.26
2.33	1.35	8.33	26.91	14.33	2.13	20.33	1.26
2.42	1.36	8.42	21.89	14.42	2.11	20.42	1.25
2.50	1.38	8.50	18.51	14.50	2.09	20.50	1.24
2.58	1.39	8.58	16.08	14.58	2.07	20.58	1.24
2.67	1.41	8.67	14.25	14.67	2.05	20.67	1.23
2.75	1.43	8.75	12.82	14.75	2.03	20.75	1.22
2.83	1.45	8.83	11.67	14.83	2.01	20.83	1.22
2.92	1.47	8.92	10.72	14.92	1.99	20.92	1.21
3.00	1.49	9.00	9.93	15.00	1.97	21.00	1.20
3.08	1.51	9.08	9.26	15.08	1.95	21.08	1.20
3.17	1.53	9.17	8.68	15.17	1.93	21.17	1.19
3.25	1.55	9.25	8.18	15.25	1.92	21.25	1.19
3.33	1.57	9.33	7.73	15.33	1.90	21.33	1.18
3.42	1.59	9.42	7.34	15.42	1.88	21.42	1.18
3.50	1.62	9.50	6.99	15.50	1.86	21.50	1.17
3.58	1.64	9.58	6.68	15.58	1.85	21.58	1.16
3.67	1.67	9.67	6.39	15.67	1.83	21.67	1.16
3.75	1.69	9.75	6.13	15.75	1.82	21.75	1.15
3.83	1.72	9.83	5.90	15.83	1.80	21.83	1.15
3.92	1.75	9.92	5.68	15.92	1.79	21.92	1.14
4.00	1.78	10.00	5.48	16.00	1.77	22.00	1.14
4.08	1.81	10.08	5.29	16.08	1.76	22.08	1.13
4.17	1.84	10.17	5.12	16.17	1.74	22.17	1.13
4.25	1.87	10.25	4.96	16.25	1.73	22.25	1.12
4.33	1.90	10.33	4.82	16.33	1.71	22.33	1.12
4.42	1.94	10.42	4.68	16.42	1.70	22.42	1.11
4.50	1.98	10.50	4.55	16.50	1.69	22.50	1.11
4.58	2.02	10.58	4.43	16.58	1.67	22.58	1.10
4.67	2.06	10.67	4.31	16.67	1.66	22.67	1.10
4.75	2.10	10.75	4.20	16.75	1.65	22.75	1.09
4.83	2.14	10.83	4.10	16.83	1.64	22.83	1.09
4.92	2.19	10.92	4.00	16.92	1.62	22.92	1.08
5.00	2.24	11.00	3.91	17.00	1.61	23.00	1.08
5.08	2.29	11.08	3.82	17.08	1.60	23.08	1.07
5.17	2.34	11.17	3.74	17.17	1.59	23.17	1.07

5.25	2.40	11.25	3.66	17.25	1.58	23.25	1.06
5.33	2.46	11.33	3.59	17.33	1.57	23.33	1.06
5.42	2.53	11.42	3.52	17.42	1.56	23.42	1.05
5.50	2.60	11.50	3.45	17.50	1.54	23.50	1.05
5.58	2.67	11.58	3.38	17.58	1.53	23.58	1.04
5.67	2.75	11.67	3.32	17.67	1.52	23.67	1.04
5.75	2.83	11.75	3.26	17.75	1.51	23.75	1.04
5.83	2.92	11.83	3.20	17.83	1.50	23.83	1.03
5.92	3.01	11.92	3.15	17.92	1.49	23.92	1.03
6.00	3.12	12.00	3.09	18.00	1.48	24.00	1.02

 CALIB
 STANDHYD (0007) Area (ha)= 98.00
 ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min Total Imp(%)= 75.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 75.00

	IMPERVIOUS	PERVIOUS (i)	
Surface Area (ha)=	73.50	24.50	
Dep. Storage (mm)=	1.00	1.50	
Average Slope (%)=	1.00	2.00	
Length (m)=	808.30	40.00	
Mannings n =	.013	.250	
Max. Eff. Inten. (mm/hr)=	152.88	86.02	
over (min)	10.00	15.00	
Storage Coeff. (min)=	7.55 (ii)	10.90 (ii)	
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)=	10.00	15.00	
Unit Hyd. peak (cms)=	.13	.09	
		TOTALS	
PEAK FLOW (cms)=	24.65	3.51	27.198 (iii)
TIME TO PEAK (hrs)=	8.08	8.17	8.08
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)=	108.69	62.13	97.05
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)=	109.69	109.69	109.69
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT =	.99	.57	.88

- (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:
CN* = 76.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)
- (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
- (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

 RESERVOIR (0008)
 IN= 2---> OUT= 1
 DT= 5.0 min

	OUTFLOW	STORAGE	OUTFLOW	STORAGE	
	(cms)	(ha.m.)	(cms)	(ha.m.)	
	.0000	.0000	2.1120	4.6620	
	.0540	1.8330	2.6080	4.8403	
	.0830	2.1918	3.1850	5.0179	
	.7150	3.9693	3.4370	5.0893	
	.9400	4.1375	3.8330	5.1969	
	1.0000	4.1718	4.5380	5.3784	
	1.0620	4.2062	5.2940	5.5624	
	1.2690	4.3095	6.0970	5.7551	
		AREA	QPEAK	TPEAK	R.V.
		(ha)	(cms)	(hrs)	(mm)
INFLOW : ID= 2 (0007)	98.00	27.20	8.08	97.05	
OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (0008)	98.00	3.84	8.83	91.49	

PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 14.13
 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 45.00
 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 5.2005

 DIVERT HYD (0009)
 IN= 1 # OUT= 2

Outflow / Inflow Relationships

Flow 1 + Flow 2 = Total
 (cms) (cms) (cms)

.00	.00	.00
.01	.04	.05
.04	.05	.08
.65	.06	.71
.74	.20	.94
.76	.24	1.00
.78	.29	1.06
.82	.45	1.27
.96	1.15	2.11
1.02	1.59	2.61
1.12	2.06	3.18
1.17	2.27	3.44
1.25	2.58	3.83
1.39	3.14	4.54
1.55	3.74	5.29
1.73	4.37	6.10

	AREA	QPEAK	TPEAK	R.V.
	(ha)	(cms)	(hrs)	(mm)
TOTAL HYD. (ID= 1):	98.00	3.84	8.83	91.49
ID= 2 (9) :	56.84	1.25	8.83	91.49
ID= 3 (9) :	41.16	2.59	8.83	91.49

 ROUTE CHN (0010)
 IN= 2---> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 5.00

<----- DATA FOR SECTION (1.1) ----->

	Distance	Elevation	Manning	
	.00	101.50	.0500	
	1.00	100.70	.0500	
	1.50	100.55	.0500 / .0300	Main Channel
	2.00	99.50	.0300	Main Channel
	3.50	99.60	.0300	Main Channel
	4.50	100.65	.0300 / .0500	Main Channel
	6.00	101.45	.0500	

----- TRAVEL TIME TABLE ----->

DEPTH	ELEV	VOLUME	FLOW RATE	VELOCITY	TRAV. TIME
(m)	(m)	(cu.m.)	(cms)	(m/s)	(min)
.10	99.60	.353E+01	.0	.19	4.37
.19	99.69	.112E+02	.1	.37	2.28
.29	99.79	.195E+02	.2	.49	1.70
.38	99.88	.285E+02	.3	.59	1.42
.48	99.98	.381E+02	.5	.67	1.25
.57	100.07	.484E+02	.7	.74	1.13
.67	100.17	.594E+02	.9	.80	1.04
.76	100.26	.710E+02	1.2	.86	.97
.86	100.36	.832E+02	1.5	.91	.92
.95	100.45	.961E+02	1.8	.96	.87
1.05	100.55	.110E+03	2.2	1.00	.83
1.16	100.66	.127E+03	2.7	1.07	.78
1.28	100.78	.148E+03	3.4	1.14	.73
1.39	100.89	.170E+03	4.1	1.20	.69
1.50	101.00	.195E+03	4.9	1.25	.67
1.61	101.11	.221E+03	5.8	1.30	.64
1.72	101.22	.250E+03	6.7	1.34	.62
1.84	101.34	.280E+03	7.7	1.38	.60
1.95	101.45	.313E+03	8.8	1.41	.59

<----- hydrograph -----> <-pipe / channel->

	AREA	QPEAK	TPEAK	R.V.	MAX DEPTH	MAX VEL
	(ha)	(cms)	(hrs)	(mm)	(m)	(m/s)
INFLOW : ID= 2 (0009)	56.84	1.25	8.83	91.49	.78	.86
OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (0010)	56.84	1.25	8.83	91.48	.77	.86

 ROUTE CHN (0011)
 IN= 2---> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 5.00

<----- DATA FOR SECTION (1.1) ----->

Distance	Elevation	Manning	
.00	101.50	.0500	
1.00	100.70	.0500	
1.50	100.55	.0500 / .0300	Main Channel
2.00	99.50	.0300	Main Channel
3.50	99.60	.0300	Main Channel
4.50	100.65	.0300 / .0500	Main Channel
6.00	101.45	.0500	

----- TRAVEL TIME TABLE ----->

DEPTH (m)	ELEV (m)	VOLUME (cu.m.)	FLOW RATE (cms)	VELOCITY (m/s)	TRAV.TIME (min)
.10	99.60	.353E+01	.0	.19	4.37
.19	99.69	.112E+02	.1	.37	2.28
.29	99.79	.195E+02	.2	.49	1.70
.38	99.88	.285E+02	.3	.59	1.42
.48	99.98	.381E+02	.5	.67	1.25
.57	100.07	.484E+02	.7	.74	1.13
.67	100.17	.594E+02	.9	.80	1.04
.76	100.26	.710E+02	1.2	.86	.97
.86	100.36	.832E+02	1.5	.91	.92
.95	100.45	.961E+02	1.8	.96	.87
1.05	100.55	.110E+03	2.2	1.00	.83
1.16	100.66	.127E+03	2.7	1.07	.78
1.28	100.78	.148E+03	3.4	1.14	.73
1.39	100.89	.170E+03	4.1	1.20	.69
1.50	101.00	.195E+03	4.9	1.25	.67
1.61	101.11	.221E+03	5.8	1.30	.64
1.72	101.22	.250E+03	6.7	1.34	.62
1.84	101.34	.280E+03	7.7	1.38	.60
1.95	101.45	.313E+03	8.8	1.41	.59

<----- hydrograph -----> <-pipe / channel->

AREA (ha)	QPEAK (cms)	TPEAK (hrs)	R.V. (mm)	MAX DEPTH (m)	MAX VEL (m/s)
INFLOW : ID= 2 (0009)	41.16	2.59	8.83	91.49	1.14
OUTFLOW : ID= 1 (0011)	41.16	2.59	8.92	91.48	1.14

 ** SIMULATION NUMBER: 7 **

CHICAGO STORM
 Ptotal=122.49 mm

IDF curve parameters: A=1435.000
 B= 5.200
 C= .775

used in: INTENSITY = A / (t + B)^C

Duration of storm = 24.00 hrs
 Storm time step = 5.00 min
 Time to peak ratio = .33

TIME hrs	RAIN mm/hr	TIME hrs	RAIN mm/hr	TIME hrs	RAIN mm/hr	TIME hrs	RAIN mm/hr
.08	1.17	6.08	3.64	12.08	3.43	18.08	1.67
.17	1.18	6.17	3.78	12.17	3.38	18.17	1.66
.25	1.19	6.25	3.92	12.25	3.32	18.25	1.65
.33	1.20	6.33	4.08	12.33	3.27	18.33	1.64
.42	1.21	6.42	4.26	12.42	3.22	18.42	1.63
.50	1.22	6.50	4.45	12.50	3.17	18.50	1.62
.58	1.23	6.58	4.67	12.58	3.13	18.58	1.61
.67	1.25	6.67	4.91	12.67	3.08	18.67	1.60
.75	1.26	6.75	5.18	12.75	3.04	18.75	1.59
.83	1.27	6.83	5.49	12.83	3.00	18.83	1.58
.92	1.28	6.92	5.85	12.92	2.96	18.92	1.57
1.00	1.29	7.00	6.26	13.00	2.92	19.00	1.56
1.08	1.30	7.08	6.75	13.08	2.88	19.08	1.55
1.17	1.32	7.17	7.32	13.17	2.84	19.17	1.54
1.25	1.33	7.25	8.03	13.25	2.80	19.25	1.53
1.33	1.34	7.33	8.90	13.33	2.77	19.33	1.52
1.42	1.36	7.42	10.03	13.42	2.74	19.42	1.52
1.50	1.37	7.50	11.53	13.50	2.70	19.50	1.51

1.58	1.38	7.58	13.65	13.58	2.67	19.58	1.50
1.67	1.40	7.67	16.87	13.67	2.64	19.67	1.49
1.75	1.41	7.75	22.41	13.75	2.61	19.75	1.48
1.83	1.43	7.83	34.27	13.83	2.58	19.83	1.47
1.92	1.44	7.92	77.82	13.92	2.55	19.92	1.46
2.00	1.46	8.00	237.24	14.00	2.52	20.00	1.46
2.08	1.48	8.08	99.80	14.08	2.49	20.08	1.45
2.17	1.49	8.17	55.94	14.17	2.47	20.17	1.44
2.25	1.51	8.25	38.81	14.25	2.44	20.25	1.43
2.33	1.53	8.33	29.82	14.33	2.41	20.33	1.43
2.42	1.54	8.42	24.30	14.42	2.39	20.42	1.42
2.50	1.56	8.50	20.58	14.50	2.37	20.50	1.41
2.58	1.58	8.58	17.90	14.58	2.34	20.58	1.40
2.67	1.60	8.67	15.98	14.67	2.32	20.67	1.40
2.75	1.62	8.75	14.30	14.75	2.30	20.75	1.39
2.83	1.64	8.83	13.03	14.83	2.27	20.83	1.38
2.92	1.66	8.92	11.98	14.92	2.25	20.92	1.37
3.00	1.69	9.00	11.11	15.00	2.23	21.00	1.37
3.08	1.71	9.08	10.36	15.08	2.21	21.08	1.36
3.17	1.73	9.17	9.72	15.17	2.19	21.17	1.35
3.25	1.76	9.25	9.16	15.25	2.17	21.25	1.35
3.33	1.78	9.33	8.67	15.33	2.15	21.33	1.34
3.42	1.81	9.42	8.23	15.42	2.13	21.42	1.33
3.50	1.83	9.50	7.84	15.50	2.11	21.50	1.33
3.58	1.86	9.58	7.49	15.58	2.09	21.58	1.32
3.67	1.89	9.67	7.17	15.67	2.07	21.67	1.32
3.75	1.92	9.75	6.89	15.75	2.06	21.75	1.31
3.83	1.95	9.83	6.62	15.83	2.04	21.83	1.30
3.92	1.98	9.92	6.38	15.92	2.02	21.92	1.30
4.00	2.01	10.00	6.16	16.00	2.01	22.00	1.29
4.08	2.05	10.08	5.95	16.08	1.99	22.08	1.28
4.17	2.08	10.17	5.76	16.17	1.97	22.17	1.28
4.25	2.12	10.25	5.58	16.25	1.96	22.25	1.27
4.33	2.16	10.33	5.42	16.33	1.94	22.33	1.27
4.42	2.20	10.42	5.26	16.42	1.93	22.42	1.26
4.50	2.24	10.50	5.12	16.50	1.91	22.50	1.26
4.58	2.28	10.58	4.98	16.58	1.90	22.58	1.25
4.67	2.33	10.67	4.85	16.67	1.88	22.67	1.24
4.75	2.37	10.75	4.73	16.75	1.87	22.75	1.24
4.83	2.42	10.83	4.62	16.83	1.85	22.83	1.23
4.92	2.48	10.92	4.51	16.92	1.84	22.92	1.23
5.00	2.53	11.00	4.41	17.00	1.83	23.00	1.22
5.08	2.59	11.08	4.31	17.08	1.81	23.08	1.22
5.17	2.65	11.17	4.22	17.17	1.80	23.17	1.21
5.25	2.72	11.25	4.13	17.25	1.79	23.25	1.21
5.33	2.78	11.33	4.05	17.33	1.78	23.33	1.20
5.42	2.86	11.42	3.97	17.42	1.76	23.42	1.20
5.50	2.93	11.50	3.89	17.50	1.75	23.50	1.19
5.58	3.01	11.58	3.81	17.58	1.74	23.58	1.19
5.67	3.10	11.67	3.74	17.67	1.73	23.67	1.18
5.75	3.19	11.75	3.68	17.75	1.72	23.75	1.18
5.83	3.29	11.83	3.61	17.83	1.70	23.83	1.17
5.92	3.40	11.92	3.55	17.92	1.69	23.92	1.17
6.00	3.52	12.00	3.49	18.00	1.68	24.00	1.16

CALIB
 STANDHYD (0007)
 ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min

Area (ha)= 98.00
 Total Imp(%)= 75.00
 Dir. Conn.(%)= 75.00

	IMPERVIOUS	PERVIOUS (i)
Surface Area (ha)=	73.50	24.50
Dep. Storage (mm)=	1.00	1.50
Average Slope (%)=	1.00	2.00
Length (m)=	808.30	40.00
Mannings n =	.013	.250
Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)=	237.24	100.36
over (min)=	5.00	10.00
Storage Coeff. (min)=	6.34 (ii)	9.56 (ii)
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)=	5.00	10.00
Unit Hyd. peak (cms)=	.19	.12

TOTALS

PEAK FLOW (cms) = 31.52 4.55 34.236 (iii)
 TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 8.00 8.08 8.00
 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 121.49 72.76 109.31
 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 122.49 122.49 122.49
 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .59 .89

- (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:
 CN* = 76.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)
- (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL
 THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
- (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

RESERVOIR (0008)
 IN= 2---> OUT= 1
 DT= 5.0 min

OUTFLOW (cms)	STORAGE (ha.m.)	OUTFLOW (cms)	STORAGE (ha.m.)
.0000	.0000	2.1120	4.6620
.0540	1.8330	2.6080	4.8403
.0830	2.1918	3.1850	5.0179
.7150	3.9693	3.4370	5.0893
.9400	4.1375	3.8330	5.1969
1.0000	4.1718	4.5380	5.3784
1.0620	4.2062	5.2940	5.5624
1.2690	4.3095	6.0970	5.7551

	AREA (ha)	QPEAK (cms)	TPEAK (hrs)	R.V. (mm)
INFLOW : ID= 2 (0007)	98.00	34.24	8.00	109.31
OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (0008)	98.00	5.35	8.67	103.72

PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%) = 15.62
 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min) = 40.00
 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.) = 5.5805

DIVERT HYD (0009)
 IN= 1 # OUT= 2

Outflow / Inflow Relationships

Flow 1 (cms)	Flow 2 (cms)	Total (cms)
.00	.00	.00
.01	.04	.05
.04	.05	.08
.65	.06	.71
.74	.20	.94
.76	.24	1.00
.78	.29	1.06
.82	.45	1.27
.96	1.15	2.11
1.02	1.59	2.61
1.12	2.06	3.18
1.17	2.27	3.44
1.25	2.58	3.83
1.39	3.14	4.54
1.55	3.74	5.29
1.73	4.37	6.10

	AREA (ha)	QPEAK (cms)	TPEAK (hrs)	R.V. (mm)
TOTAL HYD. (ID= 1):	98.00	5.35	8.67	103.72
ID= 2 (9) :	54.01	1.57	8.67	103.72
ID= 3 (9) :	43.99	3.78	8.67	103.72

ROUTE CHN (0010)
 IN= 2---> OUT= 1

Routing time step (min) = 5.00

<----- DATA FOR SECTION (1.1) ----->

Distance	Elevation	Manning	
.00	101.50	.0500	
1.00	100.70	.0500	
1.50	100.55	.0500 / .0300	Main Channel
2.00	99.50	.0300	Main Channel
3.50	99.60	.0300	Main Channel
4.50	100.65	.0300 / .0500	Main Channel
6.00	101.45	.0500	

<----- TRAVEL TIME TABLE ----->

DEPTH (m)	ELEV (m)	VOLUME (cu.m.)	FLOW RATE (cms)	VELOCITY (m/s)	TRAV.TIME (min)
.10	99.60	.353E+01	.0	.19	4.37
.19	99.69	.112E+02	.1	.37	2.28
.29	99.79	.195E+02	.2	.49	1.70
.38	99.88	.285E+02	.3	.59	1.42
.48	99.98	.381E+02	.5	.67	1.25
.57	100.07	.484E+02	.7	.74	1.13
.67	100.17	.594E+02	.9	.80	1.04
.76	100.26	.710E+02	1.2	.86	.97
.86	100.36	.832E+02	1.5	.91	.92
.95	100.45	.961E+02	1.8	.96	.87
1.05	100.55	.110E+03	2.2	1.00	.83
1.16	100.66	.127E+03	2.7	1.07	.78
1.28	100.78	.148E+03	3.4	1.14	.73
1.39	100.89	.170E+03	4.1	1.20	.69
1.50	101.00	.195E+03	4.9	1.25	.67
1.61	101.11	.221E+03	5.8	1.30	.64
1.72	101.22	.250E+03	6.7	1.34	.62
1.84	101.34	.280E+03	7.7	1.38	.60
1.95	101.45	.313E+03	8.8	1.41	.59

<---- hydrograph ----> <-pipe / channel->

	AREA (ha)	QPEAK (cms)	TPEAK (hrs)	R.V. (mm)	MAX DEPTH (m)	MAX VEL (m/s)
INFLOW : ID= 2 (0009)	54.01	1.57	8.67	103.72	.88	.92
OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (0010)	54.01	1.57	8.58	103.71	.87	.91

ROUTE CHN (0011)
 IN= 2---> OUT= 1

Routing time step (min) = 5.00

<----- DATA FOR SECTION (1.1) ----->

Distance	Elevation	Manning	
.00	101.50	.0500	
1.00	100.70	.0500	
1.50	100.55	.0500 / .0300	Main Channel
2.00	99.50	.0300	Main Channel
3.50	99.60	.0300	Main Channel
4.50	100.65	.0300 / .0500	Main Channel
6.00	101.45	.0500	

<----- TRAVEL TIME TABLE ----->

DEPTH (m)	ELEV (m)	VOLUME (cu.m.)	FLOW RATE (cms)	VELOCITY (m/s)	TRAV.TIME (min)
.10	99.60	.353E+01	.0	.19	4.37
.19	99.69	.112E+02	.1	.37	2.28
.29	99.79	.195E+02	.2	.49	1.70
.38	99.88	.285E+02	.3	.59	1.42
.48	99.98	.381E+02	.5	.67	1.25
.57	100.07	.484E+02	.7	.74	1.13
.67	100.17	.594E+02	.9	.80	1.04
.76	100.26	.710E+02	1.2	.86	.97
.86	100.36	.832E+02	1.5	.91	.92
.95	100.45	.961E+02	1.8	.96	.87
1.05	100.55	.110E+03	2.2	1.00	.83
1.16	100.66	.127E+03	2.7	1.07	.78
1.28	100.78	.148E+03	3.4	1.14	.73
1.39	100.89	.170E+03	4.1	1.20	.69
1.50	101.00	.195E+03	4.9	1.25	.67
1.61	101.11	.221E+03	5.8	1.30	.64
1.72	101.22	.250E+03	6.7	1.34	.62
1.84	101.34	.280E+03	7.7	1.38	.60

1.95 101.45 .313E+03 8.8 1.41 .59



<---- hydrograph ----> <-pipe / channel->

	AREA	QPEAK	TPEAK	R.V.	MAX DEPTH	MAX VEL
	(ha)	(cms)	(hrs)	(mm)	(m)	(m/s)
INFLOW : ID= 2 (0009)	43.99	3.78	8.67	103.72	1.34	1.17
OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (0011)	43.99	3.82	8.58	103.71	1.34	1.17

FINISH
=====

APPENDIX “D”

COOLING TRENCH PROFILE



ESCARPMENT BUSINESS COMMUNITY WEST TOWN OF MILTON	DRAWN BY J.J.M.	PROJECT 03156	VALDOR ENGINEERING INC. Consulting Engineers - Project Managers 661 CHARLEA ROAD, SUITE 11, WOODBRIDGE, ONTARIO, L4L 8A3 TEL (905)264-0064, FAX (905)264-0068 E-MAIL: info@valdor-engineering.com www.valdor-engineering.com
	CHECKED BY D.G.		
COOLING TRENCH DETAILS	DATE JAN, 2007	SCALE NTS	FIGURE 7

APPENDIX “E”

TO: Matt Stairs, P.Eng.,
MGM Consulting Inc.

DATE: May 1, 2007

FROM: Chris Cummings, Shelley Gorenc, M.Sc., G.I.T., and John Parish, P.Geo.

SUBJECT: High Point West – Tributaries N1-A and N2-B Channel Stability Analysis and
Culvert Removal Recommendations (Revised)

During the December 13th SIS meeting regarding the EBC West development in Milton, Conservation Halton raised questions regarding the potential impacts of stormwater discharge into Tributary N1-A, also referred to as the McKinley Tributary and Tributary N2-B. Questions were also raised regarding the future removal of a farm lane culvert on the East-West Tributary at the north end of the site. Subsequent to these issues, a series of comments were provided by Conservation Halton in a letter to the Town of Milton dated April 10, 2007. In the following comment extracted from the letter, Conservation Halton requested that PARISH Geomorphic Ltd. Provide additional comments regarding geomorphic impacts of the proposed Valdor Engineering diversions:

Engineering – Comment 8


Staff have reviewed the Functional Stormwater Management Report completed by Valdor Engineering Inc. (included in Appendix A of the SIS). Regarding Section 4.1.5 'Impact on Receiving Watercourses', please provide pre and post development hydrographs for each catchment and design storm from the Visual OTTHYMO output and a digital copy of the model. Geomorphic impacts of the receiving watercourses, relating to the proposed diversions, should be evaluated with respect to proposed changes in hydrograph shape, peak flows and flow durations for the full range of design storms. Staff request that Parish Geomorphic provide additional comments in this regard. Additionally, the potential impacts to the operation of SWM facility S36 should also be evaluated with respect to the proposed diversions.

The following memorandum provides additional insight regarding the suitability of pre and post development flow conditions as identified by Valdor Engineering (see **Table 1**). It is hoped that this text will address all issues and comments raised by Conservation Halton.

Tributary N1-A

As a result of not having direct access to the tributary in question we were forced to utilize surrogate information to develop our opinions. On November 14th of 2006, a field investigation was carried out on the N1-A tributary adjacent to Highway 401, south of the McKinley Lands. During this investigation, observations pertaining to channel condition and sensitivity were made. Due to restricted access,

observations within the McKinley lands were limited to the area visible from the 401 corridor. The channel conditions along the 401 are quite stable in nature. The channel has been ‘ditched’ and vegetated to act as drainage for areas along the highway (**Photo 1.**). At the time of this inspection, the channel exhibited no signs of instability such as active migration or excessive deposition. Immediately upstream of the property line the channel has a more natural form with some sinuosity and sorted substrate materials. The channel in this area flows between two active agricultural fields and appears to have been recently modified as a result of farm equipment being driven over the channel (**Photo 2.**).

 Table 1 - Erosion Index Values for Baseline and Proposed Scenarios (1986 -- 1991) Tributary N2-B Reach Between Pond S34 Outfall and Hwy 25 Escarpment Business Community West				
Critical Flow = 0.17 m³/s				
Scenario	Erosion Index	% Difference	Duration of Exceedence (hours)	% Difference
Baseline	665,586	0.0	759	100.0
Proposed	761,958	14.5	1016	33.9
Critical Flow = 1.26 m³/s				
Scenario	Erosion Index	% Difference	Duration of Exceedence (hours)	% Difference
Baseline	103,410	0.0	50.3	0.0
Proposed	103,086	-0.3	49.8	-1.0
VALDOR ENGINEERING INC. 661 Chrislea Road, Suite 11 Woodbridge, Ontario L4L 8A3				

On April 23, 2007 supplementary fieldwork was conducted on Tributary N1-A in order to confirm existing conditions and develop a local energy gradient. This information was then utilized to derive a critical discharge (erosion threshold) for the system. The erosion threshold was based on the discharge required to initiate entrainment (i.e. mobilization) of the D₅₀ median grain size, which is the common practice. Analysis of the field data resulted in a critical discharge of 0.13 m³/s being established for Tributary N1-A. Upon reviewing the Summary of Pre and Post Development Discharges (Table 6, dated April 10, 2007) provided by Valdor Engineering, the allowable Q_{peak} during a 25mm event is 0.11m³/s while the actual Q_{peak} during the same event is 0.01 m³/s. The critical discharge calculated for Tributary N1-A approximates the allowable 25mm Q_{peak} and sits well above the actual Q_{peak} for this event.

Air photo analysis of this same section revealed that, approximately 300 m downstream of the proposed discharge point, exists a small on-line pond. As a result, the pond acts to provide additional flow attenuation, essentially negating the impacts of the stormwater discharge to areas downstream of the pond. For areas upstream of the pond, the anticipated impacts are also expected to be minimal. Based on the air photo analysis of land use and vegetative conditions, complimented by observations of the tributary made downstream of the site, the channel has a high degree of vegetative control consisting mainly of grasses and herbaceous vegetation. This vegetation acts to stabilize and maintain the channel form during all stages of flow. Consequently, based upon this analysis, along with the critical discharge evaluation relating to post-development flow conditions, it is our opinion that, the impacts of the stormwater discharge to the McKinley Tributary would be minimal or negligible.

Tributary N2-B

On April 23, 2007 a field investigation was also completed on Tributary N2-B in order to quantify channel dimensions and the local energy gradient in support of an erosion assessment. This erosion assessment also involved a sensitivity analysis to determine the most appropriate threshold for the site, given the variable nature of existing channel conditions (i.e., the combination of an armored trapezoidal constructed trench – **Photos 4 & 5** with a more natural vegetated channel – **Photo 6**). As such, D_{50} critical flows were calculated based on both the existing vegetated conditions and the armored substrate. In order to provide a more direct comparison with the armored section, D_{65} and D_{84} thresholds for the more natural section were also calculated. **Table 2** presents the results of this assessment. The disparity in critical flows between the D_{50} and D_{65} thresholds for the ‘natural’ vegetated channel are reflective of a bi-modal substrate distribution. While this type of distribution is typical of riffle-pool morphology, field data was collected solely from riffle transects, indicating the deposition of fine materials (i.e. aggradation) over a coarser native substrate. Based on this information, it is likely that the D_{50} threshold provided for the vegetated natural cross-section likely underestimates the flows required to mobilize the channel bed.

Table 2. Erosion assessment and sensitivity analysis for Tributary N2-B.

‘Natural’ Vegetated Section	
D_{50} Threshold	0.17 cms
D_{65} Threshold	1.33 cms
D_{84} Threshold	2.54 cms
Armored Section	
D_{50} Threshold	1.26 cms

As illustrated by **Table 1**, pre and post development exceedence analysis was conducted for both D_{50} thresholds. While it was identified that the recommended critical discharge of $0.17 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ (the threshold identified for the more sensitive section of channel) was to be exceeded based on post-development conditions, this exceedence mimics natural processes which act to maintain sediment transport and flush fine materials from the system. A comparison was made, however, between the D_{50} , D_{65} and D_{84} thresholds to ensure that a larger flow event would not mobilize the entire bed of the channel. Results of this analysis revealed that the D_{65} threshold for the more natural section (1.33 cms) provided a more robust threshold than that calculated for the armored channel (1.26 cms). Since **Table 1** illustrates that the proposed post-development conditions not only match but reduce the percent exceedence of the D_{50} threshold for the constructed channel, and field observations of existing conditions indicate this section of the Tributary is not exhibiting evidence of active erosion, but is in fact prone to aggradation, the proposed flows should pose no significant concern with respect to channel erosion and we are able to support the proposed development scenario for Tributary N2-B.

East-West Tributary Culvert Removal

During the SIS meeting Conservation Halton requested input relating to channel stabilization during the removal of the existing farm lane culvert on the East-West Tributary. It was also noted in the meeting that a significant amount of urban waste has been dumped in and near the channel at this location. It is our recommendation that the garbage within the channel be removed. This should be done in such a fashion as to limit disturbance to the creek. The garbage should also be properly disposed of off-site. In reference to the culvert removal, we recommend that upon its removal, the channel through this section be improved by re-constructing the stream banks to locally-appropriate conditions. This would include matching the local floodplain elevations and ensuring the banks are constructed in such a way as to provide a channel width which replicates conditions upstream and downstream. As the details of the work will need to be determined on-site at the time of construction and the final channel configuration will be a 'field fit', it is recommended that the works be supervised by a qualified geomorphologist.

It is also recommended that, if at all feasible, these works not be carried out until the proposed realignment of Campbellville Sideroad is initiated. Since proposed Campbellville Sideroad crossing of the East-West Tributary is located in the vicinity of the existing farm lane, removal of the culvert in conjunction with this construction would limit the level of disturbance to the site.

Although we have not reviewed pre and post design hydrographs, we are confident, based on the pre and post development discharge data that the proposed changes are fairly subdued. The post-development flow regime would have the greatest effect during the minor, more frequent events. During these conditions, the changes are minor, and given existing channel conditions, we do not anticipate any effect on channel function. Post-development flow regime above the 2-year event would have even less implications on channel function. As a result we do not feel that these proposed flows will have any significant impacts on the geomorphic function of the receiving watercourses.

Summary and Conclusions

The purpose of this memorandum was to provide additional insight regarding the suitability of pre and post development flow conditions for Tributaries N1-A and N2-B in the EBC West development, Milton. Erosion thresholds were provided in the form of critical flows for both tributaries. Based on the exceedence analysis provided by Valdor Engineering (see **Table 1**), we feel that we can support the proposed development scenario conditions. We trust that this memorandum addresses the concerns raised during the December 13th SIS meeting. If further elaboration on either of these matters is required please do not hesitate to contact us at your earliest convenience.



Photo 1. *Nov 14, 2006* - View of channel conditions of Tributary N1-A adjacent to Highway 401. Note the dense vegetation and trapezoidal shape of the channel



Photo 2. *Nov 14, 2006* - View looking upstream at channel conditions immediately upstream of the 401 at the southern limits of the McKinley lands. Note the tractor crossing in the upper portion of the photo.



Photo 3. *Apr 23, 2007* - View looking upstream from north of the McKinlay property line on Trib N1-A. Note the well vegetated nature of the channel which is situated between agricultural fields.



Photo 4. *Apr 28, 2006* - View looking downstream from McKinlay driveway at trapezoidal channel. Note the wide nature of the channel and the early growth of bank vegetation which includes shrub plantings.



Photo 5. *Apr 23, 2007* - View looking upstream immediately downstream of property line. Note the wide, trapezoidal shape of the channel. Also note the extensive vegetative growth on the slopes and channel bed.



Photo 6. *Dec 7, 2006* - View looking downstream near proposed discharge point on Tributary N2-B. Note that this channel is a constructed natural channel design with dense bank and floodplain vegetation.



**Table 1 - Erosion Index Values for Baseline and Proposed Scenarios (1986 -- 1991)
Tributary N2-B Reach Between Pond S34 Outfall and Hwy 25
Escarpment Business Community West**

Critical Flow = 0.17 m³/s

Scenario	Erosion Index	% Difference	Duration of Exceedence (hours)	% Difference
Baseline	665,586	0.0	759	100.0
Proposed	761,958	14.5	1016	33.9

Critical Flow = 1.26 m³/s

Scenario	Erosion Index	% Difference	Duration of Exceedence (hours)	% Difference
Baseline	103,410	0.0	50.3	0.0
Proposed	103,086	-0.3	49.8	-1.0

VALDOR ENGINEERING INC.

661 Chrislea Road, Suite 11
Woodbridge, Ontario
L4L 8A3





Figure 1 - QUALHYMO Schematic
BASELINE CONDITION



**Figure 2 - QUALHYMO Schematic
PROPOSED CONDITION**


```

1234567890 *./-
21
START                1 27
STORE                2 4
GENERATE             3 53
PRINT SPAN          4 10
PLOT SPAN           5 10
ADD SERIES          6 4
POND                7310
REACH               8310
CALIBRATE           9310
POLLUTANT SERIES   10 9
SPLIT SERIES       11310
DUMP PRINT         12 1
EXCEEDANCE CURVES 13310
DUMP PLOT         14 9
SHEAR1           15310
MAXFLW           16 8
SERIES STATS     17 7
PRINT FLOWS     18 8
ROUTE RESERVOIR 19 64
SCAN SERIES     20 16
FINISH          21 0
*
* ***** Q U A L H Y M O *****
*                   VERSION 2.22
*
* TOWN OF MILTON
* QUALHYMO EROSION ANALYSIS
* VALDOR ENGINEERING INC.
* PROJECT: 03156
* FILENAME: MILTON.FUT
* TIME: APRIL 2007
* MODELLER: CHAODONG SHENG
*
*
* *****
*                   BASELINE SCENARIO
* *****
*
* NOTES: 1) Program Version: QUALHYMO v 2.22
*        2) Catchment 2021 and Catchment 2040
*
* *****
START DATE OF SIMULATION      86 01 01
END DATE OF SIMULATION       91 12 31
RAINFALL WILL BE READ ON DEVICE      21
PRECIP IS IN AES HOURLY FORMAT      IPPFORM 1
FLOW FILE WILL BE READ ON DEVICE     99
READ TEMP IN AES FORMAT             ITFORM 1
SET EVAPORATION FLAG TO READ VALUES ICASE 1
EVAPORATION PAN CORRECTION COEF     CPAN 1.0
    EVAPORATION IN MM PER MO
    FROM THE BARRIE WPC
    JAN 0.0 FEB 1.1 MAR 2.5
    APR 19.1 MAY 66.2 JUN 106.9
    JUL 150.0 AUG 99.6 SEP 77.2
    OCT 55.4 NOV 22.7 DEC 4.3
SET POLLUTANT FLAG OFF              IFDECA=0
SET SEDIMENTATION FLAG OFF          IFSEDT=0
*
* *****
* ***** CATCHMENT 2021 *****
*
GENERATE IDOUT=1 ISER=20211 DT=0.25 HR
DA=397.0 HA AB=0 FRIMP=0.0
***PERVIOUS DATA***
WILLIAMS UH AA=2
K=3 TP=2.7 HR
SMIN=36.7 MM SMAX=244.5 MM SK=0.05
APIK=0.9 APII=12.0 MM ABSER=4.5 MM
CETPER=1.0

```

```

NSVOL=0 BASMIN=0.0 CMS BFACR=1.00
SVOL=0.0 MM SWILT=0.01 SFIELD=10.0
SLOSKA=0.0000007 SLOSKB=0.15
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION COEF CET=0.005
***** COEFFICIENT SNOWMELT ANALYSIS *****
WITH NO SNOW REMOVAL FROM THE BASINS
ISNOW=1 BASET=1.0 SNOFAC=1.00 PACDEP=0.0
ALPHA=2.5 XKL=15 BCOEF=1.1 XNCOEF=150
KFLAG=0
***
REACH IDOUT=2 ISER=1000 NIDH=1 IDH(ONE)=1 NIDL=0
IFAORM=1 (ACTUAL CHANNEL SECTION FROM ORIGINAL MODEL)
NELS=1 SMAX=3.05 M XLEN=2000.0 M RTINC=0.25 HRS
COEF=1.35 EXPON=1.97
REACH VOLUME DATA =====
NUMBER OF PTS ON DEPTH VOLUME CURVE NPTSV=6
DEPTH VOLUME
(m) (cu m )
0.00 0.00
0.25 1125.00
0.50 3500.00
1.50 10125.00
2.50 100000.00
3.50 200000.00
REACH HORIZONTAL AREA DATA =====
NUMBER OF PTS ON STAGE AREA CURVE NPTSV=0
*
***** CATCHMENT 2040 WEST *****
***
GENERATE IDOUT=1 ISER=20401 DT=0.25 HR
DA=22.7 HA AB=0 FRIMP=0.73
***IMPERVIOUS DATA***
WILLIAMS UH AA=2
K=0.34 hrs TP=0.25 hrs
ABSIMP=0.5 mm
VOL RUNOFF COEFF RIMP=1.0
CETIMP=1.0
***PERVIOUS DATA***
WILLIAMS UH AA=2
K=3 TP=0.9 HR
SMIN=36.7 MM SMAX=244.5 MM SK=0.05
APIK=0.9 APII=12.0 MM ABSER=1.5 MM
CETPER=1.0
NSVOL=0 BASMIN=0.0 CMS BFACR=1.00
SVOL=0.0 MM SWILT=0.01 SFIELD=10.0
SLOSKA=0.0000065 SLOSKB=0.15
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION COEF CET=0.005
***** COEFFICIENT SNOWMELT ANALYSIS *****
WITH NO SNOW REMOVAL FROM THE BASINS
ISNOW=1 BASET=1.0 SNOFAC=1.00 PACDEP=0.0
ALPHA=2.5 XKL=15 BCOEF=1.1 XNCOEF=150
KFLAG=0
*
*
* *****
* ***** DUMMY POND FOR S35 WEST *****
* *****
POND IDOUT=3 ISER=2000 IDH=1
BATCH DETENTION TIME TDET=0 HRS
NELS=1
NUMBER OF CSTRS IS
FLOW ROUTING TIME STEP IS RTINC=0.25 HRS
BASEFLOW QBAS=0.0 CMS
EVAPORATION CORRECTION COEFFICIENT CPAN=1.0
DRY WEATHER FLAG IFQBY=0
APPROACH FLOW CURVE *****
NPTQQ = 0
CONTINUOUS FLOW CURVE *****
NPTSQ(ONE) = 0
OPERATED OUTFLOW CURVE *****
ISIG=1 NPTSQ(TWO)=3
STAGE(m) OUTFLOW(cms)
209.50 0.0
210.00 0.027
210.50 10.0

```

```

OVERFLOW CURVE *****
ISIG=1 NPTSQV=0
RATING CURVE DATA *****
STAGE VOLUME CURVE *****
ISIG=1 NPTSQV=3
STAGE(m) VOLUME(cubic m)
209.50 0.0
210.00 3794.0
210.50 3800.0
POND AREA CURVE *****
NPTSA=0
OTHER REQUIRED VARIABLES
STARTING STAGE SBEGIN=209.5 M
MULTIPLICATION FACTOR FOR POLLUTANTS FEMULT=1
MULTIPLICATION FACTOR FOR SEDIMENT SEMULT=1
STAGE FOR INITIATION OF OVERFLOW SPILL=210.5 M
*
*
***** CATCHMENT 2040 EAST
***
GENERATE IDOUT=1 ISER=20402 DT=0.25 HR
DA=52.4 HA AB=0 FRIMP=0.73
***IMPERVIOUS DATA***
WILLIAMS UH AA=2
K=0.34 hrs TP=0.25 hrs
ABSIMP=0.5 mm
VOL RUNOFF COEFF RIMP=1.0
CETIMP=1.0
***PERVIOUS DATA***
WILLIAMS UH AA=2
K=3 TP=1.2 HR
SMIN=36.7 MM SMAX=244.5 MM SK=0.05
APIK=0.9 APII=12.0 MM ABSER=1.5 MM
CETPER=1.0
NSVOL=0 BASMIN=0.0 CMS BFACR=1.00
SVOL=0.0 MM SWILT=0.01 SFIELD=10.0
SLOSKA=0.0000065 SLOSKB=0.15
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION COEF CET=0.005
***** COEFFICIENT SNOWMELT ANALYSIS *****
WITH NO SNOW REMOVAL FROM THE BASINS
ISNOW=1 BASET=1.0 SNOFAC=1.00 PACDEP=0.0
ALPHA=2.5 XKL=15 BCOEF=1.1 XNCOEF=150
KFLAG=0
*
*
*****
*** POND S35 ***
*****
POND IDOUT=4 ISER=4000 IDH=1
BATCH DETENTION TIME TDET=0 HRS
NUMBER OF CSTRS IS NELS=1
FLOW ROUTING TIME STEP IS RTINC=0.25 HRS
BASEFLOW QBAS=0.0 CMS
EVAPORATION CORRECTION COEFFICIENT CPAN=1.0
DRY WEATHER FLAG IFQBY=0
APPROACH FLOW CURVE *****
NPTQQ = 0
CONTINUOUS FLOW CURVE *****
NPTSQ(ONE) = 0
OPERATED OUTFLOW CURVE *****
ISIG=1 NPTSQ(TWO)=9
STAGE(m) OUTFLOW(cms)
209.50 0.0
210.13 0.065
210.26 0.073
210.34 0.078
210.39 0.081
210.43 0.084
210.46 0.085
210.50 1.515
211.50 50.00
OVERFLOW CURVE *****
ISIG=1 NPTSQV=0
RATING CURVE DATA *****

```


```

STAGE VOLUME CURVE *****
ISIG=1 NPTSQV=9
STAGE(m) VOLUME(cubic m)
209.50 0.0
210.13 5670.0
210.26 6921.0
210.34 7682.0
210.39 8225.0
210.43 8643.0
210.46 8980.0
210.50 9255.0
211.50 15036.0
POND AREA CURVE *****
NPTSA=0
OTHER REQUIRED VARIABLES
STARTING STAGE SBEGIN=209.5 M
MULTIPLICATION FACTOR FOR POLLUTANTS FEMULT=1
MULTIPLICATION FACTOR FOR SEDIMENT SEMULT=1
STAGE FOR INITIATION OF OVERFLOW SPILL=211.5 M
*
*
ADD SERIES IDOUT=1 HYDNO=5000 IDI=3 IDII=4
*
ADD SERIES IDOUT=5 HYDNO=3000 IDI=1 IDII=2
*
***** CATCHMENT 2024 EAST
***
GENERATE IDOUT=1 ISER=20241 DT=0.25 HR
DA=10.1 HA AB=0 FRIMP=0.75
***IMPERVIOUS DATA***
WILLIAMS UH AA=2
K=0.34 hrs TP=0.25 hrs
ABSIMP=0.5 mm
VOL RUNOFF COEFF RIMP=1.0
CETIMP=1.0
***PERVIOUS DATA***
WILLIAMS UH AA=2
K=3 TP=0.5 HR
SMIN=32.5 MM SMAX=216.4 MM SK=0.05
APIK=0.9 APII=12.0 MM ABSER=1.5 MM
CETPER=1.0
NSVOL=0 BASMIN=0.0 CMS BFACR=1.00
SVOL=0.0 MM SWILT=0.01 SFIELD=10.0
SLOSKA=0.0000065 SLOSKB=0.15
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION COEF CET=0.005
***** COEFFICIENT SNOWMELT ANALYSIS *****
WITH NO SNOW REMOVAL FROM THE BASINS
ISNOW=1 BASET=1.0 SNOFAC=1.00 PACDEP=0.0
ALPHA=2.5 XKL=15 BCOEF=1.1 XNCOEF=150
KFLAG=0
*
*
*****
*** DUMMY POND FOR 2024 EAT ***
*****
POND IDOUT=2 ISER=2000 IDH=1
BATCH DETENTION TIME TDET=0 HRS
NUMBER OF CSTRS IS NELS=1
FLOW ROUTING TIME STEP IS RTINC=0.25 HRS
BASEFLOW QBAS=0.0 CMS
EVAPORATION CORRECTION COEFFICIENT CPAN=1.0
DRY WEATHER FLAG IFQBY=0
APPROACH FLOW CURVE *****
NPTQQ = 0
CONTINUOUS FLOW CURVE *****
NPTSQ(ONE) = 0
OPERATED OUTFLOW CURVE *****
ISIG=1 NPTSQ(TWO)=3
STAGE(m) OUTFLOW(cms)
209.50 0.0
210.00 0.012
210.50 10.0
OVERFLOW CURVE *****
ISIG=1 NPTSQV=0


```


1.269	0.446
2.112	1.151
2.608	1.585
3.185	2.064
3.437	2.267
3.833	2.584
4.538	3.143
5.294	3.739
6.097	4.368

```
*
ADD SERIES      IDOUT=6 HYDNO=7000 IDI=5 IDII=3
*
SERIES STATS    IDIN=6
                SYR=86 SMO=01 SDY=01
                EYR=91 EMO=12 EDY=31
*
*
PRINT FLOWS     NSERIES=1
                ID(ONE)=6
                NFLOWFILE=2
*
*
*
FINISH
```



KEY PLAN



LEGEND

- MAJOR SYSTEM FLOW DIRECTION
- MINOR SYSTEM
- OBV. 215.95 STORM SEWER OBVERT ELEVATION
- F/G. 211.65 FINISHED GRADE
- SWM POND S34 CATCHMENT
- POND S34 CELL CATCHMENTS
- NON-CONTRIBUTING AREA BOUNDARY
- NON-CONTRIBUTING AREA ID
- AREA (Ha)
- RECEIVING SWM POND CELL
- CONTRIBUTING AREA (Ha)

No.	Revision	Date	By	App'd
1	TOWN, REGION & CA COMMENTS	DEC 4/06	D.G.	

Benchmarks	
No.	Description

VALDOR ENGINEERING INC.
Consulting Engineers - Project Managers
661 CHESSLA ROAD, SUITE 111, WOODBINE, ONTARIO, L4L 8A6
TEL: (905) 254-0554, FAX: (905) 254-0599
EMAIL: info@valdor-engineering.com
www.valdor-engineering.com

Client :
TOTAL DEVELOPMENTS INTERNATIONAL

**TOWN OF MILTON
ENGINEERING AND PARKS
DEPARTMENT**

**ESCARPMENT BUSINESS
COMMUNITY WEST**

**FUNCTIONAL STORM
DRAINAGE PLAN**

Surveyed by:	Checked by: D.G.	Project	03156
Drawn by: J.J.M.	Approved by: D.G.	Drawing No.	FSP-1
Designed by:	Date: MAR 22, 2004	Sheet No.	1 OF 1
Scale	1 : 4000		

EXISTING
SWM FACILITY
S36